

Morrison, A.P. et al. (2015) Negative cognition, affect, metacognition and dimensions of paranoia in people at ultra-high risk of psychosis: a multi-level modelling analysis. *Psychological Medicine*, 45(12), pp. 2675-2684.

There may be differences between this version and the published version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

<http://eprints.gla.ac.uk/109839/>

Deposited on: 10 September 2015

Negative cognition, affect, metacognition and dimensions of paranoia in people at ultra-high risk of psychosis: a multi-level modelling analysis

A. P. Morrison^{1,2*}, N. Shryane³, D. Fowler⁴, M. Birchwood⁵, A. I. Gumley⁶, H. E. Taylor¹, P. French^{1,2}, S. L. K. Stewart⁷, P. B. Jones⁸, S. W. Lewis⁹ and R. P. Bentall¹⁰

¹School of Psychological Sciences, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

²Psychosis Research Unit, Greater Manchester West Mental Health NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester, UK

³Institute for Social Change, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

⁴School of Medicine, Health Policy, and Practice, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK

⁵School of Psychology, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

⁶Institute of Health and Wellbeing, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK

⁷Department of Psychology, University of Chester, Chester, UK

⁸Department of Psychiatry, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

⁹Institute for Brain, Behaviour and Mental Health, School of Medicine, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

¹⁰Institute of Psychology, Health and Society, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

Background. Paranoia is one of the commonest symptoms of psychosis but has rarely been studied in a population at risk of developing psychosis. Based on existing theoretical models, including the proposed distinction between ‘poor me’ and ‘bad me’ paranoia, we aimed to test specific predictions about associations between negative cognition, metacognitive beliefs and negative emotions and paranoid ideation and the belief that persecution is deserved (deservedness).

Method. We used data from 117 participants from the Early Detection and Intervention Evaluation for people at risk of psychosis (EDIE-2) trial of cognitive-behaviour therapy, comparing them with samples of psychiatric in-patients and healthy students from a previous study. Multi-level modelling was utilized to examine predictors of both paranoia and deservedness, with *post-hoc* planned comparisons conducted to test whether person-level predictor variables were associated differentially with paranoia or with deservedness.

Results. Our sample of at-risk mental state participants was not as paranoid, but reported higher levels of ‘bad-me’ deservedness, compared with psychiatric in-patients. We found several predictors of paranoia and deservedness. Negative beliefs about self were related to deservedness but not paranoia, whereas negative beliefs about others were positively related to paranoia but negatively with deservedness. Both depression and negative metacognitive beliefs about paranoid thinking were specifically related to paranoia but not deservedness.

Conclusions. This study provides evidence for the role of negative cognition, metacognition and negative affect in the development of paranoid beliefs, which has implications for psychological interventions and our understanding of psychosis.

Received 29 June 2014; Revised 11 March 2015; Accepted 17 March 2015

Key words: At risk mental state, cognitive models, paranoia, psychosis.

Introduction

Paranoia has been defined as ‘a disordered mode of thought that is dominated by an intense, irrational, but persistent mistrust or suspicion of people and a corresponding tendency to interpret the actions of others as deliberately threatening or demeaning’ (Fenigstein, 1984). It is a common experience which,

in its most severe form, is expressed as persecutory delusions in people with psychotic diagnoses such as schizophrenia (Moutoussis *et al.* 2007), affective psychosis (Lattuada *et al.* 1999) or related conditions, but which is found in less severe forms in healthy populations (Freeman *et al.* 2005). This latter finding forms part of the evidence base suggesting that psychotic-like phenomena in general can be detected within the general population (Hanssen *et al.* 2005; van Os *et al.* 2009). Recent studies have proposed that there may be two subtypes of paranoia: ‘poor-me’ paranoia (in which persecution is believed to be undeserved) and ‘bad-me’, in which persecution is believed to be deserved and ego-syntonic (Trower & Chadwick, 1995). It has been

* Address for correspondence: A. P. Morrison, School of Psychological Sciences, University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL, UK.
(Email: tmorrison@manchester.ac.uk)

reported that bad-me paranoia is rare in acutely psychotic patients (Fornells-Ambrojo & Garety, 2005), although some studies have shown that some patients cycle between poor-me and bad-me beliefs (Melo *et al.* 2006). Several psychological models have been developed, which have implicated cognitive, meta-cognitive and affective processes in paranoia, particularly processes linked to negative emotion (Bentall *et al.* 2001; Garety *et al.* 2001; Morrison, 2001; Freeman *et al.* 2002; Morrison *et al.* 2011).

The natural fluctuation of psychotic experiences and co-occurring affective symptoms within adolescence (Loewy *et al.* 2007; van Os *et al.* 2009), as well as findings that psychotic experiences are often reported by patients with both affective and anxiety disorders (Varghese *et al.* 2011) and that the persistence of psychotic experiences is linked with increased levels of affective symptoms (van Rossum *et al.* 2011), have led to suggestions that depression and anxiety should be considered as necessary conditions for the onset of psychosis in general (Dominguez *et al.* 2011). Negative emotions (anxiety and depression) have also been shown to be associated with dimensions of paranoia in clinical and non-clinical populations (Freeman *et al.* 2005, 2008), and there is strong evidence from large clinical cohort studies that they are specifically implicated in the development and maintenance of persecutory delusions (Bentall *et al.* 2009; Fowler *et al.* 2012). Such affective dysregulation has been suggested to be an aetiological pathway to psychosis (van Os & Kapur, 2009).

