Long forgotten: *Eunice woodwardi* Baird, 1869 (Annelida, Eunicidae) revisited, with an insight on internal anatomy

María Barroso[1], Juan Moreira[2] and Julio Parapar[1]

[1] Departamento de Bioloxía, Universidade da Coruña, A Coruña, Spain
[2] Departamento de Biología (Zoología) & Centro de Investigación en Biodiversidad y Cambio Global (CIBC-UAM), Universidade Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

ABSTRACT

Eunice woodwardi Baird, 1869, originally described from the Ría de A Coruña (NW Iberian Peninsula), has been overlooked and never reported from the Atlantic coast of Spain after original description and the subsequent redescription of the holotype. In the present study, we revised comparatively the holotype, newly collected specimens of this species and specimens identified as *Eunice vittata* (*Delle Chiaje, 1829*) from western Mediterranean Sea. The validity of *E. woodwardi* is supported and previous descriptions are complemented after a throughout study of the external morphology by means of light compound microscopy and scanning electron microscopy, and that of the internal anatomy by histological sectioning and micro-computed tomography. The presence of eyes, nuchal organs, dorsal and ventral ciliary organs on parapodial cirri and paired nephridia in most segments is confirmed in *E. woodwardi*; the digestive tract is clearly regionalized and divided into pharynx, oesophagus, stomach, fore, mid- and hind intestine. The presence of *E. woodwardi* in the Ría de Ferrol is also reported, and we suggest that previous records of *E. vittata* in NW Iberian Peninsula should be reviewed. *Eunice woodwardi* is distinguished by a set of characters such as having non-articulated and non-constricted cephalic appendages, the maxillary formula, the range of branchial distribution, maximum number of branchial filaments, number of limbate and compound falciger chaetae per parapodium, the presence of an apical mucro in the guard of falciger chaetae blades and the number of teeth in pectinate chaetae. Epibiont Ciliophora on branchiae are also reported.

INTRODUCTION

Eunice Cuvier, 1817 is the most species-rich genus of the annelid family Eunicidae Berthold, 1827 and comprises 250 valid species (*Read & Fauchald, 2022*). It is widely distributed and can be found in soft and hard substrates from the intertidal zone to the deep sea in all oceans (*Hutchings, 1986*). The diagnosis of the genus was traditionally based on a combination of several morphological characters, such as the presence of three prostomial antennae, a pair of palps, a pair of peristomial cirri, and a set of chaetal types that includes: limbate, pectinate and compound chaetae, and subacicular hooks

Corresponding author
María Barroso,
maria.p.barroso@udc.es

(*Orrhage, 1995*). However, all these are plesiomorphic characters shared with other eunicid genera such as *Euniphysa* Wesenberg-Lund, 1949 (*Lu & Fauchald, 2000*) and even with members of other families such as Onuphidae *Kinberg, 1865* (*Zanol, Fauchald & Paiva, 2007*). Furthermore, original descriptions of many *Eunice* species are often based on incomplete or a low number of specimens and therefore intraspecific variability is not well delimited. Consequently, many species are not characterized properly and this represents the main issue in assessing the true diversity of the genus (*Miura, 1986*; *Fauchald, 1992*). In this context, species of *Eunice* had traditionally been "informally" grouped according to characters lacking real systematic value, such as the branchial distribution patterns and the colour and teeth number of subacicular hooks (*e.g.*, *Ehlers, 1868*; *Hartman, 1944*; *Fauchald, 1970*; *Carrera-Parra & Salazar-Vallejo, 1998*). In fact, recent phylogenetic studies on Eunicidae conclude that *Eunice* sensu *lato* is actually non-monophyletic (*Zanol et al., 2010*, *2021*; *Zanol, Halanych & Fauchald, 2014*). Besides, the genus *Leodice* has recently been resurrected and several species of *Eunice* transferred there (*Zanol, Halanych & Fauchald, 2014*). *Zanol et al. (2021)* also suggest that some *Eunice* species correspond to a clade defined by branchiae starting late, ventral cirri with inflated base shaped as thick ventral ridges, left MxIV with dorsal teeth only, and anteriormost chaetigers bearing bidentate falciger chaetae with inconspicuous blade teeth. However, there is no consensus in placement of some species and whether there are reliable morphological characters to clearly delineate the involved genera (*Zanol, Halanych & Fauchald, 2014*; *Molina-Acevedo & Carrera-Parra, 2017*). Therefore, it seems that further phylogenetic analyses based in a wide sampling of species and molecular data are needed to clarify the actual systematics of species included in *Eunice* and *Leodice* (*Zanol et al., 2021*).

On the other hand, the general internal anatomy (*e.g.*, nervous system, muscular system, circulatory system and nephridia) of a number of annelid families is well known (see *Bartolomaeus & Purschke, 2005*; *Zanol, 2010*), but only *Ehlers (1868)* studied in detail the digestive tract of the genus *Eunice* as well as other Eunicidae. Nevertheless, many anatomical features of the digestive tract of this genus still remain undescribed.

Eight species of *Eunice* sensu *lato* have been reported in the Iberian Peninsula: *E. norvegica* (Linnaeus, 1767), *E. pennata* (Müller, 1776), *E. vittata* (*Delle Chiaje, 1829*), *E. purpurea* Grube, 1866, *E. roussaei* Quatrefages, 1866, *E. woodwardi Baird, 1869*, *E. schizobranchia* Claparède, 1870 and *E. dubitata* Fauchald, 1974. *Eunice woodwardi* was collected by *MacAndrew & Woodward (1864)* during benthic sampling in the Ría de A Coruña (NW Spain). This species is morphologically very close to *E. vittata* mostly regarding the presence of tridentate subacicular hooks, that is a highly relevant diagnostic character. However, *E. woodwardi* has been largely ignored and overlooked after original description; for instance, later monographies on European polychaetes (*e.g.*, *Fauvel, 1923*) do not even mention this species. *Hartman (1959)* questioned whether *E. woodwardi* was a synonym of *E. vittata*, and finally regarded it as indeterminable. Later, *Fauchald (1992)* re-examined the holotype of *E. woodwardi* and consider it as a valid species, also providing a redescription. Nevertheless, several key characters in *Eunice*, such as the maxillary formula were not described since this would imply dissection and consequently a

potential deterioration of the only specimen available. Anyway, it seems that *E. woodwardi* fell into oblivion despite Fauchald's redescription. This might also be due to *E. vittata* being considered as a cosmopolitan species and, therefore, it is likely that specimens of *Eunice* with tridentate subacicular hooks might have often been identified as *E. vittata* across the world. In fact, the presence of *E. woodwardi* in NW Spain also remained overlooked even in recent publications (*e.g.*, *Parapar et al., 1993*; *Parapar, Urgorri & Besteiro, 1996*; *Parapar & Moreira, 2009*; *Besteiro et al., 2018*). In this context, *Zanol et al. (2021)* challenge the wide range of distribution traditionally attributed to several eunicids, suggesting that *E. vittata* might have a more restricted distribution.

In this study, we present an integrative approach to the taxonomy and anatomy of *E. woodwardi* in order to support the validity of the taxon. Additional anatomical information is provided after examination of the holotype and non-type material collected near the type locality; the original description and redescription were complemented with other characters not observed in the holotype, also assessing its intraspecific variability. The main features of the internal anatomy of *E. woodwardi* are described for the first time and the presence of epibiont ciliophorans on branchiae is also reported.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This work is based on the study of the holotype of *E. woodwardi* from off A Coruña (Galicia, NW Spain), along with 34 newly collected specimens collected in the nearby Ría de Ferrol (Table 1). Selected specimens have been deposited in the Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales, Madrid, Spain (MNCN) and the British Museum (Natural History), London, England (NHML). For comparative purposes, specimens identified as *E. vittata* from several Mediterranean locations were also examined: Two specimens from Naples, Italy (type locality; Museum of Natural History of Wroclaw, MNHW), five from Venice, Italy (Zoological Museum Hamburg, ZMH), two from Banyuls-sur-Mer, France (ZMH), five from Valencia, Spain (MNCN) and four from Mallorca, Spain. Type material could not be examined because there are no longer in existence (*Fauchald, 1992*, p. 337). The description of *E. woodwardi* holotype is based on *Fauchald (1992)* and is complemented with our observations. Additional observations of material collected in the Ría de Ferrol and *E. vittata* from Naples are also provided.

Sample collection

Specimens previously identified as *E. vitatta* by *Parapar et al. (1993)* were collected in 1988 and 1989 in the Ría de Ferrol: (1) directly by hand in the rocky intertidal, (2) by pushing PVC corers into subtidal soft sediment and (3) by means of a Naturalist dredge deployed on subtidal sedimentary bottoms (see *Parapar et al., 1993* for details). Additional samplings were done in subtidal soft bottoms at the Ría de Ferrol with a Van Veen grab in 2010, 2019 and 2021. Specimens were sorted from samples, fixed in 4% formalin for 24–48 h and subsequently transferred to 70% ethanol for preservation.

Table 1 Collection data, voucher numbers and examination techniques of specimens of *Eunice woodwardi* and specimens identified as *E. vittata* studied in this work.

