



Web Services Reliable Messaging Policy Assertion (WS-RM Policy) Version 1.1

OASIS Standard

14 June 2007

Specification URIs:

This Version:

<http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702/wsrmp-1.1-spec-os-01.pdf>
<http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702/wsrmp-1.1-spec-os-01.html>
<http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702/wsrmp-1.1-spec-os-01.doc>

Previous Version:

<http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702/wsrmp-1.1-spec-cs-01.pdf>
<http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702/wsrmp-1.1-spec-cs-01.html>
<http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702/wsrmp-1.1-spec-cs-01.doc>

Latest Version:

<http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/v1.1/wsrmp.pdf>
<http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/v1.1/wsrmp.html>
<http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/v1.1/wsrmp.doc>

Technical Committee:

OASIS Web Services Reliable Exchange (WS-RX) TC

Chairs:

Paul Fremantle <paul@wso2.com>
Sanjay Patil <sanjay.patil@sap.com>

Editors:

Doug Davis, IBM <dug@us.ibm.com>
Anish Karmarkar, Oracle <Anish.Karmarkar@oracle.com>
Gilbert Pilz, BEA <gpilz@bea.com>
Ümit Yalçınalp, SAP <umit.yalcinalp@sap.com>

Related Work:

This specification replaces or supercedes:

- WS-ReliableMessaging Policy v1.0

Declared XML Namespaces:

<http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702>

Abstract:

This specification describes a domain-specific policy assertion for WS-ReliableMessaging [WS-RM] that that can be specified within a policy alternative as defined in WS-Policy Framework [WS-Policy].

By using the XML [XML], SOAP [SOAP 1.1], [SOAP 1.2] and WSDL [WSDL 1.1] extensibility models, the WS* specifications are designed to be composed with each other to provide a rich Web services environment. This by itself does not provide a negotiation solution for Web services. This is a building block that is used in conjunction with other Web service and application-specific protocols to accommodate a wide variety of policy exchange models.

Status:

This document was last revised or approved by the WS-RX Technical Committee on the above date. The level of approval is also listed above. Check the "Latest Version" or "Latest Approved Version" location noted above for possible later revisions of this document.

Technical Committee members should send comments on this specification to the Technical Committee's email list. Others should send comments to the Technical Committee by using the "Send A Comment" button on the Technical Committee's web page at <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/ws-rx/>.

For information on whether any patents have been disclosed that may be essential to implementing this specification, and any offers of patent licensing terms, please refer to the Intellectual Property Rights section of the Technical Committee web page (<http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/ws-rx/ipr.php>).

The non-normative errata page for this specification is located at <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/ws-rx/>.

57 Notices

58 Copyright © OASIS® 1993–2007. All Rights Reserved. OASIS trademark, IPR and other policies apply.

59 All capitalized terms in the following text have the meanings assigned to them in the OASIS Intellectual
60 Property Rights Policy (the "OASIS IPR Policy"). The full Policy may be found at the OASIS website.

61 This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that
62 comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published, and
63 distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and
64 this section are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be
65 modified in any way, including by removing the copyright notice or references to OASIS, except as needed
66 for the purpose of developing any document or deliverable produced by an OASIS Technical Committee
67 (in which case the rules applicable to copyrights, as set forth in the OASIS IPR Policy, must be followed) or
68 as required to translate it into languages other than English.

69 The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by OASIS or its successors
70 or assigns.

71 This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and OASIS
72 DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY
73 WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY
74 OWNERSHIP RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A
75 PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

76 OASIS requests that any OASIS Party or any other party that believes it has patent claims that would
77 necessarily be infringed by implementations of this OASIS Committee Specification or OASIS Standard, to
78 notify OASIS TC Administrator and provide an indication of its willingness to grant patent licenses to such
79 patent claims in a manner consistent with the IPR Mode of the OASIS Technical Committee that produced
80 this specification.