Several studies have implicated low self-esteem in the genesis of paranoid thinking in both non-clinical and clinical populations (Bentall & Kaney, 1996; Bentall *et al.* 2009), and studies have also shown that paranoia is associated with self-esteem that is highly unstable over time (Thewissen *et al.* 2008). There is also evidence to suggest that negative beliefs or schemas about both self and others are a pathway to the development of paranoia (Smith *et al.* 2006; Fowler *et al.* 2012). Patients with poor-me paranoia tend to have higher self-esteem than those with bad-me paranoia (Chadwick *et al.* 2005), although self-esteem is still impaired compared with non-patient controls (Melo *et al.* 2006).

The self-regulatory executive functioning (S-REF) model of psychological dysfunction (Wells & Matthews, 1994) suggests that metacognitive beliefs about mental experiences are associated with vulnerability to psychological disorder. In particular, it predicts that positive beliefs about mental events will lead to an increase in the frequency of symptoms, whereas negative beliefs about such experiences (for example, beliefs about uncontrollability of and danger associated with certain thoughts) will lead to distress and disability. Consistent with this model, there is evidence that these beliefs are involved in the development and maintenance of

paranoia across the continuum of psychosis (Freeman & Garety, 1999; Morrison & Wells, 2007). For example, generic metacognitive beliefs about the management of unwanted thoughts have been associated with delusional and/or paranoid ideation in both clinical (Morrison & Wells, 2003) and non-clinical populations (Laroi & van der Linden, 2005). Studies have also shown that specific negative (e.g. paranoia is uncontrollable) and positive (survival) beliefs about paranoia (e.g. being paranoid keeps me safe) are associated with dimensions of paranoid ideation in both clinical (Morrison *et al.* 2011) and non-clinical populations in the predicted manner (Morrison *et al.* 2005; Gumley *et al.* 2010). These findings are consistent with suggestions that paranoia is employed as a strategy for managing interpersonal threat (Morrison *et al.* 2011), in a similar manner to the use of worry as a strategy dealing with more general threats (Wells & Matthews, 1994).

Reliable and valid criteria are now available to identify help-seeking individuals who are at high risk of imminently developing schizophrenia and related psychoses. Yung *et al.* (1996) developed operational criteria to identify young people possessing an 'at risk mental state' (ARMS) who are at ultra-high risk of developing psychosis within 12 months; most of these patients are identified on the basis of attenuated (subclinical) psychotic symptoms. Such a population, arguably midway along the continuum of psychosis (exhibiting subthreshold psychotic experiences, experiencing significant emotional distress and engaging in help-seeking behaviour), represents a unique opportunity to investigate the differential predictors of cognitive and affective dimensions of paranoid ideation. Therefore, we aimed to investigate factors associated with dimensions of paranoia in an ARMS sample.

On the basis of the models outlined above, we were able to derive specific hypotheses that could be tested in our data. First, as already noted, paranoia in acutely ill patients is generally characterized by low deservedness (Fornells-Ambrojo & Garety, 2005) whereas, in non-clinical populations, it is usually associated with high levels of deservedness and very low self-esteem (Melo *et al.* 2009). An implication of these observations is that deservedness judgments change during the development of psychosis and that individuals who fall in the high-risk group and who have emerging paranoid symptoms should exhibit lower levels of paranoia but higher levels of deservedness than patients with established psychosis, but higher levels of paranoia and lower levels of deservedness than individuals not suffering from mental illness (Bentall & Kinderman, 2008). We aimed to test these predictions by comparing the data from our ARMS patients with data previously obtained by Melo *et al.* (2009) from psychiatric in-patients suffering from persecutory delusions and healthy controls.

Second, existing theories of paranoia converge on predicting that the following variables will be associated with paranoid ideation: anxiety (Garety *et al.* 2001; Morrison, 2001; Freeman *et al.* 2002; Morrison *et al.* 2011), depression (Bentall *et al.* 2001; Garety *et al.* 2001; Freeman *et al.* 2002), negative beliefs about self (Garety *et al.* 2001; Morrison, 2001; Freeman *et al.* 2002) and negative beliefs about others (Bentall *et al.* 2001; Garety *et al.* 2001; Morrison, 2001; Freeman *et al.* 2002; Morrison *et al.* 2011). Moreover, the S-REF model predicts that specific metacognitive beliefs will also be associated with paranoia, especially positive (survival) beliefs (Morrison, 2001; Morrison *et al.* 2011).

Finally, we aimed to test the prediction that deservedness of paranoia is associated with depression (Trower & Chadwick, 1995; Melo *et al.* 2009) and negative beliefs about self (Trower & Chadwick, 1995; Melo *et al.* 2009).

Method

Participants

All participants were recruited via a clinical trial, the Early Detection and Intervention Evaluation for people at risk of psychosis (the EDIE-2 trial) (Morrison *et al.* 2012). Inclusion criteria were assessed using the Comprehensive Assessment for At Risk Mental States (CAARMS; Yung *et al.* 2005). All were aged between 14 and 35 years and seeking help for symptoms. Participants were predominantly identified by health professionals working within diverse agencies within primary- and secondary-care settings. We obtained data on paranoia and psychological factors from 117 of the 288 trial participants. [This was because recruitment sites made different choices about subsidiary, non-trial measures, so the Persecution and Deservedness Scale (PaDS) was only administered at some sites.] The sample was 60.8% male, 88.9% white ethnicity, had an average age of 20.3 years and had completed an average of 13.3 years of formal education.

For the purposes of testing our hypotheses about differences between ARMS and actively psychotic and healthy comparisons, we used data previously reported by Melo *et al.* (2009), who administered our paranoia measure to 45 psychiatric in-patients diagnosed with schizophrenia spectrum disorders suffering from paranoid delusions (31 male, 14 female; mean age = 37.44 years, *S.D.* = 9.74) and 318 (99 male, 219 female; mean age = 21.50 years, *S.D.* = 4.04) UK university students.