Species/Locality	Site	Voucher number	Date	Latitude	Longitude	Depth (m)	Habitat	Examination technique	Figures
Eunice woodwardi									
A Coruña	–	ZH 1863.8.19.13 (Holotype)	1863	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	LCM	1A–1D
Ferrol	71	MNCN 16.01/19142	30/03/1987	43°29′16″	08°10′44″	1.5	Muddy sand	Micro-CT	
"	A Malata	MNCN 16.01/19143	18/02/1987	43°29′27″	08°14′48″	3	"	"	12A–12F
"	25B	MNCN 16.01/19144	12/09/1987	43°28′08″	08°15′37″	13	Gravel	SEM	5E, 5F, 9E, 18C
"	41	MNCN 16.01/19145	06/09/1987	43°28′10″	08°13′44″	"	Muddy sand	LCM	
"	"	MNCN 16.01/19146	"	"	"	"	"	SEM	
"	"	MNCN 16.01/19147	"	"	"	"	"	HIS	13A–13F, 15B, 15D, 16A–16D, 18A, 18B
"	"	MNCN 16.01/19148	"	"	"	"	"	"	11A, 11B, 16E
"	"	MNCN 16.01/19149	"	"	"	"	"	Micro-CT	10A–10D, 11C, 11D, 15A
"	"	MNCN 16.01/19150	"	"	"	"	"	LCM	8G, 8H
"	21	MNCN 16.01/19151	08/08/1987	43°28′10″	08°14′47″	8	"	"	
"	38	MNCN 16.01/19152	18/07/1987	43°27′30″	08°13′44″	10	"	"	7A, 7D
"	"	MNCN 16.01/19153	"	"	"	"	"	SEM	8F
"	63	MNCN 16.01/19154	25/08/1987	43°28′31″	08°13′44″	5	Sandy mud	LCM	
"	"	MNCN 16.01/19155	"	"	"	"	"	SEM	5A, 5B, 8E, 9D
"	"	MNCN 16.01/19156	"	"	"	"	"	"	6A, 8I, 19A–19D
"	"	MNCN 16.01/19157	"	"	"	"	"	FESEM	17E, 17F
"	"	MNCN 16.01/19158	"	"	"	"	"	SEM	9A, 9C, 17A
"	68	MNCN 16.01/19159	30/03/1987	43°28′51″	08°11′13″	"	Muddy sand	LCM	4B–4D, 7B, 7C, 7E–7H
		MNCN 16.01/19160	"	"	"	"	"	HIS	17G
"	"	MNCN 16.01/19161	"	"	"	"	"	SEM	
"	36	MNCN 16.01/19162	06/09/1987	43°28′25″	08°14′47″	10	"	LCM	
"	"	MNCN 16.01/19163	"	"	"	"	"	SEM	5C, 5D, 8A–8D, 8J, 9B
"	17	MNCN 16.01/19164	28/06/2010	43°27′42″	08°17′05″	26.5	Muddy sandy gravel	SEM	6A, 14A–14E, 15C
"	26A	MNCN 16.01/19165	29/06/2010	43°27′39″	08°15′58″	9.3	Gravelly mud	LCM	
"	"	MNCN 16.01/19166	"	"	"	"	"	FESEM	6B, 6C, 16F, 16G, 17B–17D
"	–	MNCN 16.01/19167	09/05/2019	43°27′43″	08°15′39″	20	Muddy sand	LCM	4B
"	–	MNCN 16.01/19168	17/03/2021	43°27′44″	08°16′29″	15	"	"	4A
"	–	MNCN 16.01/19169	26/05/2021	43°27′52″	08°16′55″	8	Mud	"	2A–2E, 3A–3D
Eunice vittata									
Naples	–	–	1900	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	LCM	20A–20D
Venice	–	ZMH-V 12932	1961	"	"	"	"	"	
Banyuls-sur-Mer	–	ZMH-P 14276	N/A	"	"	"	"	"	
Valencia	–	MNCN 16.01/2677	"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	–	MNCN 16.01/2723	"	"	"	"	"	"	

Species/Locality	Site	Voucher number	Date	Latitude	Longitude	Depth (m)	Habitat	Examination technique	Figures
Mallorca	–	SPR04-03	24/07/2017	"	"	"	Gravel	"	
"	–	PAD04-03	"	"	"	"	Muddy sand	"	
"	–	CBR01-06	25/07/2017	"	"	"	Gravel	"	
"	–	CBR01-11	"	"	"	"	"	"	

Note:

FESEM, Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope; HIS, Histological Sectioning; LCM, Light Compound Microscopy; micro-CT, micro-computed X-ray tomography; SEM, Scanning Electron Microscopy.

Light microscopy, SEM and FESEM

External anatomy of *E. woodwardi* was studied using an Olympus SZX12 stereomicroscope and an Olympus BX51 light compound microscope connected to a drawing tube. Specimens used for examination with Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM), were dehydrated *via* a graded ethanol series, critical point dried, coated with gold in a BAL-TEC SCD 004 evaporator, and examined and photographed under a JEOL JSM-6400 at the Servizos de Apoio á Investigación (SAI, Universidade da Coruña) (*Parapar et al., 2017*). Those used for examination with Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope (FESEM) were instead cleaned by ultrasound, *via* a graded ethanol series, critical point dried, coated with iridium in a BAL-TEC SCD 004 evaporator, and examined and photographed under a JEOL JSM-7200F also at the SAI.

Anatomical study

Two specimens preserved in 70% ethanol were used for histological sectioning; they were dehydrated through a series of graded ethanol baths and clearing agent, infiltrated with paraffin and xylene in 1:1 proportion at 57 °C overnight and embedded in a paraffin block. The block was sectioned with a microtome in 8 μm sections, which were placed on microscope slides, hydrated, and stained with haematoxylin–eosin, dehydrated and finally mounted on permanent slides with Canada balsam.

Specimens studied with micro-computed X-ray tomography (micro-CT hereafter) were originally preserved in 70% ethanol and dehydrated in successive baths of ethanol 90% and 96%, then immersed 2 h in hexamethyldisilazane and allowed to air dry overnight (*Alba-Tercedor & Sánchez-Tocino, 2011*; *Parapar et al., 2019*) at the Estación de Bioloxía Mariña da Graña, Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, Spain (REBUSC-EBMG, USC). No staining was used. Scanning was carried out with a microtomograph Skyscan 1172 using the following parameters: 55 kV, 165 mA, unfiltered, image pixel size of 3.94 and 6.78 μm and no camera binning. Images were treated with Skyscan software: they were reconstructed with the NRecon software and cleaned with CT Analyzer software; to visualize the data, DataViewer and CTVox softwares were used. Datasets of transverse 2D images of studied specimens were uploaded at the Morphosource repository (https://www.morphosource.org/catalog/media?utf8=%E2%9C%93&locale=en&search_field=all_fields&q=eunice+woodwardi).

RESULTS

Eunice woodwardi *Baird, 1869*

Eunice woodwardi *Baird, 1869*: 347; *Fauchald, 1992*: 343–345, Fig. 117, Tables 41–42.
Eunice vittata (Delle Chiaje). *Parapar et al., 1993*: 117–125 (non *Delle Chiaje, 1829*).

Material examined
Type material: *Eunice woodwardi* *Baird, 1869*. Holotype (BM(NH) ZH 1863.8.19.13; Ría de A Coruña; Table 1).
Non-type material: 34 specimens (MNCN 16.01/19142 to 16.01/19169; Ría de Ferrol; Table 1).

External morphology

Holotype
 Incomplete specimen, 49 mm long, 5 mm wide, with 59 chaetigers. Mature female, oocytes first observed in chaetiger 18. Body slightly flattened dorsoventrally, whitish (Figs. 1A, 1B); original colouration not preserved.
 Prostomium nearly as wide as peristomium but shorter (Fig. 1D). Prostomial lobes frontally rounded and obliquely divided (Figs. 1B, 1D). Prostomial appendages equally separated from each other and arranged in horseshoe shape; all antennae with ring-shaped ceratophores; ceratostyles slender, digitiform, smooth, lacking distinct articulations or constrictions (Figs. 1A–1D). Median antenna about 1.2 times as long as lateral antennae; palps one third as long as lateral antennae (Figs. 1A–1D). Eyes not seen. Peristomium cylindrical; anterior ring longer than posterior one (Fig. 1C); peristomial cirri digitiform, smooth, slightly thinner than palps (Fig. 1C). Maxillary apparatus and mandibles not observed; mandible distal end just protruding from oral cavity (Fig. 1D).
 Branchiae pectinate, branchial stem longer than dorsal cirri (Fig. 1C), present from chaetiger 3 to 39; first branchia with two filaments (Fig. 1C); up to 12 filaments per branchia in following chaetigers. Chaetigers 15–24 showing maximum number of filaments; last chaetigers of branchial region with 1–2 filaments. Branchial filaments of mid-branchial region longer or about as long as dorsal cirri.
 Parapodia sub-biramous. Dorsal cirri smooth, digitiform, tapering. Ventral cirri short with a digitiform tip, inflated basally from chaetiger 3 to 40. Dorsal and ventral cirri decreasing in length from anterior to posterior parapodia (Table S1).
 Pre- and postchaetal lobes low, transverse folds. Prechaetal lobes folds covering bases of compound falcigers. Acicular lobes truncate. 3–4 notoaciculae, thin, distally bent; two (sometimes three) yellow neuroaciculae, one larger than the other, tapering with blunt tips, curved distally and protruding from acicular lobe, never T-shaped. Chaetae including 5–13 limbate, 1–3 pectinate, 5–20 compound falcigers and 1–5 tridentate subacicular hooks. Limbate and pectinate chaetae arranged in a bundle dorsal to neuroaciculae. Limbate chaetae elongated, marginally serrated, distally curved and tapering. All pectinate chaetae heterodont, about 0.3–0.4 times as long as limbate chaetae; one external tooth three times as long as others. Compound falcigers ventral to neuroaciculae; shafts distally inflated

Figure 1 Stereomicrographs and line drawings of *Eunice woodwardi*. Holotype (BM(NH) ZH 1863.8.19.13). (A, B) Anterior end in dorsal and ventral view. (C, D) Line drawings of anterior end in dorsal (C) and ventral view (D). bra, branchia; bra1, branchia 1; dc, dorsal cirrus; la, lateral antenna; ma, median antenna; man, mandibles, ooc, oocytes; pa, palp; par, peristomial anterior ring; pc, peristomial cirrus; ppr, peristomial posterior ring; pr, prostomium.

and marginally serrated. Blades bidentate; proximal tooth slightly larger than distal tooth, triangular, perpendicular to blade axis; distal tooth curved dorsally; distal end protected by elongated guard, marginally serrated and provided with conspicuous apical mucro. Number of limbate and compound falcigers decreasing from anterior to posterior

chaetigers (Tables S2, S3). Subacicular hooks from chaetiger 31, yellowish, tridentate with teeth in a crest, distal end protected by rounded guards. Number of hooks increasing from 1 to 5 from chaetiger 31 to 59. Hooks almost hidden within parapodia in anterior chaetigers and protruding conspicuously in last chaetigers.