81 OASIS invites any party to contact the OASIS TC Administrator if it is aware of a claim of ownership of any
82 patent claims that would necessarily be infringed by implementations of this specification by a patent
83 holder that is not willing to provide a license to such patent claims in a manner consistent with the IPR
84 Mode of the OASIS Technical Committee that produced this specification. OASIS may include such claims
85 on its website, but disclaims any obligation to do so.

86 OASIS takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that
87 might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or
88 the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; neither does it represent
89 that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on OASIS' procedures with respect to
90 rights in any document or deliverable produced by an OASIS Technical Committee can be found on the
91 OASIS website. Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses
92 to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use
93 of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this OASIS Committee Specification or OASIS
94 Standard, can be obtained from the OASIS TC Administrator. OASIS makes no representation that any
95 information or list of intellectual property rights will at any time be complete, or that any claims in such list
96 are, in fact, Essential Claims.

97 The name "OASIS", WS-ReliableMessaging Policy, WS-ReliableMessaging, WSRMP, WSRM, WS-RX are
98 trademarks of [OASIS](http://www.oasis-open.org), the owner and developer of this specification, and should be used only to refer to
99 the organization and its official outputs. OASIS welcomes reference to, and implementation and use of,
100 specifications, while reserving the right to enforce its marks against misleading uses. Please see
101 <http://www.oasis-open.org/who/trademark.php> for above guidance.

102 Table of Contents

103	1	Introduction	5
104	1.1	Terminology	5
105	1.2	Normative.....	5
106	1.3	Non Normative	6
107	1.4	Namespace	7
108	1.5	Conformance	7
109	2	RM Policy Assertions	8
110	2.1	Assertion Model.....	8
111	2.2	Normative Outline.....	8
112	2.3	Assertion Attachment.....	9
113	2.4	Assertion Example.....	11
114	2.5	Sequence Security Policy	11
115	3	Security Considerations.....	13
116		Appendix A. Schema	14
117		Appendix B. Acknowledgments.....	16
118			

1 Introduction

This specification defines a domain-specific policy assertion for reliable messaging for use with WS-Policy and WS-ReliableMessaging.

1.1 Terminology

The keywords "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [KEYWORDS].

This specification uses the following syntax to define normative outlines for messages:

- The syntax appears as an XML instance, but values in italics indicate data types instead of values.
- Characters are appended to elements and attributes to indicate cardinality:
 - "?" (0 or 1)
 - "*" (0 or more)
 - "+" (1 or more)
- The character "|" is used to indicate a choice between alternatives.
- The characters "[" and "]" are used to indicate that contained items are to be treated as a group with respect to cardinality or choice.
- An ellipsis (i.e. "...") indicates a point of extensibility that allows other child, or attribute, content. Additional children and/or attributes MAY be added at the indicated extension points but MUST NOT contradict the semantics of the parent and/or owner, respectively. If an extension is not recognized it SHOULD be ignored.
- XML namespace prefixes (see section 1.4) are used to indicate the namespace of the element being defined.

Elements and Attributes defined by this specification are referred to in the text of this document using XPath 1.0 [XPATH 1.0] expressions. Extensibility points are referred to using an extended version of this syntax:

- An element extensibility point is referred to using {any} in place of the element name. This indicates that any element name can be used, from any namespace other than the wsrn: namespace.
- An attribute extensibility point is referred to using @{any} in place of the attribute name. This indicates that any attribute name can be used, from any namespace other than the wsrn: namespace.