Measures

CAARMS

The CAARMS (Yung *et al.* 2005) is a standardized clinical interview, which has been developed to determine if an individual meets criteria for an ARMS and to

assess psychopathology thought to indicate imminent development of psychotic disorder. The CAARMS has good to excellent inter-rater reliability (Yung *et al.* 2005) and was used to assess our entry criteria.

The Beck Depression Inventory for Primary Care (BDI-PC)

The BDI-PC (Winter *et al.* 1999) is a brief self-report assessment of depression. Each of seven items are rated on a four-point scale (0–3), giving a range of 0–21.

Social Interaction Anxiety Scale (SIAS)

The SIAS (Mattick & Clarke, 1998) is a 20-item, self-report assessment that measures levels of fear in social interaction situations. Items are scored on a five-point Likert scale (0–4).

Beliefs About Paranoia Scale (BAPS)

The BAPS (Gumley *et al.* 2010) is an 18-item self-report assessment used to measure specific metacognitive beliefs about paranoia. The questionnaire generates scores for the following three subscales: negative beliefs about paranoia (e.g. paranoia is uncontrollable), beliefs about paranoia as a survival strategy (e.g. being paranoid keeps me safe) and normalizing beliefs about paranoia (e.g. everyone is paranoid sometimes). Items are scored from 1 to 4.

Brief Core Schema Scale (BCSS)

The BCSS (Fowler *et al.* 2006) is a 24-item, self-report assessment that aims to measure beliefs about the self and others in people with psychosis. Items are rated on a five-point rating scale (0–4). Four scores, each with six items, are obtained: negative-self, positive-self, negative-other and positive-other.

Metacognitions Questionnaire-Revised (MCQ-30)

The MCQ-30 (Cartwright-Hatton & Wells, 2004) is a 30-item questionnaire that assesses metacognitive beliefs. The items (scored 1–4) generate subscales including cognitive confidence, positive beliefs about unwanted thoughts, negative beliefs about the uncontrollability of thoughts (MCQ-NT), negative beliefs about the need to control thoughts (MCQ-NC) and cognitive self-consciousness (MCQ-CSC). Because the need to limit the number of parameters in our model, and because the S-REF model emphasizes the role of self-focused attention in maintaining symptoms and negative beliefs about symptoms in their distress, we included only the subscales MCQ-CSC (e.g. 'I am constantly aware of my thinking'), MCQ-NT (e.g. 'My worrying thoughts persist, no matter how I try to stop them') and MCQ-NC (e.g. 'If I could not control

my thoughts, I would not be able to function') in our modelling.

PaDS

The PaDS contains two 10-item subscales assessing beliefs about persecution and the deservedness of this persecution. The persecution subscale (PaDS-P) contains items that explicitly state or imply that the individual is at risk as a consequence of the untrustworthiness and malevolence of others (see Melo *et al.* 2009). Each item is rated on a five-point scale (0–4). Each persecution item was followed by a corresponding deservedness item, which together comprised the deservedness subscale (PaDS-D). Participants were instructed to complete each item only if they had rated the associated persecutory item as being 'unsure', 'possibly true' or 'certainly true'. Each deservedness item enquires whether the respondent feels that he or she deserves the type of persecution described, and is rated on a five-point scale (0–4).

Statistical analysis

Multilevel model of PaDS

The PaDS item responses were treated as repeated, dependent measures in a multilevel linear regression model. Multilevel models account for the non-independence of responses when the data have a nested, hierarchical structure, such as pupils nested within schools (Snijders & Bosker, 1999). Here, the PaDS item scores (level 1) were nested within individuals (level 2). The multilevel model allows for this structure by including a random intercept for individuals, in effect a person-level residual term, to add to the response-level residual term that is included by default in single-level regression models. Item responses were used as the dependent variables rather than the more familiar approach of taking subscales scores produced by summing or averaging item responses. This was done to account for the inherently item-level dependency between responses to the persecution and deservedness items. For purely logical reasons, deservedness item responses are elicited only when the associated persecutory response is non-zero and are missing otherwise. Non-zero responses are not equally likely among the persecution items, however. Some items reflect higher levels of 'trait' persecution, e.g. 'I believe that some people want to hurt me deliberately', and others lower levels, e.g. 'Sometimes, I just know that people are talking critically about me'. The higher trait persecution items are positively endorsed less frequently and therefore have greater rates of missing responses for their associated deservedness items than the lower trait persecution items. Therefore, missing responses to the deservedness

items cannot plausibly satisfy the 'missing completely at random' (MCAR) condition, which would guarantee unbiased parameter estimates even in the face of missing responses (Little & Rubin, 2002). Rather than being MCAR, the deservedness responses are by design dependent on the persecution item responses. Including both the individual persecution and deservedness items as dependent variables means that missingness on the deservedness items is then rendered plausibly 'missing at random', i.e. missing at random conditional on the persecution scores (Little & Rubin, 2002).

The model therefore consisted of up to 20 item responses per person as dependent variables at level 1. Item-specific intercept parameters were also included at level 1, to account for the differing mean scores across items (as mentioned above). In addition to the random intercept term, the level-2 predictors were scores on the BCSS, MCQ and BAPS, as well as age, gender (dummy variable for male) and ethnicity (dummy variable for non-white British).