Non-type material

Largest complete specimen 75 mm long, 4 mm wide, with 112 chaetigers; mean length 30 mm (standard deviation, S.D. = 18), mean width 1.2 mm (S.D. = 0.9 mm), with 37–112 chaetigers.

Body slightly flattened dorsoventrally; most specimens whitish, original colouration not preserved in alcohol. Original colouration was observed in three specimens (Figs. 2–3, 4A, 4B). One specimen studied alive showing colouration consisting of two broad dorsal dark red bands per segment separated by bands of much lighter tone, consecutive segments separated by a dark red thin band (Figs. 2–3, 4B); bands from peristomium to posterior body end, slightly fading in tone from posterior branchial region to posterior body half depending on specimen and preservation state. One preserved specimen showing a different colouration pattern, consisting of transverse dark bands much more faded, and bands of lighter tone wider (Fig. 4A).

Prostomium nearly as wide as peristomium but shorter (Figs. 2C, 2E, 4C, 5A). Prostomial lobes frontally rounded and obliquely divided (Figs. 2C, 2E, 4D). Prostomial appendages equally separated from each other, arranged in horseshoe shape; all antennae with ring-shaped ceratophores (Figs. 5B, 5D); ceratostyles slender, digitiform, smooth and lacking distinct articulations or constrictions (Figs. 2, 4C, 4D, 5A, 5C, 5E, 5F). Median antenna about 1.2 times as long as lateral antennae; palps one third as long as lateral antennae (Figs. 2A, 4C, 4D, 5E). A pair of dark eyes between lateral antennae and palps (Figs. 2A, 2B, 2D, 3A, 4A). Peristomium cylindrical; anterior ring longer than posterior one (Figs. 2B, 2D, 4C); peristomial cirri digitiform, smooth, thinner than palps (Figs. 2B, 2D, 3A, 4C). Mandibles flat (Fig. 6A). Maxillary formula: MxI: 1+1, MxII: 8+9–10, MxIII: 9–11+0, MxIV: 10–11+11, MxV: 1+1, MxVI: absent. Mx III behind left MII. Left MxIV wider than long. MxV fang-shaped (Fig. 6B).

Branchiae pectinate, stem longer than dorsal cirri (Figs. 2A, 3C, 4C, 6C), from chaetiger 3 to 36–46 (<55% of body chaetigers); first branchia with 1–2 filaments (Figs. 4C, 5E, 7A); up to 9–14 filaments per branchia in following chaetigers. Chaetigers 15–25 with maximum number of filaments (Figs. 2A, 3A–3C, 6C, 7B–7D), last branchiate chaetigers with 1–2 filaments (Figs. 3A, 3D). Branchial filaments longer or about as long as dorsal cirri in mid-branchial region; filaments showing two longitudinal fringes of discocilia (Figs. 6D, 6E).

Parapodia sub-biramous. Dorsal cirri smooth, digitiform, tapering. Ventral cirri short with a digitiform tip (Figs. 7A–7E), inflated basally from about chaetiger 3 to 40; starting in chaetiger 3 in all specimens (Fig. 7C). Variation in length and width of dorsal and ventral cirri depending on specimen size, decreasing in length from anterior to posterior parapodia (Table S1). Lateral interramal red dots present in almost all body segments.

Figure 2 Stereomicrographs of *Eunice woodwardi*. One alive specimen (Ría de Ferrol, MNCN 16.01/19169). (A) Anterior end, dorsal view; (B) anterior end, dorsal view; (C) anterior end, frontal view; (D) anterior end and first chaetigers, dorso-lateral view; (E) anterior end and first chaetigers, dorso-frontal view. bra, branchia; CH1, chaetiger 1; dc, dorsal cirrus; e, eye; la, lateral antenna; ma, median antenna; mo, mouth; pa, palp; par, peristomial anterior ring; pc, peristomial cirrus; ppr, peristomial posterior ring; pr, prostomium.

Pre- and postchaetal lobes low, transverse folds. Prechaetal lobe fold covering proximal third of compound falcigers shaft. Acicular lobes truncate (Figs. 7A–7C). 3–4 notoaciculae, thin, distally bent (Figs. 7A–7C, 7E); two (sometimes three) yellow neuroaciculae, one

Figure 3 Stereomicrographs of *Eunice woodwardi*. One alive specimen (Ría de Ferrol, MNCN 16.01/19169). (A) Full specimen, dorsal view; (B) chaetigers of anterior branchial region, dorsal view; (C) chaetigers of posterior branchial region, dorsal view; (D) abranchiate chaetigers of posterior body half, dorsal view. Framed capital letters in (A) refer to figure parts (B) to (D).

larger than the other, all neuroaciculae tapering with blunt tips, curved distally and protruding from acicular lobe, never T-shaped (Figs. 7A–7E, 7G, 8F, 8G). Chaetae including 3–14 limbate, 1–4 pectinate, 3–23 compound falcigers and 1–5 tridentate subacicular hooks. Limbate and pectinate chaetae arranged in a bundle dorsal to neuroaciculae (Figs. 7A, 7B). Limbate chaetae elongated, marginally serrated (Figs. 8A–8D), distally curved and tapering (Figs. 7A, 7B). All pectinate chaetae heterodont (Fig. 8E), about 0.3–0.4 times as long as limbate chaetae; 7–9 teeth and one external tooth three times as long as others. Compound falcigers ventral to neuroaciculae (Figs. 7A, 7B); shafts distally inflated and marginally serrated (Figs. 7F, 9A, 9B). Blades bidentate (Figs. 7F, 9A–9C); proximal tooth slightly larger than distal tooth, triangular, perpendicular to blade axis; distal tooth curved dorsally (Fig. 9C); blade distal two thirds protected by elongated guard, marginally serrated (Figs. 9A–9C) and provided with conspicuous apical mucro (Figs. 7F, 9D). Number of

Figure 4 **Stereomicrographs and line drawings of *Eunice woodwardi*.** Three specimens (Ría de Ferrol, MNCN 16.01/19159, MNCN 16.01/19167 and MNCN 16.01/19168). (A, B) Anterior end in dorsal view of two preserved specimens. (C, D) Line drawings of anterior end of one preserved specimen in dorsal (C) and ventral view (D). bra1, branchia 1; e, eye; la, lateral antenna; ma, median antenna, man, mandibles; ooc, oocytes; pa, palp; par, peristomial anterior ring; pc, peristomial cirrus; ppr, peristomial posterior ring; pr, prostomium.

limbate and compound falcigers decreasing from anterior to posterior chaetigers (Tables S2, S3). Subacicular hooks from chaetigers 16–31 to posterior region (Fig. 7H), ventral to neuroaciculae (Figs. 7C–7E), yellowish, tridentate with teeth in a crest, distal end protected by rounded guards (Figs. 8H–8J); size decreasing towards posterior region. Number of hooks size-depending, numbering 3 at least; increasing from 1 up to 5 from chaetiger 25–30 to middle-posterior region and then decreasing to 1–2 towards posterior region. Hooks almost

Figure 5 SEM micrographs of anterior end of *Eunice woodwardi*. Three specimens (Ría de Ferrol, MNCN 16.01/19144, MNCN 16.01/19155 and MNCN 16.01/19163). (A) Prostomium and peristomium, dorsal view; (B) palp base, detail of (A); (C) prostomium and peristomium, latero-dorsal view; (D) palp base and lateral antenna, detail of (C); (E) anterior end, lateral view; (F) anterior end, detail of (E). cp, ceratophore; cs, ceratostyle; la, lateral antenna; ma, median antenna; ms, median sulcus; pa, palp; pc, peristomial cirrus; pr, prostomium.

hidden within parapodia in anterior chaetigers and protruding conspicuously in last chaetigers.

Pygidium with two pairs of cirri, one pair two times as long as other, smooth, non-articulated.

Cuticular glandular pores present throughout body surface (Fig. 9E).

Figure 6 SEM micrographs of anterior end, schematic drawing of maxillae and FESEM micrographs of branchiae of *Eunice woodwardi*. Three specimens (Ría de Ferrol, MNCN 16.01/19148, MNCN 16.01/19164 and MNCN 16.01/19166). (A) Anterior end, frontal view; (B) schematic drawing of maxillae; (C) mid-body branchiae, left side, dorsal view; (D) branchial filament, detail; (E) discocilia on branchial filament, detail of (D). bra, branchia; cha, chaetae; dc, dorsal cirrus; df, fringe of discocilia; man, mandibles; max, maxillae; ms, median sulcus; MxI–V, maxilla I to V; pr, prostomium; vc, ventral cirrus.

Internal anatomy

The digestive tract is clearly regionalized and consists of: (1) pharynx (provided with mandibles and maxillae), (2) oesophagus, (3) stomach, (4) fore intestine, (5) mid-intestine, and (6) hind intestine (Figs. 10, 11A, 11B). The pharynx (Figs. 10A, 11A, 11B, 12A) is located ventrally and provided with a pair of rounded structures (g, Figs. 11A, 11B; see Discussion). The oesophagus is elongated and straight or slightly folded in shape depending on the state of pharynx protraction (Figs. 10A, 10B, 11A–11C, 12A, 12B, 13A, 13B, 14A). The oesophagus is connected to a highly muscular stomach (Figs. 10A, 10B, 10D, 11A–11C, 12C, 13C, 13D, 14A, 14B). It is followed by the fore intestine and the mid-intestine, ending in the hind intestine (Figs. 10A–10C, 11A–11C, 12D–12F, 13E, 13F, 14C, 15); the latter is a long and narrow tube provided with a very thin wall (Figs. 13F, 15).

Figure 7 Line drawings of parapodia in posterior view and chaetae of *Eunice woodwardi*. Two specimens (Ría de Ferrol, MNCN 16.01/19152 and MNCN 16.01/19159). (A) Parapodium, chaetiger 3; (B) parapodium, chaetiger 10; (C) parapodium, chaetiger 31; (D) parapodium, chaetiger 42; (E) parapodium, chaetiger 44; (F) compound falciger chaeta; (G) acicula; (H) subacicular tridentate hook. ac, acicula; bra–branchia; cfbg, compound falciger blade guard; dc, dorsal cirrus; fc, falciger chaeta; ivc, inflated ventral cirrus; lc, limbate chaeta; m, mucro, neac, neuroaciculae; noac, notoaciculae; pec, pectinate chaeta; sah, subacicular hook; vc, ventral cirrus.