1.2 Normative

- | | |
|-------------------|--|
| [KEYWORDS] | S. Bradner, "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels," RFC 2119, Harvard University, March 1997.
http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt |
| [SOAP 1.1] | W3C Note, "SOAP: Simple Object Access Protocol 1.1" 08 May 2000.
http://www.w3.org/TR/2000/NOTE-SOAP-20000508/ |

156	[SOAP 1.2]	W3C Recommendation, " SOAP Version 1.2 Part 1: Messaging Framework " June 2003.
157		
158		http://www.w3.org/TR/2003/REC-soap12-part1-20030624/
159	[URI]	T. Berners-Lee, R. Fielding, L. Masinter, "Uniform Resource Identifiers (URI): Generic Syntax," RFC 3986, MIT/LCS, U.C. Irvine, Xerox Corporation, January 2005.
160		
161		http://ietf.org/rfc/rfc3986
162		
163	[WS-RM]	OASIS WS-RX Technical OASIS Standard, " Web Services Reliable Messaging (WS-ReliableMessaging) ," June 2007.
164		
165		http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrn/v1.1/wsrn.pdf
166	[WSDL 1.1]	W3C Note, " Web Services Description Language (WSDL 1.1) ," 15 March 2001.
167		http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/NOTE-wsdl-20010315
168	[XML]	W3C Recommendation, " Extensible Markup Language (XML) 1.0 (Fourth Edition) ," September 2006.
169		
170		http://www.w3.org/TR/REC-xml/
171	[XML-ns]	W3C Recommendation, " Namespaces in XML ," 14 January 1999.
172		http://www.w3.org/TR/1999/REC-xml-names-19990114/
173	[XML-Schema Part1]	W3C Recommendation, " XML Schema Part 1: Structures ," October 2004.
174		http://www.w3.org/TR/xmlschema-1/
175	[XML-Schema Part2]	W3C Recommendation, " XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes ," October 2004.
176		http://www.w3.org/TR/xmlschema-2/
177	[XPath 1.0]	W3C Recommendation, " XML Path Language (XPath) Version 1.0 ," 16 November 1999.
178		
179		http://www.w3.org/TR/xpath

180 **1.3 Non Normative**

181	[RDDL 2.0]	Jonathan Borden, Tim Bray, eds. "Resource Directory Description Language (RDDL) 2.0," January 2004
182		
183		http://www.openhealth.org/RDDL/20040118/rddl-20040118.html
184	[SecurityPolicy]	G. Della-Libra, et. al. " Web Services Security Policy Language (WS-SecurityPolicy) ," July 2005
185		
186		http://specs.xmlsoap.org/ws/2005/07/securitypolicy/ws-securitypolicy.pdf
187	[WS-Policy]	W3C Member Submission "Web Services Policy 1.2 - Framework", April 2006
188		http://www.w3.org/Submission/2006/SUBM-WS-Policy-20060425/
189		
190		W3C Candidate Recommendation, " Web Services Policy 1.5 - Framework ,"
191		February 2007.
192		http://www.w3.org/TR/2007/CR-ws-policy-20070228
193	[WS-PolicyAttachment]	W3C Member Submission "Web Services Policy 1.2 - Attachment", April 2006
194		
195		http://www.w3.org/Submission/2006/SUBM-WS-PolicyAttachment-20060425/
196		
197		W3C Candidate Recommendation, " Web Services Policy 1.5 - Attachment ,"
198		February 2007.
199		http://www.w3.org/TR/2007/CR-ws-policy-attach-20070228
200	[WS-Security]	Anthony Nadalin, Chris Kaler, Phillip Hallam-Baker, Ronald Monzillo, eds. " OASIS Web Services Security: SOAP Message Security 1.0 (WS-Security 2004) ",
201		OASIS Standard 200401, March 2004.
202		http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-soap-message-security-1.0.pdf
203		
204		

205
206 Anthony Nadalin, Chris Kaler, Phillip Hallam-Baker, Ronald Monzillo, eds. "OASIS
207 Web Services Security: SOAP Message Security 1.1 (WS-Security 2004)", OASIS
208 Standard 200602, February 2006.
209 <http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/v1.1/wss-v1.1-spec-os-SOAPMessageSecurity.pdf>

210 **1.4 Namespace**

211 The XML namespace [XML-ns] URI that MUST be used by implementations of this specification is:

212 <http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702>

213 Dereferencing the above URI will produce the Resource Directory Description Language [RDDL 2.0]
214 document that describes this namespace.