Model estimation

The model was fitted using the GLLAMM procedure (Rabe-Hesketh *et al.* 2002) of the Stata 11SE statistical package (StataCorp, 2009), estimated with a 'full information' maximum likelihood estimator. Rather than rely upon 'asymptotic' standard errors (the default with maximum likelihood and ordinary least squares estimation), we fitted the model with Huber–White standard errors (Huber, 1967; White, 1980), which are 'robust' to violations of the assumptions of normality and heteroscedasticity of the residuals. This was because there were a relatively small number of response options on the five-point responses scales and several of the items exhibited marked skew. Robust standard errors compensate for the potential bias of these effects, and have been shown to be particularly effective in reducing bias in fixed and random effects at level 2 in multilevel models estimated by maximum likelihood (Maas & Hox, 2004).

Planned comparisons

Finally, *post-hoc* planned comparisons were conducted. These were simple Wald tests of the equality of the parameter estimates for the level-2 predictors on the paranoia *versus* deservedness items. These comparisons would test whether the person-level predictor variables were associated differentially with the reporting of paranoia or with deservedness. For tests of significance, α was set at 0.05 and all tests were two-tailed.

Results

Summary data for the measures are shown in Table 1. There were no significant associations between any of

Table 1. Correlation matrix for PaDS, cognitive, metacognitive and affective variables

	PaDS-P	PaDS-D	BDI total	SIAS total	BCSS-NS	BCSS-NO	MCQ-NT	MCQ-NC	MCQ-CSC	BAPS-neg	BAPS-sur	BAPS-norm
Mean	2.28	1.39	7.75	38.36	7.39	8.90	15.33	13.38	16.23	15.12	11.05	15.80
s.d.	1.02	0.94	5.01	17.49	6.47	6.93	5.01	4.16	4.11	5.26	4.12	4.82
PaDS-P	–											
PaDS-D	0.32**	–										
BDI total	0.59**	0.36**	–									
SIAS total	0.59**	0.36**	0.54**	–								
BCSS-NS	0.47**	0.50**	0.69**	0.41**	–							
BCSS-NO	0.57**	0.05**	0.29**	0.36**	0.36**	–						
MCQ-NT	0.47**	0.26**	0.53**	0.40**	0.49**	0.26**	–					
MCQ-NC	0.39**	0.34**	0.45**	0.30**	0.43**	0.17	0.53**	–				
MCQ-CSC	0.25**	0.25**	0.24*	0.28**	0.22*	0.08	0.35**	0.44**	–			
BAPS-neg	0.54**	0.16	0.45**	0.50**	0.39**	0.31**	0.57**	0.38**	0.21	–		
BAPS-sur	0.42**	0.24*	0.26**	0.41**	0.29**	0.42**	–0.02	0.09	0.23*	0.18	–	
BAPS-norm	0.06	0.01	–0.09	–0.07	–0.06	0.16	–0.09	0.09	0.12	0.07	0.21*	

PaDS, Persecution and Deservedness Scale; PaDS-P, PaDS paranoia; PaDS-D, PaDS deservedness; BDI, Beck Depression Inventory; SIAS, Social Interaction Anxiety Scale; BCSS-NS, Brief Core Schema Scale negative self; BCSS-NO, BCSS negative others; MCQ-NT; Metacognitions Questionnaire negative thoughts; MCQ-NC, MCQ need to control; MCQ-CSC; MCQ cognitive self-consciousness; BAPS-neg; Beliefs About Paranoia Scale negative; BAPS-sur, BAPS survival; BAPS-norm; BAPS normalizing; s.d., standard deviation.

* $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$.

the symptom or psychological measures with age; the only significant difference for gender was for the BAPS normalizing scale, which was higher in females ($p < 0.05$).

The mean persecution score of our ARMS sample was 2.28 (s.d. = 1.02; median = 2.60) out of a maximum score of 4. This score is significantly lower than the 2.82 (s.d. = 0.69) mean score reported by Melo *et al.* (2009) for 45 psychiatric in-patients ($t_{160} = 3.27$, $p < 0.001$, $d = 0.57$). However, it is higher than the average paranoia score of 1.18 (s.d. = 0.78) for the sample of UK university students reported by Melo *et al.* (2009) ($t_{433} = 11.95$, $p < 0.0001$). If we take a score of 2.74, 2 s.d.s above their student mean, as an arbitrary cut-off for high levels of paranoia, then 44% of the current sample are above this level.

The mean deservedness score of our ARMS population was 1.39 out of 4 (s.d. = 0.94; median = 1.2). Compared with the student sample deservedness mean of 1.14 (s.d. = 0.87), our sample reported significantly greater deservedness ($t_{348} = 2.48$, $p = 0.014$, $d = 0.28$). In order to test the specific prediction that ARMS patients would score higher on deservedness than patients with established psychosis, we compared them with the patient sample reported by Melo *et al.* (2009) (mean = 0.56, s.d. = 0.83); we found that the difference was highly significant ($t_{155} = 5.17$, $p < 0.001$, $d = 0.91$).

Overall, on the basis of the above comparisons, our sample appears closer to the students than to the in-patients in terms of deservedness. The patient sample (Melo *et al.* 2009) had a highly truncated deservedness distribution, with a significant number of patients giving entirely 'poor-me' responses to all questions, i.e. a score of exactly zero. In our sample, only 13 participants (11.6%) had this pattern of extreme responding, and overall skew was modest (0.44).