The body musculature is well developed, particularly in the pharynx and body wall (Figs. 12, 15). It is composed by circular, dorsal and ventral longitudinal and ventral oblique muscles (Fig. 15). The longitudinal musculature is divided into four bands, two arranged dorsally and two ventrally, the latter flanking the ventral nerve cord at both sides (Figs. 13A, 13C, 14C, 14D, 15).

The circulatory system includes two longitudinal ventral and one (sometimes two) dorsal vessels (Figs. 13F, 14D, 14E). Blood masses (blood sinuses) attached to the digestive tract were also observed, being especially abundant in the oesophagus, stomach, and intestine (Figs. 10C, 10D, 11C).

Figure 8 SEM and LCM micrographs of chaetae of *Eunice woodwardi*. Five specimens (Ría de Ferrol, MNCN 16.01/19150, MNCN 16.01/19153, MNCN 16.01/19155, MNCN 16.01/19156 and MNCN 16.01/19163). (A) Limbate chaetae; (B–D) detail of a subdistal breakage of one limbate chaeta; (E) pectinate chaeta; (F, G) neuroaciculae, distal end; (H–J) subacicular hooks, distal end. ac, acicula; pcmt, pectinate chaeta marginal teeth; sahg, subacicular hook guard.

A large glandular mass was observed basally in each parapodium closely associated to the chaetal bundle (Figs. 10C, 10D, 11D, 15).

The central nervous system comprises a well-developed brain (Figs. 16A–16E), supra-oesophageal nerves (Figs. 16A, 16C, 16E) and the typical ventral nerve cord, with pair of ganglia in same segment almost fused to each other. Several sensory organs were observed: (1) a pair of eyes located slightly behind lateral antennae (Figs. 16A, 16C, 16E), (2) a pair of nuchal organs in posterior half of anterior peristomium ring (Figs. 16C–16G), and (3) ciliary areas located in proximal third of dorsal cirri in all chaetigers (Figs. 17A–17D); the aforementioned cilia correspond to discocilia (Figs. 17C, 17D).

Figure 9 SEM micrographs of falciger chaetae and cuticular pores of *Eunice woodwardi*. Four specimens (Ría de Ferrol, MNCN 16.01/19144, MNCN 16.01/19155, MNCN 16.01/19158 and MNCN 16.01/19163). (A, B) Falciger chaetae, detail of blade and shaft distal end; (C, D) falciger chaetae, detail of blade distal end and guards; (E) cuticular glandular pores. cfb, compound falciger blade; cfbg, compound falciger blade guard; cfs, compound falciger shaft; cgp, cuticular glandular pore; dst, distal tooth; m, mucro; prt, proximal tooth.

Another ciliary area of unknown function was observed ventrally to ventral parapodial cirrus (Figs. 17A, 17E). The epidermis nearby this area in mid-body segments has several pores arranged in two groups next to each other; the larger pores are about 1 μm in

Figure 10 **Micro-CT 3D volume renderings of *Eunice woodwardi*.** Internal anatomy of one specimen (Ría de Ferrol, MNCN 16.01/19149). (A–D) Four sagittal sections showing regionalization of the digestive tract. bs, blood sinus; fint, fore intestine; hint, hind intestine; man, mandibles; max, maxillae; mint, mid-intestine; oes, oesophagus; ooc, oocytes; pg, parapodial gland; pha, pharynx; stm, stomach.

diameter and the smaller ones 0.2–0.3 µm. Each pore is provided with a cilium (Figs. 17E, 17F).

Nephridia appear as glandular masses arranged metamerically that were observed in most of body segments apart from the anteriormost and posteriormost segments (Fig. 18). These are located ventro-laterally between the ventral longitudinal musculature and the glandular masses associated with chaetal bundles, measuring 70–80 µm (Figs. 18A, 18B) and directly connected with nephridiopores, each 3–4 µm in diameter (Fig. 18C).

The presence of gametes in coelomic body cavity was observed with LCM, micro-CT and HIS (Figs. 1A, 10C, 10D, 17G); specimens observed with micro-CT and HIS were collected in September-November. The specimen subjected to HIS bears gametes at an early stage of maturation and corresponds probably to a male due to the small gamete size (about 4–5 µm in diameter), high numbers and grouping shape (Fig. 17G). In anterior body half, gametes seem to be associated to the parapodial area, whereas in posterior half,

Figure 11 Histological sections, schematic reconstruction and micro-CT 3D volume renderings of *Eunice woodwardi.* Internal anatomy of two specimens (Ría de Ferrol, MNCN 16.01/19148 and MNCN 16.01/19149). (A) Composite image of four histological sagittal sections showing internal body organization; (B) schematic reconstruction of digestive tract; (C, D) two micro-CT frontal sections showing main internal anatomical features. bs, blood sinus; fint, fore intestine; g, gland; hint, hind intestine; max, maxillae; mint, mid-intestine; ng, nerve ganglia; oes–oesophagus; pg, parapodial gland; pha, pharynx; stm, stomach.

gametes fill all the coelomic cavity. Muscular bands are less developed in posterior body half, where gametes are especially abundant; this region also lacks glandular masses associated with chaetae, blood vessels and nephridia (Fig. 17G). Specimens observed with LCM and micro-CT (Figs. 1A, 10C, 10D) are females with oocytes in an advanced stage of maturation due to their large size (150–200 μm). It was not possible to identify the location of gonads in the studied specimens; >50% of specimens were incomplete, with the posterior end missing.

Figure 12 Micro-CT 3D volume renderings of *Eunice woodwardi*. Transversal sections showing internal anatomy of one specimen (Ría de Ferrol, MNCN 16.01/19143). (A) Pharynx; (B) oesophagus; (C) stomach; (D) fore intestine; (E) mid-intestine; (F) hind intestine. cha, chaetae; fint, fore intestine; hint, hind intestine; ldm, longitudinal dorsal muscles; lvm, longitudinal ventral muscles; man, mandibles; max, maxillae; mint, mid intestine; oes, oesophagus; pg, parapodial gland; pha, pharynx; stm, stomach.

Epibiosis

Ciliophorans were observed attached to the surface of branchial filaments (Fig. 19) but not showing any defined pattern of attachment or distribution.

Distribution and ecology

The type locality corresponds to Ría de A Coruña (Galicia, NW Spain). Unfortunately, *Baird (1869)* did not provide neither the coordinates nor depth or abiotic characteristics

Figure 13 Histological sections of *Eunice woodwardi*. Transversal sections showing regions of the digestive tract of one specimen (Ría de Ferrol, MNCN 16.01/19147). (A, B) Oesophagus; (C, D) stomach; (E) mid-intestine; (F) hind intestine. cut, cuticle; dbv, dorsal blood vessel; hint, hind intestine; ldm, longitudinal dorsal muscles; lvm, longitudinal ventral muscles; mint, mid intestine; oes, oesophagus; stm, stomach; vlbv, ventral longitudinal blood vessel; vnc, ventral nerve cord.

where this specimen was collected. Non-type specimens collected in the Ría de Ferrol were found from the intertidal to 26.5 m depth, in a wide range of bottom types, from coarse (gravel) to fine (sandy mud and mud) sediments (Table 1).

Figure 14 SEM micrographs of *Eunice woodwardi*. Transversal sections showing regions of the digestive tract of one specimen (Ría de Ferrol, MNCN 16.01/19164). (A) Oesophagus and stomach; (B) cuticle of stomach, detail of (A); (C) mid-intestine; (D, E) details of (C). bs, blood sinus; cut, cuticle; dbv, dorsal blood vessel; ldm, longitudinal dorsal muscles; lvm, longitudinal ventral muscles; mint, mid-intestine; oes, oesophagus; pg, parapodial gland; stm, stomach; vlbv, ventral longitudinal blood vessel; vnc, ventral nerve cord.

Eunice vittata (*Delle Chiaje, 1829*)

Nereis vittata *Delle Chiaje, 1829*: 195.
Eunice vittata (Delle Chiaje). *Grube, 1850*: 293; *Fauchald, 1992*: 337–339, Fig. 115a-i, Tables 18, 41–42.

Material examined

Non-type material (Table 1): 2 specimens (MNHW; Naples, Italy), 5 specimens (ZMH-V 12932; Venice, Italy), 2 specimens (ZMH-P 14276, Banyuls-sur-Mer, France), 5 specimens (MNCN 16.01/2677 and 16.01/2723; Valencia, Spain) and 4 specimens (SPR04-03, PAD04-03, CBR01-06 and CBR01-11, Mallorca, Spain).

Figure 15 Micro-CT 3D volume rendering, histological section, SEM micrograph and schematic reconstruction of *Eunice woodwardi*. Frontal views of hind intestine of three specimens (Ría de Ferrol, MNCN 16.01/19147, MNCN 16.01/19149 and MNCN 16.01/19164). (A) Micro-CT section; (B) histological section; (C) SEM micrograph; (D) schematic reconstruction showing a transversal section depicting hind intestine (pink), longitudinal musculature (yellow), blood vessel (red), parapodial gland (green) and ventral nerve cord (blue). bv, blood vessel; cha, chaetae; ldm, longitudinal dorsal muscles; lvm, longitudinal ventral muscles; hint, hind intestine; pg, parapodial gland; vnc, ventral nerve cord.

External morphology (specimens from Naples)

Two specimens; one complete: 24 mm long, 2 mm wide, with 84 chaetigers, and one incomplete: 23 mm long, 2 mm wide, with 80 chaetigers.

Body slightly flattened dorsoventrally; original colouration not preserved in alcohol (Figs. 20A, 20B).

Prostomium nearly as wide as peristomium but shorter. Prostomial lobes frontally rounded. Prostomial appendages equally separated from each other, arranged in horseshoe shape; all antennae with ring-shaped ceratophores; ceratostyles slender, digitiform, smooth, lacking articulations but with poorly marked constrictions (Figs. 20C, 20D). A pair of dark eyes between lateral antennae and palps. Peristomium cylindrical; peristomial cirri digitiform, smooth.