215 Table 1 lists the XML namespaces that are used in this specification. The choice of any namespace prefix
216 is arbitrary and not semantically significant. The assertions defined within this specification have been
217 designed to work independently of a specific version of WS-Policy. At the time of the publication of this
218 specification the versions of WS-Policy known to correctly compose with this specification are WS-Policy
219 1.2 and 1.5. Within this specification the use of the namespace prefix wsp refers generically to the WS-
220 Policy namespace, not a specific version.

221 Table 1

Prefix	Namespace	Specification
wsdl	http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/wsdl/	[WSDL 1.1]
wsrmp	http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702	This specification.
wsu	http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-wssecurity-utility-1.0.xsd	WS-Security-Utility Schema

222 The normative schema for WS-ReliableMessaging can be found linked from the namespace document that
223 is located at the namespace URI specified above.

224 All sections explicitly noted as examples are informational and are not to be considered normative.

225 **1.5 Conformance**

226 An implementation is not compliant with this specification if it fails to satisfy one or more of the MUST or
227 REQUIRED level requirements defined herein. A SOAP Node MUST NOT use the XML namespace
228 identifier for this specification (listed in section 1.4) within SOAP Envelopes unless it is compliant with this
229 specification.

230 Normative text within this specification takes precedence over normative outlines, which in turn take
231 precedence over the XML Schema [XML-Schema Part1, XML-Schema Part2] descriptions.

2 RM Policy Assertions

WS-Policy Framework and WS-Policy Attachment [WS-PolicyAttachment] collectively define a framework, model and grammar for expressing the requirements, and general characteristics of entities in an XML Web services-based system. To enable an RM Destination and an RM Source to describe their requirements for a given Sequence, this specification defines a single RM policy assertion that leverages the WS-Policy framework.

2.1 Assertion Model

The RM policy assertion indicates that the RM Source and RM Destination MUST use WS-ReliableMessaging to ensure reliable delivery of messages. Specifically, the WS-ReliableMessaging protocol determines invariants maintained by the reliable messaging endpoints and the directives used to track and manage the delivery of a Sequence of messages.

2.2 Normative Outline

The normative outline for the RM assertion is:

```
<wsrmp:RMAssertion [wsp:Optional="true"]? ... >
  <wsp:Policy>
    [ <wsrmp:SequenceSTR/> |
      <wsrmp:SequenceTransportSecurity/> ] ?
    <wsrmp:DeliveryAssurance>
      <wsp:Policy>
        [ <wsrmp:ExactlyOnce/> |
          <wsrmp:AtLeastOnce/> |
          <wsrmp:AtMostOnce/> ]
        <wsrmp:InOrder/> ?
      </wsp:Policy>
    </wsrmp:DeliveryAssurance> ?
  </wsp:Policy>
  ...
</wsrmp:RMAssertion>
```

The following describes the content model of the RMAssertion element.

/wsrmp:RMAssertion

A policy assertion that specifies that WS-ReliableMessaging protocol MUST be used when sending messages.

/wsrmp:RMAssertion/@wsp:Optional="true"

Per WS-Policy, this is compact notation for two policy alternatives, one with and one without the assertion. The intuition is that the behavior indicated by the assertion is optional, or in this case, that WS-ReliableMessaging MAY be used.

/wsrmp:RMAssertion/wsp:Policy

This required element allows for the inclusion of nested policy assertions.

/wsrmp:RMAssertion/wsp:Policy/wsrmp:SequenceSTR

When present, this assertion defines the requirement that an RM Sequence MUST be bound to an explicit token that is referenced from a wsse:SecurityTokenReference in the CreateSequence message. See section 2.5.1.