Zero-order correlations between variables are also shown in Table 1. Paranoia and deservedness had a significant, positive correlation of moderate size ($r = 0.33$, $p < 0.001$; Spearman's $r = 0.31$). This was identical to that found by Melo *et al.* (2009) in their student sample (Spearman's $r = 0.31$), but much higher than that in their patient sample, where there was essentially no relationship between paranoia and deservedness (Spearman's $r = 0.02$). It can be seen that most of the expected associations between the psychological variables and paranoia and deservedness were significant. Paranoia scores correlated with depression, anxiety, negative beliefs about the self and about others, abnormal metacognitive beliefs, negative beliefs about paranoia and paranoia as a survival strategy; the same variables were associated with deservedness with the exception of negative beliefs about paranoia. Inspection of variance inflation factors suggested that collinearity between

variables was not problematic. Parameter estimates for a multilevel model of 1182 persecution and 823 deservedness item responses from 117 participants are shown in Table 2, which indicates the relationship between the various psychological measures and paranoia and deservedness when considered in the same model. The right-most column of the table indicates whether a psychological variable differs significantly in its relationship with the two dependent measures.

In this model, paranoia was associated with negative mood (both depression and anxiety), negative beliefs about others and both negative beliefs about paranoia and paranoia survival beliefs; surprisingly there was no association with negative beliefs about the self. Deservedness, on the other hand, was associated with both negative beliefs about the self and (negatively) with negative beliefs about others, as well as anxiety and meta-cognitive beliefs about the need to control thoughts.

When the differences between the associations with the two paranoia-related measures are considered, depression, negative beliefs about others and negative beliefs about the need to control thoughts are more associated with the severity of paranoia than with deservedness, whereas negative beliefs about the self are more associated with deservedness.

Discussion

Our sample of help-seeking participants was nearly as highly paranoid, but reported higher levels of 'bad-me' deservedness, as a sample of psychiatric in-patients reported elsewhere (Melo *et al.* 2009). With regard to deservedness, our sample was actually more like the student sample reported elsewhere, both in terms of overall level and in the tendency for higher paranoia to be associated with higher deservedness. This finding is consistent with the prediction that the progression from subclinical to clinical paranoia is associated with decreasing deservedness (Bentall & Kinderman, 2008). However, it is also possible that those who are more poor-me in the ARMS sample are the most likely to transition to full psychosis. Further longitudinal research will be required to resolve these possibilities.

Affect (anxiety and depression) was associated with dimensions of paranoia, with depression specifically related to paranoid conviction but not deservedness. Negative beliefs about self were related to deservedness but not paranoia. Negative beliefs about others were positively related to paranoid conviction but negatively with deservedness. MCQ-NC was positively associated with deservedness. Finally, negative beliefs about paranoia itself were related positively with paranoid ideation but not with deservedness, and survival beliefs about paranoia were also

Table 2. Predictors of persecution (PaDS-P) and deservedness (PaDS-D) beliefs and their difference^a

Predictor	Dependent				Difference ^b : Wald $\chi^2(1)$
	PaDS-P		PaDS-D		
	B	Robust S.E.	B	Robust S.E.	
Depression	0.041*	0.020	−0.042*	0.023	10.55*
Anxiety	0.014*	0.004	0.019*	0.005	0.57
BCSS-NS	−0.006*	0.012	0.077*	0.018	17.27*
BCSS-NO	0.037*	0.008	−0.029*	0.010	21.79*
MCQ-NT	0.010*	0.013	0.000*	0.018	—
MCQ-NC	0.024*	0.013	0.049*	0.019	0.92
MCQ-CSC	−0.003*	0.013	0.012*	0.019	—
BAPS negative	0.037*	0.011	−0.023*	0.015	7.94*
BAPS survival	0.039*	0.014	0.030*	0.020	0.10
BAPS normal	0.011*	0.011	0.008*	0.014	—
Male	−0.100*	0.108	−0.241*	0.137	—
Age	−0.002*	0.014	0.008*	0.016	—
Non-white	0.068*	0.200	−0.219*	0.235	—

PaDS-P, Persecution and Deservedness Scale paranoia; PaDS-D, PaDS deservedness; S.E., standard error; BCSS-NS, Brief Core Schema Scale negative self; BCSS-NO, BCSS negative others; MCQ-NT; Metacognitions Questionnaire negative thoughts; MCQ-NC, MCQ need to control; MCQ-CSC; MCQ cognitive self-consciousness; BAPS, Beliefs About Paranoia Scale.

^a Item intercept parameters are not shown. Intraclass correlation coefficient = 0.19, i.e. 19% of the residual variance in responses was between persons (level 2); the level-2 'proportional reduction in error' (equivalent to R^2 in single-level models) versus a model including item intercepts only was 0.28, i.e. the predictors in this model reduced the level-2 variance by 28%.

^b Difference tests were carried out only where at least one of the B coefficients for PaDS-P or PaDS-D was significantly different from zero.

associated with paranoia. Thus, we found several predictors of paranoia and deservedness, but only four predictors had relationships that differed significantly between the two paranoia-related measures.

It is clear that anxiety is implicated across both cognitive and affective dimensions of paranoia, which is consistent with predictions of several cognitive models (Garety *et al.* 2001; Morrison, 2001; Freeman *et al.* 2002; Morrison *et al.* 2011). Depression would be expected to be associated with paranoid conviction on the basis of several theories (Bentall *et al.* 2001; Garety *et al.* 2001; Morrison, 2001; Freeman *et al.* 2002), while depressed mood would be expected to be associated with deservedness according to other predictions (Trower & Chadwick, 1995; Melo *et al.* 2009); we found clear support for the former hypothesis and not the latter. However, the relationship between negative beliefs about self and our dimensions of paranoia was the opposite, showing a differential relationship with deservedness and not paranoid ideation. This finding is consistent with specific predictions about deservedness (Trower & Chadwick, 1995; Melo *et al.* 2009) but the lack of association with paranoid conviction is inconsistent with some models of paranoia (Bentall *et al.* 2001; Garety *et al.* 2001; Morrison, 2001; Freeman

et al. 2002) and with previous findings from patients with long-standing psychosis (Fowler *et al.* 2006, 2012; Bentall *et al.* 2009), although previous studies have not generally considered paranoia and deservedness separately. The most likely explanation for the discrepancies between the findings is that the relationship between paranoia, feelings of deservedness and self-esteem are dynamic and vary during the progression of a psychotic illness. Indeed, all three have been shown to fluctuate over the short term in patient samples (Thewissen *et al.* 2008; Udachina *et al.* 2012).