Branchiae pectinate, stem longer than dorsal cirri, present from chaetiger 3 to 27–29 (<55% of body chaetigers); first branchia with 3 filaments (Fig. 20C); up to 7 filaments per branchia in following chaetigers. Last branchiate chaetigers with one filament. Branchial filaments longer or about as long as dorsal cirri in mid-branchial region chaetigers.

Figure 16 Histological section, schematic reconstructions and FESEM micrographs of *Eunice woodwardi*. Nervous system and sensory organs of three specimens (Ría de Ferrol, MNCN 16.01/19147, MNCN 16.01/19148 and MNCN 16.01/19166). (A, B) Histological frontal section showing brain and eye; (C, E) schematic reconstructions showing main nervous and sensory elements of anterior end in frontal (C) and sagittal sections (E); (D) histological sagittal section showing brain and nuchal organ; (F) SEM micrograph of prostomium and peristomium, lateral view; (G) detail of nuchal organ framed in (E). br, brain; e, eye; no, nuchal organ; spn, supra-oesophageal nerve; vnc, ventral nerve cord.

Parapodia sub-biramous. Dorsal cirri smooth, digitiform, tapering. Ventral cirri short with a digitiform tip, inflated basally from about chaetiger 3 to 27–29, in all specimens starting in chaetiger 3. Dorsal and ventral cirri decreasing in length from anterior to posterior parapodia (Figs. 20C, 20D). Lateral interramal red dots present in almost all body segments.

Figure 17 Schematic reconstruction, FESEM micrographs of parapodia and histological section of internal anatomy of *Eunice woodwardi*. Four specimens (Ría de Ferrol, MNCN 16.01/19157, MNCN 16.01/19158, MNCN 16.01/19160 and MNCN 16.01/19166). (A) Schematic reconstruction of a parapodium showing position of dorsal cirrus organ and ciliary area; (B–D) FESEM micrographs of parapodium showing dorsal cirrus organ; (C, D) details of (B); (E, F) FESEM micrographs of parapodium showing the ciliary area; (F) detail of (E); (G) histological transversal section showing body cavity full of gametes (stained in darker tones). bp, big pore; c, cilium; sp, small pore.

Two yellow neuroaciculae, one larger than the other; all neuroaciculae tapering with blunt tips, curved distally and protruding from acicular lobe, never T-shaped. Chaetae including 2–11 limbate, 1–3 pectinate, 3–23 compound falcigers and 1–5 tridentate subacicular hooks. Limbate and pectinate chaetae arranged in a bundle dorsal to neuroaciculae. Limbate chaetae elongated, marginally serrated, distally curved and tapering. All pectinate chaetae heterodont, about 0.3–0.4 times as long as limbate chaetae; number of teeth not observed, one external tooth three times as long as others. Compound falcigers ventral to neuroaciculae; shafts distally inflated and marginally serrated. Blades bidentate; proximal tooth slightly larger than distal tooth, triangular, perpendicular to blade axis; distal tooth curved dorsally; blade distal two thirds protected by elongated guard, marginally serrated; mucro absent. Number of limbate and compound falcigers

Figure 18 Histological section, schematic reconstruction and SEM micrographs of nephridia of *Eunice woodwardi*. Two specimens (Ría de Ferrol, MNCN 16.01/19144 and MNCN 16.01/19147). (A) Histological transversal section showing position of a nephridium (framed); (B) schematic reconstruction of (A); (C) SEM micrograph showing position of three nephridiopores, latero-ventral view, and detail of nephridial pore (frame). ne, nephridium; np, nephridial pore.

decreasing from anterior to posterior chaetigers (Tables S2, S3). Subacicular hooks from chaetigers 21–22 to posterior region, ventral to neuroaciculae, yellowish, tridentate with teeth in a crest, distal end protected by rounded guards; size decreasing towards posterior region. Maximum number of hooks in posteriormost chaetigers. Hooks almost hidden within parapodia in anterior chaetigers and protruding conspicuously in last chaetigers.

Barroso et al. (2022), *PeerJ*, DOI 10.7717/peerj.13126   25/39

Figure 19 SEM micrographs of ciliophoran epibionts on *Eunice woodwardi*. One specimen (Ría de Ferrol, MNCN 16.01/19156). (A, C) Branchial filaments showing location of ciliophoran epibionts (B, D) detail of ciliophoran epibionts as shown in (A) and (C), respectively.

DISCUSSION

Taxonomy, distribution and external morphology

The study of the holotype of *E. woodwardi* and recently collected material in the NW Atlantic coast of Spain supports the validity of this species; *E. woodwardi* clearly differs from *E. vittata* mostly regarding the presence of an apical mucro in the guard of falciger chaetae blades and the number of teeth in pectinate chaetae. Furthermore, several features not previously described in *E. woodwardi* by *Fauchald (1992)* are first mentioned here.

As stated before, it has not been dilucidated yet the systematic position at the genus level of all species formerly included in *Eunice* sensu *lato*. Anyway, *E. woodwardi* would fit into group C-1 *sensu Fauchald (1970)* mostly because of having tridentate subacicular hooks and first branchiae present before chaetiger 10 and ending before chaetiger 100. According to the descriptions by *Fauchald (1992)* and *Zanol, Fauchald & Paiva (2007)*, the closest species to *E. woodwardi* are *E. vittata* (placed in *Leodice* according to *Zanol et al., 2021*), *E. indica Kinberg, 1865* and *E. unifrons* (Verrill, 1900), because of sharing the same chaetal types and having also digitiform cephalic appendages separated from each other by the same distance.

Figure 20 Stereomicrographs and line drawings of *Eunice vittata*. One specimen (Naples, MNHW). (A, B) Anterior end in dorsal view and ventral view. (C, D) Line drawings of anterior end in dorsal (C) and ventral view (D). bra, branchia; cha, chaetae; dc, dorsal cirrus; la, lateral antenna; ma, median antenna; pa, palp; par, peristomial anterior ring; pc, peristomial cirrus; ppr, peristomial posterior ring; pr, prostomium; vc, ventral cirrus.

Eyes were not reported in all *Eunice* species; this character was not included in the description of *E. woodwardi* holotype neither by *Baird (1869)* nor by *Fauchald (1992)*. However, the presence of a pair of eyes in specimens from the Ría de Ferrol is here confirmed. Therefore, eyes might have been present in the holotype and then eyes pigment would have faded after being fixed for preservation. The holotype was in ethanol for 153 years while non-type material from Ferrol was for 35 years.

The maxillary formula is also described here for the first time for *E. woodwardi*. Several features are shared with most species of *Eunice* sensu *lato*, such as: (1) only the left MxIII is present, (2) MxI and V bear only one tooth, and (3) lack of MxVI. However, *E. woodwardi* differs from other species in the number of teeth of MxII, MxIII and MxIV. The most similar maxillary formula is that of *E. vittata*; *Fauchald (1992)* describes it from specimens collected near the type locality, *i.e.*, MxI: 1+1, MxII: 9–10+9–10, MxIII: 8–9+0, MxIV: 6+8–12, MxV: 1+1. Other authors, after examining specimens of supposedly the same species from the Atlantic and Pacific (*Fauvel, 1923*) and Indian oceans (*Day, 1967*), indicated slight differences, *i.e.*, there were more teeth in MxIV (10+13) while *Şahin & Çinar (2009)* reported 9+10 in MxIV for specimens from the eastern Mediterranean Sea. Thus, *E. vittata* would differ from *E. woodwardi* in having more teeth in left MxII and left MxIV, and fewer in left MxIII. *Eunice indica Kinberg, 1865* also has a similar formula, *i.e.*, MxI: 1+1, MxII: 9–11+8-11, MxIII: 8–11+0, MxIV: 7–10+13, MxV: 1+1 (*Day, 1967*), but this species bears more teeth in left MxII and fewer in right MxIV when compared to *E. woodwardi*; however, these specimens reported by *Day (1967)* from South Africa might correspond to a different species from *E. kinbergi*.

The morphology and arrangement of branchiae fit well with those described for species of group C-1, but differ in the range of branchiate chaetigers. In *E. woodwardi*, branchiae are present from chaetiger 3 to 36–46; however, a wider range of branchiate chaetigers has been reported for *E. vittata*, *e.g.*, 3–23 in specimens from Italy (*Fauchald, 1992*), 3 to 40–50 from Atlantic and Pacific oceans (*Fauvel, 1923*), 3–45 from Indian Ocean (*Day, 1967*) and 3–25 from Turkey (*Şahin & Çinar, 2009*). In the case of *E. indica*, branchiae seem restricted to fewer chaetigers (3 to 21; *Day, 1967*). The maximum number of branchial filaments is up to 12–14 in *E. woodwardi*, while in *E. vittata* this number varies slightly: up to 12 (*Fauchald, 1992*), 14 (*Fauvel, 1923*) and 10–20 (*sensu Day, 1967*, see comment above); *E. indica* bears from up to 8 (*Fauchald, 1992*) to 10–15 (*Day, 1967*).

On the other hand, the presence of discocilia on branchiae had previously been reported by *Heimler (1978)* for *Lanice conchilega* (Pallas, 1766). However, *Ehlers & Ehlers (1978)* stated that this type of cilia represent an artificial structure; this assumption was later confirmed by *Short & Tamm (1991)* who stated that these artefacts were caused probably by fixation and osmotic stress and by *Göbbeler & Klussmann-Kolb (2006)*.

Overall features of chaetal composition (limbate, pectinate and compound falciger chaetae, tridentate subacicular hooks and aciculae) in *E. woodwardi* are also shared with several group C-1 species. However, the presence of a mucro in compound falcigers is not shared with all species of this group such as *E. unifrons* and *E. vittata*. *Fauvel (1923)*, *Day (1967)* and *Campoy (1982)* described compound falcigers provided with long pointed guards in specimens of what they regarded as *E. vittata* from the Atlantic and Pacific oceans, Indian Ocean and Mediterranean Sea, respectively. On the contrary, *Fauchald (1992)* examined specimens of *E. vittata* collected near its type locality and stated that blade guards lack mucros. Furthermore, number of chaetae per parapodium also seem to differ between *E. vittata* and *E. woodwardi*. Specimens from the eastern Mediterranean identified as *E. vittata* by *Şahin & Çinar (2009)* bear 2–5 limbate and 2–6 falciger chaetae

per parapodium instead of 3–14 and 3–23 respectively as found in *E. woodwardi*. On the other hand, the number of pectinate chaetae per parapodium is similar in both species but they differ in number of teeth: *E. vittata* has up to five *sensu Fauchald (1992)* and *E. woodwardi* bears 7–9. Numbers of subacicular hooks also vary across group C-1, numbering three or more in *E. woodwardi*, *E. indica* and *E. vittata* and 1–2 in remaining species.