The specific relationship observed between both positive and negative beliefs about paranoia are consistent with predictions derived from the general S-REF model (Wells & Matthews, 1994), as well as specific metacognitive models of psychosis (Morrison, 2001) and paranoia (Morrison *et al.* 2011). All of the current psychological models of paranoia would predict a relationship between persecutory ideation and negative beliefs about others, which is unsurprising given the definition of paranoia as a persistent mistrust of others and a corresponding tendency to interpret their actions as threatening; this predicted relationship was confirmed within our sample. Thus, the predictions of the specific models were generally supported,

with the main area of discrepancy being in relation to the specific role of depressed mood and negative thinking about the self (or low self-esteem) in relation to cognitive and affective dimensions of persecutory ideation.

Our study has several methodological limitations that need to be considered. Our measure of paranoia is a self-report rating scale designed to assess persecutory ideas and associated deservedness across the continuum. Our study is cross-sectional, which limits any inferences we can make about causality and our ability to test theories that postulate dynamic relationships. We did not recruit a control group for our ARMS group and, therefore, comparisons had to be made with data from a previously published study. Our ARMS population provided an opportunity to explore the dimensions of paranoia in a sample with high levels of emotional dysfunction but lower levels of psychotic experiences, which enables us to hypothesize about the involvement of negative emotion, cognition and metacognition in the development of psychosis; however, the generalizability of our findings to people with established psychosis is questionable.

Our study has several implications for clinical practice (certainly for people experiencing paranoia who meet criteria for ARMS, and possibly for those with established psychosis). First, our findings suggest that cognitive behavioural assessments should include an examination of anxiety, depression, negative beliefs about self and others and metacognitive beliefs about paranoia. Given the associations we observed between negative emotions and paranoia, treatment of anxiety and depression within their own right (using strategies such as worry reduction and behavioural activation) may have beneficial effects on paranoid conviction; the first of these strategies has already been shown to be successful in patients with persecutory delusions (Foster *et al.* 2010; Freeman *et al.* 2015). Interventions aimed at improving self-esteem have been shown to be applicable to people with psychosis (Hall & Tarrier, 2003; Freeman *et al.* 2014), and such approaches may well have an effect on affective dimensions of paranoia such as deservedness. However, given that negative beliefs about the self were not implicated in paranoid conviction in the present sample, it might be argued that they should not be the first target of intervention. A complication, already noted, is that self-esteem may fluctuate rapidly in paranoid patients (Thewissen *et al.* 2008; Udachina *et al.* 2012) and it has also been noted that implicit self-esteem may be affected in paranoid patients even when explicit self-esteem (as measured by questionnaire) is not (Valiente *et al.* 2012).

We found support for the S-REF model and, in particular, the involvement of survival beliefs in paranoia

(the belief that suspiciousness is beneficial and self-protective). Hence cognitive-behaviour therapy interventions might be enhanced by addressing these beliefs. One approach might be to provide patients with an alternative strategy that serves the same function (perception of safety) prior to any attempts to modify their beliefs. Survival beliefs might also be addressed during the process of building a formulation, during which a shared view should be formed regarding the true likelihood of anticipated threats in terms of the current and historical context. The provision of normalizing information about the common nature of paranoid beliefs (Freeman *et al.* 2006) may help patients to re-evaluate their negative beliefs about their paranoid thoughts being uncontrollable and dangerous. Similarly, it is possible that metacognitive therapies based on the S-REF model may be helpful for people with psychosis and those at high risk, and there is preliminary evidence to support this suggestion (Morrison *et al.* 2014).

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by funding from the Medical Research Council (G0500264). We thank the Mental Health Research Network and the Scottish Mental Health Research Network for their support and assistance. We also wish to thank the wider EDIE-2 trial team.

Declaration of Interest

None.

References

- Bentall RP, Corcoran R, Howard R, Blackwood R, Kinderman P (2001). Persecutory delusions: a review and theoretical integration. *Clinical Psychology Review* 22, 1–50.
- Bentall RP, Kaney S (1996). Abnormalities of self-representation and persecutory delusions. *Psychological Medicine* 26, 1231–1237.
- Bentall RP, Kinderman P (2008). The role of self-esteem in paranoid delusions: the psychology, neurophysiology, and development of persecutory beliefs. In *Persecutory Delusions: Assessment, Theory and Treatment* (ed. D. Freeman, R. P. Bentall and P. A. Garety), pp. 145–175. OUP: Oxford.
- Bentall RP, Rowse G, Shryane N, Kinderman P, Howard R, Blackwood N, Moore R, Corcoran R (2009). The cognitive and affective structure of paranoid delusions: a transdiagnostic investigation of patients with schizophrenia spectrum disorders and depression. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 66, 236–247.
- Cartwright-Hatton S, Wells A (2004). A short form of the Metacognitions Questionnaire: properties of the MCQ-30. *Behaviour Research and Therapy* 42, 385–396.

- Chadwick P, Trower P, Juusti-Butler T-M, Maguire N** (2005). Phenomenological evidence for two types of paranoia. *Psychopathology* **38**, 327–333.
- Dominguez M-d-G, Wichers M, Lieb R, Wittchen H-U, van Os J** (2011). Evidence that onset of clinical psychosis is an outcome of progressively more persistent subclinical psychotic experiences: an 8-year cohort study. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* **37**, 84–93.
- Fenigstein A** (1984). Self-consciousness and the over-perception of self as a target. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* **47**, 860–870.
- Fornells-Ambrojo M, Garety PA** (2005). Bad me paranoia in early psychosis: a relatively rare phenomenon. *British Journal of Clinical Psychology* **44**, 521–528.
- Foster C, Startup H, Potts L, Freeman D** (2010). A randomised controlled trial of a worry intervention for individuals with persistent persecutory delusions. *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry* **41**, 45–51.
- Fowler D, Freeman D, Smith B, Kuipers E, Bebbington P, Bashforth H, Coker S, Hodgkins J, Gracie A, Dunn G, Garety P** (2006). The Brief Core Schema Scales (BCSS): psychometric properties and associations with paranoia and grandiosity in non-clinical and psychosis samples. *Psychological Medicine* **36**, 749–759.
- Fowler D, Hodgekins J, Garety P, Freeman D, Kuipers E, Dunn G, Smith B, Bebbington PE** (2012). Negative cognition, depressed mood, and paranoia: a longitudinal pathway analysis using structural equation modeling. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* **38**, 1063–1073.
- Freeman D, Dunn G, Startup H, Pugh K, Cordwell J, Mander H, Černis E, Wingham G, Shirvell K, Kingdon D** (2015). Effects of cognitive behaviour therapy for worry on persecutory delusions in patients with psychosis (WIT): a parallel, single-blind, randomised controlled trial with a mediation analysis. *Lancet Psychiatry* **2**, 305–313.
- Freeman D, Freeman J, Garety PA** (2006). *Overcoming Paranoid and Suspicious Thoughts*. Robinson: London.
- Freeman D, Garety PA** (1999). Worry, worry processes and dimensions of delusions: an exploratory investigation of a role for anxiety processes in the maintenance of delusional distress. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy* **27**, 47–62.
- Freeman D, Garety PA, Bebbington PE, Smith B, Rollinson R, Fowler D, Kuipers E, Ray K, Dunn G** (2005). Psychological investigation of the structure of paranoia in a non-clinical population. *British Journal of Psychiatry* **186**, 427–435.
- Freeman D, Garety PA, Kuipers E, Fowler D, Bebbington PE** (2002). A cognitive model of persecutory delusions. *British Journal of Clinical Psychology* **41**, 331–347.
- Freeman D, Pugh K, Antley A, Slater M, Bebbington P, Gittins M, Dunn G, Kuipers E, Fowler D, Garety P** (2008). Virtual reality study of paranoid thinking in the general population. *British Journal of Psychiatry* **192**, 258–263.
- Freeman D, Pugh K, Dunn G, Evans N, Sheaves B, Waite F, Černis E, Lister R, Fowler D** (2014). An early phase II randomised controlled trial testing the effect on persecutory delusions of using CBT to reduce negative cognitions about the self: the potential benefits of enhancing self confidence. *Schizophrenia Research* **160**, 186–192.
- Garety PA, Kuipers E, Fowler D, Freeman D, Bebbington PE** (2001). A cognitive model of the positive symptoms of psychosis. *Psychological Medicine* **31**, 189–195.
- Gumley AI, Gillan K, Morrison AP, Schwannauer M** (2010). The development and validation of the Beliefs about Paranoia Scale (Short Form). *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy* **39**, 35–53.
- Hall PL, Tarrier N** (2003). The cognitive-behavioural treatment of low self-esteem in psychotic patients: a pilot study. *Behaviour Research and Therapy* **41**, 317–332.
- Hanssen M, Bak M, Bijl R, Vollebergh W, van Os J** (2005). The incidence and outcome of subclinical psychotic experiences in the general population. *British Journal of Clinical Psychology* **44**, 181–191.
- Huber PJ** (1967). The behavior of maximum likelihood estimates under nonstandard conditions. In *Proceedings of the Fifth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability*, pp. 221–233. University of California Press: Berkeley, CA.
- Larøi F, van der Linden M** (2005). Metacognitions in proneness towards hallucinations and delusions. *Behaviour Research and Therapy* **43**, 1425–1441.
- Lattuada E, Serretti A, Cusin C, Gasperini M, Smeraldi E** (1999). Symptomatologic analysis of psychotic and non-psychotic depression. *Journal of Affective Disorders* **54**, 183–187.
- Little RJA, Rubin DB** (2002). *Statistical Analysis with Missing Data*. John Wiley and Sons: London.
- Loewy RL, Johnson JK, Cannon TD** (2007). Self-report of attenuated psychotic experiences in a college population. *Schizophrenia Research* **93**, 144–151.
- Maas CJM, Hox JJ** (2004). The influence of violations of assumptions on multilevel parameter estimates and their standard errors. *Computational Statistics and Data Analysis* **46**, 427–440.
- Mattick RP, Clarke JC** (1998). Development and validation of measures of social phobia scrutiny fear and social interaction anxiety. *Behaviour Research and Therapy* **36**, 455–470.
- Melo S, Corcoran R, Shryane N, Bentall RP** (2009). The Persecution and Deservedness Scale. *Psychology and Psychotherapy: Theory, Research and Practice* **82**, 247–260.
- Melo SS, Taylor JL, Bentall RP** (2006). Poor me versus bad me paranoia and the instability of persecutory ideation. *Psychology and Psychotherapy: Theory, Research and Practice* **79**, 271–287.
- Morrison AP** (2001). The interpretation of intrusions in psychosis: an integrative cognitive approach to hallucinations and delusions. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy* **29**, 257–276.
- Morrison AP, French P, Stewart S, Birchwood M, Fowler D, Gumley AI, Jones PB, Bentall RP, Lewis SW, Murray G, Patterson P, Brunet K, Conroy J, Parker S, Reilly T, Byrne RE, Davies L, Dunn G** (2012). Early Detection and Intervention Evaluation for people at risk of psychosis (EDIE-2): a multisite randomised controlled trial of