In this context, it seems that features of specimens attributed to *E. vittata* from across the world show much variation. Therefore, we also examined specimens from several western Mediterranean locations that were identified as *E. vittata* all having tridentate subacicular and blade guards lacking a distinct mucro (following *Fauchald, 1992*). On the one hand, the specimens of *E. vittata* that were collected near the type locality (Naples, Italy) also differ from *E. woodwardi* in having: (1) cephalic appendages with constrictions; (2) branchiae limited to fewer chaetigers (chaetigers 3–29 *vs* 3–40); (3) first branchia provided with 3 filaments; (4) fewer branchial filaments (up to 7 *vs* 9–14); and (5) tridentate subacicular hooks first present from chaetigers 21–22. On the other hand, specimens of *E. vittata* from other locations show differences with both *E. vittata* from Naples and *E. woodwardi*. For instance, specimens from Banyuls-sur-Mer and Mallorca bear cephalic appendages that are provided with constrictions but they differ in branchial distribution range; specimens from Valencia bear branchiae from chaetiger 3 to 36–40, while those from Banyuls-sur-Mer bear fewer branchiate chaetigers (chaetiger 3 to 26–29 and 3 to 28, respectively). They also differ in maximum number of branchial filaments: 2–3 (Banyuls-sur-Mer), 4 (Mallorca) and 7 (Valencia). The number of limbate and compound falciger chaetae per parapodium also shows variation (Tables S2, S3): 3–9 limbate and 2–13 compound falcigers (Valencia) and 3–7 and 4–13 (Mallorca), that are fewer than those found in *E. woodwardi* (3–14 and 3–23). Regarding subacicular hooks, *E. vittata* from Venice showed up to four hooks per chaetiger but never reaching up to five, while *E. vittata* from Valencia were characterised by having just up to two hooks but only present in the last chaetigers (107–110) of large specimens. In all, these observations support that *E. woodwardi* from NW Iberian Peninsula differ clearly from specimens attributed to *E. vittata* from western Mediterranean; the morphological variability found among the latter also suggests that there might be several species involved that share non-mucronate blade guards and tridentate hooks, not discarding the presence of exotic species as well (*Zanol et al., 2021*). In this context, *Zanol et al. (2021)* also states that *E. vittata* (as *Leodice*) and other species formerly considered as cosmopolitan might have restricted distributions once their taxonomy is clarified.

Furthermore, the material examined from the Ría de Ferrol corresponds entirely to *E. woodwardi*. Therefore, we also suggest that many (if not all) previous reports of *E. vittata* from NW Iberian Peninsula might correspond to *E. woodwardi*. In fact, *E. vittata* has never been reported in the Ría de Coruña, neither in the intertidal band (*García Álvarez et al., 1993*) nor in the subtidal realm (*López-Jamar & Mejuto, 1985*, *1988*; *López-Jamar, González & Mejuto, 1986*; *López-Jamar & González, 1987*; *López-Jamar et al., 1995*).

Internal anatomy

The study of several specimens with the micro-CT and through HIS has revealed for the first time the main features of the internal anatomy of *E. woodwardi*, such as the regionalized digestive tract or the presence of nuchal organs. The highly regionalized gut of *E. woodwardi*, divided into pharynx, oesophagus, stomach, fore, mid- and hind intestine, is against the opinion of *Penry & Jumars (1990)*, *i.e.*, that the digestive tract in carnivorous "polychaetes" is very simple, and divided only into two parts, a foregut and a hindgut. However, these authors did not include in their study neither carnivorous taxa nor any *Eunice* species. Anyway, we have not been able to verify whether *E. woodwardi* actually behave as a carnivore or not, and available information for other species is contradictory. For instance, *Gaston (1987)* considered *E. vittata* as a detritivore after examination of gut contents while *Deudero et al. (2011)* regarded it as a carnivore after analysing stable isotopes of carbon and nitrogen. In fact, the concept of a simple digestive tube in carnivorous species, made up of mouth, pharynx, oesophagus and intestine, had been already described by *Ehlers (1868)* for *Eunice*; however, the illustrations included in his work suggest that the digestive tract is more complex. The pharynx of *E. woodwardi* is ventral and may correspond to the Type 4 described by *Tzetlin & Purschke (2005)*. We also observed a pair of small, rounded structures inside the pharynx (g, Figs. 11A, 11B), that could be similar to the glands mentioned by these authors in the same type of pharynx present in the family Dorvilleidae Chamberlin, 1919.

The arrangement of musculature, circulatory and nervous systems in *E. woodwardi* agrees with the typical pattern described for the annelid body plan (*Beesley, Ross & Glasby, 2000*; *Tzetlin & Purschke, 2005*). In fact, the central nervous system of *E. woodwardi*, composed by pairs of ganglia, almost totally fused, and located along the ventral nerve cord, is similar to that described by *Ehlers (1868)* for *Leodice harassii* (Audouin & Milne Edwards, 1833), *Hofmann (1974)* for *Palola siciliensis* (Grube, 1840) and *Zanol (2010)* for Eunicidae. Furthermore, different types of sensory organs such as eyes, nuchal organs and dorsal cirrus ciliary organs have been observed in *E. woodwardi*. Shape and location of nuchal organs are the same as described by *Hofmann (1974)* for *P. siciliensis* and *Fauchald & Rouse (1997)* for other members of Eunicidae. Similarly, features of dorsal cirrus organs agree with that of *Leodice antennata* Savigny *in* Lamarck, 1818 and *Marphysa sanguinea* (Montagu, 1813) (see *Hayashi & Yamane, 1994*). Ciliary areas observed below the parapodial ventral cirrus, of unknown function, might either correspond to the opening of parapodial glandular organs (*Meißner, Bick & Müller, 2012*) or to another type of sensory organ like the dorsal cirrus organ.

Large glandular masses were observed in each parapodium of *E. woodwardi* that are probably parapodial glands associated with chaetae. These glands are similar (although smaller) to the gland-associated chaetal complex that is part of the parapodial glandular organs in Spionidae to which *Meißner, Bick & Müller (2012)* suggest a secretory activity related to the chaetogenesis and tube construction.

Cuticular pores are mentioned here for the first time in *E. woodwardi*. Their function is likely to be excretory although the techniques used did not reveal the presence of

associated glands. Similar pores were already described for *Eunice* by *Ehlers (1868)*, who suggests an excretory function in *L. harassii* because of being connected to subcutaneous glandular masses.

We also confirmed the presence of nephridia in most segments as it happens in many eunicids (*Krishnamoorthi, 1963*). Arrangement of nephridia and nephridiopores also agrees with that of other Eunicida such as *Dorvillea rubrovittata* (Grube, 1855), *Leodice torquata* (Quatrefages, 1866) (*Fage, 1906*) and *Onuphis eremita* Audouin & Milne Edwards, 1833 (*Krishnamoorthi, 1963*) as well as in Chrysopetalidae Ehlers, 1864 (*Tzetlin, Dahlgren & Purschke, 2002*). These are probably metanephridia because of their shape and position, as previously described for Eunicidae by *Goodrich (1945)*; however, the lumen and cilia of the nephrostoma could not be clearly seen in *E. woodwardi*.

Oocytes of *E. woodwardi* are very similar to those of *P. siciliensis* (see *Hofmann, 1974*) and, as in the latter, when they reach maturity, the longitudinal musculature in the posterior body region is much reduced and the intestinal epithelium is atrophied. In addition, this posterior region in *E. woodwardi* also lacks some typical elements such as blood vessels and glandular masses associated with parapodial chaetae, which is consistent with a corporal degeneration that is, in turn, directly linked with release of gametes through liberation of posterior body end. In fact, as previously mentioned, most studied specimens lack the posterior body half, and this might be due to a rough handling of the samples and/or that *E. woodwardi* has indeed an epitokous reproduction mode with release of the posterior body end as was reported by *Wilson (1991)* in other *Eunice* species.

The shape, size and abundance of the gametes observed through HIS in one specimen of *E. woodwardi*, suggests that it is probably a male with gametes in an early stage of maturation and very similar in size and shape to male gametes reported by *Ouassas et al. (2015)* for *M. sanguinea*, forming groupings (morulae *sensu Ouassas et al., 2015*) and accumulate in the coelomic cavity of posterior half of the body. Gonads could not be studied in our specimens, and it was not possible to assess whether the oogenesis of *E. woodwardi* is extraovarian or intraovarian. However, an extraovarian strategy was reported by *Ouassas et al. (2015)* for *M. sanguinea*.

Ciliophoran epibionts

Epibiosis is a widespread phenomenon and marine annelids show a variety of ecological relationships with other organisms, including different symbiotic associations (*Martin & Britayev, 1998*) and some have been reported as hosts (basibionts) of a large variety of other taxa (*Álvarez-Campos et al., 2014*). *Mikac et al. (2019)* states that this association can be considered as ectocommensalism because the annelid gets no harm from the epibiont. The presence of ciliophorans as epibionts on "polychaetes" has been reported previously in Onuphidae (*Arias, Anadón & Paxton, 2010*), Syllidae (*Álvarez-Campos et al., 2014*), Ampharetidae (*Parapar et al., 2018*), Polynoidae and Sigalionidae (*Mikac et al., 2019*). Ciliophorans have been found in *E. woodwardi* only on branchiae but in other species can be found also in other parts, such as body surface, prostomium, mouth opening, palps, chaetae, parapodial cirri and pygidium. For instance, in the ampharetid *Ampharete santillani* Parapar, Kongsrud, Kongshavn, Alvestad, Aneiros & Moreira, 2018 the

ciliophorans were found across the body but particularly on ciliated areas, such as the branchial surface (*Parapar et al., 2018*).