- cognitive therapy for at risk mental states. *British Medical Journal* **344**, e2233.
- Morrison AP, Gumley AI, Ashcroft K, Manousos R, White R, Gillan K, Wells A, Kingdon D** (2011). Metacognition and persecutory delusions: tests of a metacognitive model in a clinical population and comparisons with non-patients. *British Journal of Clinical Psychology* **50**, 223–233.
- Morrison AP, Gumley AI, Schwannauer M, Campbell M, Gleeson A, Griffin E, Gillan K** (2005). The Beliefs About Paranoia Scale: preliminary validation of a metacognitive approach to conceptualising paranoia. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy* **33**, 153–164.
- Morrison AP, Pyle M, Chapman N, French P, Parker SK, Wells A** (2014). Metacognitive therapy in people with a schizophrenia spectrum diagnosis and medication resistant symptoms: a feasibility study. *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry* **45**, 280–284.
- Morrison AP, Wells A** (2003). Metacognition across disorders: a comparison of patients with hallucinations, delusions, and panic disorder with non-patients. *Behaviour Research and Therapy* **41**, 251–256.
- Morrison AP, Wells A** (2007). Relationships between worry, psychotic experiences and emotional distress in patients with schizophrenia spectrum diagnoses and comparisons with anxious and non-patient groups. *Behaviour Research and Therapy* **45**, 1593–1600.
- Moutoussis M, Williams J, Dayan P, Bentall RP** (2007). Persecutory delusions and the conditioned avoidance paradigm: towards an integration of the psychology and biology of paranoia. *Cognitive Neuropsychiatry* **12**, 495–510.
- Rabe-Hesketh S, Skrondal A, Pickles A** (2002). Reliable estimation of generalized linear mixed models using adaptive quadrature. *Stata Journal* **2**, 1–21.
- Smith B, Fowler D, Freeman D, Bebbington P, Bashforth H, Garety P, Kuipers E, Dunn G** (2006). Emotion and psychosis: direct links between schematic beliefs, emotion and delusions and hallucinations. *Schizophrenia Research* **86**, 181–188.
- Snijders TAB, Bosker R** (1999). *Multilevel Analysis: an Introduction to Basic and Advanced Multilevel Modelling*. Sage: London.
- StataCorp** (2009). *Stata Statistical Software: Release 11*. StataCorp LP: College Station, TX.
- Thewissen V, Bentall RP, Lecomte T, van Os J, Myin-Germeys I** (2008). Fluctuations in self-esteem and paranoia in the context of everyday life. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology* **117**, 143–153.
- Trower P, Chadwick P** (1995). Pathways to defense of the self: a theory of two types of paranoia. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice* **2**, 263–278.
- Udachina A, Varese F, Oorschot M, Myin-Germeys I, Bentall RP** (2012). Dynamics of self-esteem in “poor-me” and “bad-me” paranoia. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease* **200**, 777–783.
- Valiente C, Prados JM, Gómez M, Fuentenebro F** (2012). Metacognitive beliefs and psychological well-being in paranoia and depression. *Cognitive Neuropsychiatry* **17**, 527–543.
- van Os J, Kapur S** (2009). Schizophrenia. *Lancet* **374**, 635–645.
- van Os J, Linscott RJ, Myin-Germeys I, Delespaul P, Krabbendam L** (2009). A systematic review and meta-analysis of the psychosis continuum: evidence for a psychosis proneness-persistence-impairment model of psychotic disorder. *Psychological Medicine* **39**, 179–195.
- van Rossum I, Dominguez M-d-G, Lieb R, Wittchen H-U, van Os J** (2011). Affective dysregulation and reality distortion: a 10-year prospective study of their association and clinical relevance. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* **37**, 561–571.
- Varghese D, Scott J, Welham J, Bor W, Najman J, O’Callaghan M, Williams G, McGrath J** (2011). Psychotic-like experiences in major depression and anxiety disorders: a population-based survey in young adults. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* **37**, 389–393.
- Wells A, Matthews G** (1994). *Attention and Emotion: a Clinical Perspective*. LEA: London.
- White H** (1980). A heteroskedasticity-consistent covariance matrix estimator and a direct test for heteroskedasticity. *Econometrica* **48**, 817–830.
- Winter LB, Steer R, Jones-Hicks L, Beck AT** (1999). Screening for major depressive disorder in adolescent medical outpatients with the Beck Depression Inventory for Primary Care. *Journal of Adolescent Health* **24**, 389–394.
- Yung A, McGorry PD, McFarlane CA, Jackson H, Patton GC, Rakkar A** (1996). Monitoring and care of young people at incipient risk of psychosis. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* **22**, 283–303.
- Yung AR, Yuen HP, McGorry PD, Phillips LJ, Kelly D, Dell’Olio M, Francey S, Cosgrave E, Killackey E, Stanford C, Godfrey K, Buckby J** (2005). Mapping the onset of psychosis – the Comprehensive Assessment of At Risk Mental States (CAARMS). *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry* **39**, 964–971.