CONCLUSIONS

Our examination of the holotype and additional material near the type locality confirms that *E. woodwardi* is a valid species and different to *E. vittata*. *Eunice woodwardi* is distinguished by the non-articulated and non-constricted cephalic appendages, the maxillary formula, the range of branchial distribution, the maximum number of branchial filaments, the presence of an apical mucro in the guard of falciger chaetae blades, and the number of teeth in pectinate chaetae. *Eunice woodwardi* is at least present in the rias of A Coruña and Ferrol, and we suggest that previous reports of *E. vittata* from the NW Iberian Peninsula should be reviewed. The integrative use of different anatomical techniques for the study of the internal anatomy has confirmed previous observations in the genus *Eunice sensu lato* and allowed reporting some previously unknown structures such as the ciliated areas located below ventral cirrus. The presence of ciliophoran epibionts on branchiae is also reported.

ABBREVIATIONS

ac	acicula
br	brain
bra1	branchia 1
bra	branchia
bp	big pore
bs	blood sinus
bv	blood vessel
c	cilium
CH	chaetiger
CH1	chaetiger 1
cfb	compound falciger blade
cha	chaetae
cfbg	compound falciger blade guard
cfs	compound falciger shaft
cgp	cuticular glandular pore
cp	ceratophore
cs	ceratostyle
cut	cuticle
dc	dorsal cirrus
df	fringe of discocilia
dbv	dorsal blood vessel
dst	distal tooth
e	eye
fc	falciger chaeta

FESEM	Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope
fint	fore intestine
g	gland
hint	hind intestine
HIS	Histological Sectioning
ivc	inflated ventral cirrus
L	length
la	lateral antenna
lc	limbate chaeta
LCM	Light Compound Microscopy
ldm	longitudinal dorsal muscles
lvm	longitudinal ventral muscles
m	mucro
ma	median antenna
man	mandibles
max	maxillae
micro-CT	micro-computed X-ray tomography
mint	mid-intestine
mo	mouth
ms	median sulcus
Mx	maxilla
ne	nephridium
neac	neuroaciculae
ng	nerve ganglia
no	nuchal organ
noac	notoaciculae
np	nephridial pore
oes	oesophagus
ooc	oocytes
pa	palp
par	peristomial anterior ring
pc	peristomial cirrus
pec	pectinate chaeta
pcmt	pectinate chaeta marginal teeth
pg	parapodial gland
pha	pharynx
ppr	peristomial posterior ring
pr	prostomium
prt	proximal tooth
sah	subacicular hook
sahg	subacicular hook guard

SEM	Scanning Electron Microscopy
sp	small pore
spn	supra-oesophageal nerve
stm	stomach
vc	ventral cirrus
vlbv	ventral longitudinal blood vessel
vnc	ventral nerve cord
W	width

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Many thanks to Ada Castro and Catalina Sueiro (Servizos de Apoio á Investigación, Universidade da Coruña) for SEM and FESEM assistance, to María Candás (EBMG-USC) for assistance with the micro-CT and Carlos Caramelo (UDC) for histological sectioning. We are also grateful to Javier Sánchez Almazán (MNCN) for providing specimens and Guillermo Díaz-Agras and the staff at the REBUSC-EBMG (USC) for help with sampling at the Ría de Ferrol. Special thanks to Joana Zanol (Museu Nacional, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Brazil) for providing relevant information of eunicid anatomy, Emma Sherlock (NHML, London, England) for the loan of the holotype of *Eunice woodwardi* and Jolanta Jurkowska (MNHW, University of Wrocław, Poland), María Capa (Universitat de les Illes Balears, Spain) and Mariam Duncker (ZMH, Germany) for the loan of material of *Eunice vittata*. We are deeply grateful to Sergio Salazar-Vallejo and Luis Carrera-Parra for their critical and constructive suggestions, which greatly improved the quality of the final version of the manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by the Fauna Ibérica research project Polychaeta VII, Palpata, Canalipalpata II (PGC2018-095851-B-C64) from the Ministerio de Economía Industria y Competitividad (Spain). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Grant Disclosures

The following grant information was disclosed by the authors:
Fauna Ibérica research project Polychaeta VII, Palpata, Canalipalpata II: PGC2018-095851-B-C64.
Ministerio de Economía Industria y Competitividad, Spain.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author Contributions

- María Barroso conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
- Juan Moreira conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
- Julio Parapar conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.

Data Availability

Eunice woodwardi, Media 000392268: Anterior Part: DOI 10.17602/M2/M392268.
Eunice woodwardi, Media 000392273: Anterior Part: DOI 10.17602/M2/M392273.

Supplemental Information

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.13126#supplemental-information.

REFERENCES

Alba-Tercedor J, Sánchez-Tocino L. 2011. The use of the SkyScan 1172 high-resolution micro-CT to elucidate if the spicules of the sea slugs (Mollusca: Nudibranchia, Opisthobranchia) have a structural or a defensive function. *SkyScan Users Meeting* **2011**:113–121.

Álvarez-Campos P, Fernández Leborans G, Verdes A, San Martín G, Martin D, Riesgo A. 2014. The tag-along friendship: epibiotic protozoans and syllid polychaetes. Implications for the taxonomy of Syllidae (Annelida), and description of three new species of *Rhabdostyla* and *Cothurnia* (Ciliophora, Peritrichia). *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* **172(2)**:265–281 DOI 10.1111/zoj12168.

Arias A, Anadón N, Paxton H. 2010. New records of *Diopatra marocensis* (Annelida: Onuphidae) from northern Spain. *Zootaxa* **2691(1)**:67–68 DOI 10.11646/zootaxa.2691.1.5.

Baird W. 1869. Remarks on several genera of annelids, belonging to the group Eunicea, with a notice of such species as are contained in the collection of the British Museum, and a description of some others hitherto undescribed. *Journal of the Linnean Society of London* **10(46)**:341–361 DOI 10.1111/j.1096-3642.1869.tb00665.x.

Bartolomaeus T, Purschke G. 2005. Morphology, molecules, evolution and phylogeny in polychaeta and related taxa. In: *Developments in Hydrobiology.* Vol. 179. Dordrecht: Springer.

Beesley PL, Ross GJ, Glasby CJ. 2000. *Polychaetes & allies: The southern synthesis. Fauna of Australia. Polychaeta, myzostomida, pogonophora, echiura, sipuncula.* Vol. 4A. Melbourne: CSIRO publishing.

Besteiro C, Parapar J, Saiz Salinas JI, Martínez-Ansemil E, Moreira J. 2018. Filo annelida. In: Bañón R, ed. *Inventario de la Biodiversidad Marina de Galicia: Proyecto LEMGAL.* Santiago de Compostela: Consellería do Mar, Xunta de Galicia, 339–372.

Campoy A. 1982. Fauna de los Anélidos Poliquetos de la Península Ibérica. *Publicaciones de Biología de la Universidad de Navarra, Serie Zoológica* **7(1–2)**:1–781.

Carrera-Parra LF, Salazar-Vallejo SI. 1998. A new genus and 12 new species of Eunicidae (Polychaeta) from the Caribbean Sea. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* **78(1)**:145–182 DOI 10.1017/S0025315400040005.

Day JH. 1967. *A Monograph on the Polychaeta of Southern Africa. Part I. Errantia*. London: British Museum (Natural History).

Delle Chiaje S. 1829. *Memorie sulla storia e notomia degli animali senza vertebre del Regno di Napoli*. Vol. 4. Napoli: Stamperia della Societa' Tipografica.

Deudero S, Box A, Alós J, Arroyo NL, Marbà N. 2011. Functional changes due to invasive species: Food web shifts at shallow *Posidonia oceanica* seagrass beds colonized by the alien macroalga *Caulerpa racemosa*. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* **93(2)**:106–116 DOI 10.1016/j.ecss.2011.03.017.

Ehlers E. 1868. *Die Borstenwürmer (Annelida Chaetopoda) nach systematischen und anatomischen Untersuchungen dargestellt*. Vol. 1. Leipzig: Wilhelm Engelmann.

Ehlers U, Ehlers B. 1978. Paddle cilia and discocilia—Genuine structures? *Cell and Tissue Research* **192(3)**:489–501 DOI 10.1007/BF00212328.

Fage L. 1906. Recherches sur les organes segmentaires des annélides polychètes. *Annales des Sciences Naturelles, Zoologie, ser 9* **3**:261–410.

Fauchald K. 1970. Polychaetous annelids of the families Eunicidae, Lumbrineridae, Iphitimidae, Arabellidae, Lysaretidae and Dorvilleidae from western Mexico. *Allan Hancock Monographs in Marine Biology* **5**:1–335.

Fauchald K. 1992. A review of the genus *Eunice* (Eunicidae: Polychaeta) based upon type material. *Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology* **523(523)**:1–422 DOI 10.5479/si.00810282.523.

Fauchald K, Rouse G. 1997. Polychaete systematics: past and present. *Zoologica Scripta* **26(2)**:71–138 DOI 10.1111/j.1463-6409.1997.tb00411.x.

Fauvel P. 1923. Polychètes errantes. *Faune de France* **5**:1–488.

García Álvarez O, Míguez Rodríguez L, Fernández Abelleira J, Ortiz Delgado S, Veloso Ríos M. 1993. Poblamientos faunísticos intermareales de sustrato duro en la ría de La Coruña. *Publicaciones Especiales del Instituto Español de Oceanografía* **11**:267–274.

Gaston GR. 1987. Benthic polychaeta of the Middle Atlantic Bight: feeding and distribution. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **36(3)**:251–262 DOI 10.3354/meps036251.

Goodrich ES. 1945. The study of nephridia and genital ducts since 1895. *Quarterly Journal of Microscopical Science* **86**:113–392 DOI 10.1242/jcs.s2-86.342.113.

Grube AE. 1850. Die familien der anneliden. *Archiv für Naturgeschichte* **16(1)**:249–364.

Göbbeler K, Klussmann-Kolb A. 2006. Paddle cilia on the cephalic sensory organs (CSOs) of Opisthobranchia (Mollusca: Gastropoda)–genuine structures or artefacts. *Bonner Zoologische Beiträge* **55**:223–229.

Hartman O. 1944. Polychaetous annelids. Part V. Eunicea. *Allan Hancock Atlantic Expeditions* **10**:1–237.

Hartman O. 1959. Catalogue of the polychaetous annelids of the world. *Occasional Papers of the Allan Hancock Foundation* **23**:1–628 DOI 10.25549/hancock-c82-19573.

Hayashi I, Yamane S. 1994. On a probable sense organ newly found in some eunicid polychaetes. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* **74(4)**:765–770 DOI 10.1017/S0025315400090032.

Heimler W. 1978. Discocilia—A new type of kinocilia in the larvae of *Lanice conchilega* (Polychaeta, Terebellomorpha). *Cell and Tissue Research* **187(2)**:271–280 DOI 10.1007/BF00224370.

Hofmann DK. 1974. Maturation, epitoky and regeneration in the polychaete *Eunice siciliensis* under field and laboratory conditions. *Marine Biology* **25(2)**:149–161 DOI 10.1007/BF00389263.

Hutchings PA. 1986. Biological destruction of coral reefs. *Coral Reefs* **4(4)**:239–252 DOI 10.1007/BF00298083.

Kinberg JGH. 1865. Annulata nova. *Öjversigt af Kongliga Vetenskaps-Akademiens Förhandlingar* **21**:559–574.

Krishnamoorthi B. 1963. Gross morphology and histology of nephridia in four species of polychaetes. *Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences-Section B* **57(3)**:195–208 DOI 10.1007/BF03052218.

Lu H, Fauchald K. 2000. A phylogenetic and biogeographic study of *Euniphysa* (Eunicidae, Polychaeta). *Journal of Natural History* **34(7)**:997–1044 DOI 10.1080/00222930050020113.

López-Jamar E, Francesch O, Dorrío AV, Parra S. 1995. Long-term variation of the infaunal benthos of a Coruña Bay (NW Spain): results from a 12-year study (1982–1993). *Scientia Marina* **59**:49–61.

López-Jamar E, González G. 1987. Infaunal macrobenthos of the Galician continental shelf off a Coruña Bay, Northwest Spain. *Biological Oceanography* **4(2)**:165–192 DOI 10.1080/01965581.1987.10749488.

López-Jamar E, González G, Mejuto J. 1986. Temporal changes of community structure and biomass in two subtidal macroinfauna assemblages in a Coruña bay, NW Spain. *Hydrobiologia* **142(1)**:137–150 DOI 10.1007/BF00026754.

López-Jamar E, Mejuto J. 1985. Bentos infaunal en la zona submareal de la ría de La Coruña. I Estructura y distribución especial de las comunidades. *Boletín del Instituto Español de Oceanografía* **2(3)**:99–109.

López-Jamar E, Mejuto J. 1988. Infaunal benthic recolonization after dredging operations in La Coruña Bay, NW Spain. *Cahiers de Biologie Marine* **29**:37–49 DOI 10.21411/CBM.A.A619891A.

MacAndrew R, Woodward H. 1864. Species of Mollusca obtained in Corunna Bay. *Annals and Magazine of Natural History* **14**:232–234 DOI 10.1080/00222936408681693.

Martin D, Britayev TA. 1998. Symbiotic polychaetes: review of known species. *Oceanography and Marine Biology: An Annual Review* **35(36)**:217–340 DOI 10.1201/b12646.

Meißner K, Bick A, Müller CH. 2012. Parapodial glandular organs in *Spiophanes* (Polychaeta: Spionidae)—studies on their functional anatomy and ultrastructure. *Journal of Morphology* **273(3)**:291–311 DOI 10.1002/jmor.11022.

Mikac B, Semprucci F, Guidi L, Ponti M, Abbiati M, Balsamo M, Dovgal I. 2019. Newly discovered associations between peritrich ciliates (Ciliophora: Peritrichia) and scale polychaetes (Annelida: Polynoidae and Sigalionidae) with a review of polychaete-peritrich epibiosis. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* **188(4)**:939–953 DOI 10.1093/zoolinnean/zlz111.

Miura T. 1986. Japanese polychaetes of the genera *Eunice* and *Euniphysa*: taxonomy and branchial distribution patterns. *Publications of the Seto Marine Biological Laboratory* **31(3–6)**:269–325 DOI 10.5134/176125.

Molina-Acevedo IC, Carrera-Parra LF. 2017. Revision of *Marphysa* de Quatrefages, 1865 and some species of *Nicidion* Kinberg, 1865 with the erection of a new genus (Polychaeta: Eunicidae) from the Grand Caribbean. *Zootaxa* **4241(1)**:1–62 DOI 10.11646/zootaxa.4241.1.1.

Orrhage L. 1995. On the innervation and homologues of the anterior end appendages of the Eunicea (Polychaeta), with a tentative outline of the fundamental constitution of the cephalic nervous system of the polychaetes. *Acta Zoologica* **76(3)**:229–248 DOI 10.1111/j.1463-6395.1995.tb00996.x.

Ouassas M, Lefrere L, Ait Alla A, Agnaou M, Gillet P, Moukrim A. 2015. Reproductive cycle of *Marphysa sanguinea* (Polychaeta: Eunicidae) in a Saharan wetland: Khnifiss Lagoon (South of Morocco). *Journal of Materials and Environmental Science* **6**:246–253 DOI 10.1155/2013/624197.

Parapar J, Candás M, Cunha-Veira X, Moreira J. 2017. Exploring annelid anatomy using micro-computed tomography: a taxonomic approach. *Zoologischer Anzeiger* **270(82)**:19–42 DOI 10.1016/j.jcz.2017.09.001.

Parapar J, Caramelo C, Candás M, Cunha-Veira X, Moreira J. 2019. An integrative approach to the anatomy of *Syllis gracilis* Grube, 1840 (Annelida) using micro-computed X-ray tomography. *PeerJ* **7(5)**:e7251 DOI 10.7717/peerj.7251.

Parapar J, Freire J, Urgorri V, Besteiro C. 1993. Morphological variability in *Eunice vittata* (Chiaje, 1828) (Polychaeta; Eunicidae) in the ria de Ferrol (Galicia, NW Spain). *Ophelia* **37(2)**:117–125 DOI 10.1080/00785326.1993.10429912.

Parapar J, Kongsrud JA, Kongshavn K, Alvestad T, Aneiros F, Moreira J. 2018. A new species of *Ampharete* (Annelida: Ampharetidae) from the NW Iberian Peninsula, with a synoptic table comparing NE Atlantic species of the genus. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* **183(3)**:526–555 DOI 10.1093/zoolinnean/zlx077.

Parapar J, Moreira J. 2009. Polychaeta of the 'DIVA-Artabria I' project (cruise 2002) in the continental shelf and upper slope off Galicia (NW Spain). *Cahiers de Biologie Marine* **50**:57–78 DOI 10.21411/CBM.A.D684C1C4.

Parapar J, Urgorri V, Besteiro C. 1996. Inventario dos poliquetos (Annelida: Polychaeta) de Galicia. *Cadernos da Área de Ciencias Biolóxicas (Inventarios)* **16**:1–178.

Penry DL, Jumars PA. 1990. Gut architecture, digestive constraints and feeding ecology of deposit-feeding and carnivorous polychaetes. *Oecologia* **82(1)**:1–11 DOI 10.1007/BF00318526.

Read G, Fauchald K. 2022. World polychaeta database. Eunice woodwardi Baird, 1869. Accessed through: World Register of Marine Species. *Available at http://www.marinespecies.org/aphia. php?p=taxdetails&id=327815* (accessed 15 January 2022).

Şahin GK, Çinar ME. 2009. Eunicidae (Polychaeta) species in and around İskenderun Bay (Levantine Sea, Eastern Mediterranean) with a new alien species for the Mediterranean Sea and a re-description of *Lysidice collaris. Turkish Journal of Zoology* **33(3)**:331–347 DOI 10.3906/zoo-0806-19.

Short G, Tamm SL. 1991. On the nature of paddle cilia and discocilia. *The Biological Bulletin* **180(3)**:466–474 DOI 10.2307/1542347.

Tzetlin AB, Dahlgren T, Purschke G. 2002. Ultrastructure of the body wall, body cavity, nephridia and spermatozoa in four species of the Chrysopetalidae (Annelida, "Polychaeta"). *Zoologischer Anzeiger* **241(1)**:37–55 DOI 10.1078/0044-5231-00018.

Tzetlin A, Purschke G. 2005. Pharynx and intestine. In: Bartolomaeus T, Purschke G, eds. *Morphology, Molecules, Evolution and Phylogeny in Polychaeta and Related Taxa.* Vol. 179. Dordrecht: Springer, 199–225.

Wilson WH. 1991. Sexual reproductive modes in polychaetes: classification and diversity. *Bulletin of Marine Science* **48(2)**:500–516.

Zanol J. 2010. Homology of prostomial and pharyngeal structures in Eunicida (Annelida) based on innervation and morphological similarities. *Journal of Morphology* **271(9)**:1023–1043 DOI 10.1002/jmor.10843.

Zanol J, Carrera-Parra LF, Steiner TM, Amaral ACZ, Wiklund H, Ravara A, Budaeva N. 2021. The current state of Eunicida (Annelida) systematics and biodiversity. *Diversity* **13(2)**:74 DOI 10.3390/d13020074.

Zanol J, Fauchald K, Paiva PC. 2007. A phylogenetic analysis of the genus *Eunice* (Eunicidae, polychaete, Annelida). *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* **150(2)**:413–434 DOI 10.1111/j.1096-3642.2007.00302.x.

Zanol J, Halanych KM, Fauchald K. 2014. Reconciling taxonomy and phylogeny in the bristleworm family Eunicidae (polychaete, Annelida). *Zoologica Scripta* **43(1)**:79–100 DOI 10.1111/zsc.12034.

Zanol J, Halanych KM, Struck TH, Fauchald K. 2010. Phylogeny of the bristle worm family Eunicidae (Eunicida, Annelida) and the phylogenetic utility of noncongruent 16S, COI and 18S in combined analyses. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **55(2)**:660–676 DOI 10.1016/j.ympev.2009.12.024.