274 /wsrmp:RMAssertion/wsp:Policy/wsrmp:SequenceTransportSecurity

275 When present, this assertion defines the requirement that an RM Sequence MUST be bound to

276 the session(s) of the underlying transport-level protocol used to carry the `CreateSequence` and

277 `CreateSequenceResponse` message. When present, this assertion MUST be used in

278 conjunction with the `sp:TransportBinding` assertion, see section 2.5.2.

279 /wsrmp:RMAssertion/wsp:Policy/wsrmp:DeliveryAssurance

280 This expression, which may be omitted, describes the message delivery quality of service between

281 the RM and application layer. When used by an RM Destination it expresses the delivery

282 assurance in effect between the RM Destination and its corresponding application destination, and

283 it also indicates requirements on any RM Source that transmits messages to this RM destination.

284 Conversely when used by an RM Source it expresses the delivery assurance in effect between the

285 RM Source and its corresponding application source, as well as indicating requirements on any

286 RM Destination that receives messages from this RM Source. In either case the delivery

287 assurance does not affect the messages transmitted on the wire. Absence of this expression from

288 a `wsrmp:RMAssertion` policy assertion simply means that the endpoint has chosen not to

289 advertise its delivery assurance characteristics.

290 Note that when there are multiple policy alternatives of the RM Assertion, the Delivery Assurance

291 on each MUST NOT conflict.

292 /wsrmp:RMAssertion/wsp:Policy/wsrmp:DeliveryAssurance/wsp:Policy

293 This required element identifies additional requirements for the use of the

294 `wsrmp:DeliveryAssurance`.

295 /wsrmp:RMAssertion/wsp:Policy/wsrmp:DeliveryAssurance/wsp:Policy/wsrmp:ExactlyOnce

296 This expresses the ExactlyOnce Delivery Assurance defined in [\[WS-RM\]](#).

297 /wsrmp:RMAssertion/wsp:Policy/wsrmp:DeliveryAssurance/wsp:Policy/wsrmp:AtLeastOnce

298 This expresses the AtLeastOnce Delivery Assurance defined in [\[WS-RM\]](#).

299 /wsrmp:RMAssertion/wsp:Policy/wsrmp:DeliveryAssurance/wsp:Policy/wsrmp:AtMostOnce

300 This expresses the AtMostOnce Delivery Assurance defined in [\[WS-RM\]](#).

301 /wsrmp:RMAssertion/wsp:Policy/wsrmp:DeliveryAssurance/wsp:Policy/wsrmp:InOrder

302 This expresses the InOrder Delivery Assurance defined in [\[WS-RM\]](#).

303 /wsrmp:RMAssertion/{any}

304 This is an extensibility mechanism to allow different (extensible) types of information, based on a

305 schema, to be passed.

306 /wsrmp:RMAssertion/@{any}

307 This is an extensibility mechanism to allow different (extensible) types of information, based on a

308 schema, to be passed.

309 **2.3 Assertion Attachment**

310 The RM policy assertion is allowed to have the following Policy Subjects [\[WS-PolicyAttachment\]](#):

- 311 • Endpoint Policy Subject
- 312 • Message Policy Subject

313 WS-PolicyAttachment defines a set of WSDL/1.1 policy attachment points for each of the above Policy
314 Subjects. Since an RM policy assertion specifies a concrete behavior, it MUST NOT be attached to the
315 abstract WSDL policy attachment points.

316 The following is the list of WSDL/1.1 elements whose scope contains the Policy Subjects allowed for an
317 RM policy assertion but which MUST NOT have RM policy assertions attached:

- 318 • wsdl:message
- 319 • wsdl:portType/wsdl:operation/wsdl:input
- 320 • wsdl:portType/wsdl:operation/wsdl:output
- 321 • wsdl:portType/wsdl:operation/wsdl:fault
- 322 • wsdl:portType

323 The following is the list of WSDL/1.1 elements whose scope contains the Policy Subjects allowed for an
324 RM policy assertion and which MAY have RM policy assertions attached:

- 325 • wsdl:port
- 326 • wsdl:binding
- 327 • wsdl:binding/wsdl:operation/wsdl:input
- 328 • wsdl:binding/wsdl:operation/wsdl:output
- 329 • wsdl:binding/wsdl:operation/wsdl:fault

330 If an RM policy assertion is attached to any of:

- 331 • wsdl:binding/wsdl:operation/wsdl:input
- 332 • wsdl:binding/wsdl:operation/wsdl:output
- 333 • wsdl:binding/wsdl:operation/wsdl:fault

334 then an RM policy assertion, specifying `wsp:Optional="true"` MUST be attached to the corresponding
335 `wsdl:binding` or `wsdl:port`, indicating that the endpoint supports WS-RM. Any messages, regardless
336 of whether they have an attached Message Policy Subject RM policy assertion, MAY be sent to that
337 endpoint using WS-RM. Additionally, the receiving endpoint MUST NOT reject any message belonging to
338 a Sequence, simply because there was no Message Policy Subject RM policy assertion attached to that
339 message. There might be certain RM implementations that are incapable of applying RM Quality of
340 Service (QoS) semantics on a per-message basis. In order to ensure the broadest interoperability, when
341 an endpoint decorates its WSDL with RM policy assertions using Message Policy Subject, it MUST also be
342 prepared to accept that all messages sent to that endpoint might be sent within the context of an RM
343 Sequence, regardless of whether the corresponding `wsdl:input`, `wsdl:output` or `wsdl:fault` had an attached
344 RM policy assertion.

345 Rather than turn away messages that were unnecessarily sent with RM semantics, the receiving endpoint
346 described by the WSDL MUST accept these messages.

347 By attaching an RM policy assertion that specifies `wsp:Optional="true"` to the corresponding endpoint
348 that has attached RM policy assertions at the Message Policy Subject level, the endpoint is describing the
349 above constraint in policy.

350 In the case where an optional RM Assertion applies to an output message, there is no requirement on the
351 client to support an RM Destination implementation

352 2.4 Assertion Example

353 Table 2 lists an example use of the RM policy assertion.

354 Table 2: Example policy with RM policy assertion

```
355 (01) <wsdl:definitions
356 (02)     targetNamespace="example.com"
357 (03)     xmlns:tns="example.com"
358 (04)     xmlns:wsdl="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/wsdl/"
359 (05)     xmlns:wsp="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2004/09/policy"
360 (06)     xmlns:wsrmp="http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702"
361 (07)     xmlns:wsu="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-
362 wssecurity-utility-1.0.xsd">
363 (08)
364 (09) <wsp:UsingPolicy wsdl:required="true" />
365 (10)
366 (11) <wsp:Policy wsu:Id="MyPolicy" >
367 (12)     <wsrmp:RMAssertion>
368 (13)         <wsp:Policy/>
369 (14)     </wsrmp:RMAssertion>
370 (15)     <!-- omitted assertions -->
371 (16) </wsp:Policy>
372 (17)
373 (18) <!-- omitted elements -->
374 (19)
375 (20) <wsdl:binding name="MyBinding" type="tns:MyPortType" >
376 (21)     <wsp:PolicyReference URI="#MyPolicy" />
377 (22)     <!-- omitted elements -->
378 (23) </wsdl:binding>
379 (24)
380 (25) </wsdl:definitions>
```

381 Line (09) in Table 2 indicates that WS-Policy is in use as a required extension.

382 Lines (11-16) are a policy expression that includes a RM policy assertion (lines 12-14) to indicate that WS-
383 ReliableMessaging must be used.

384 Lines (20-23) are a WSDL binding. Line (21) indicates that the policy in lines (11-16) applies to this
385 binding, specifically indicating that WS-ReliableMessaging must be used over all the messages in the
386 binding.

387 2.5 Sequence Security Policy

388 WS-SecurityPolicy [[SecurityPolicy](#)] provides a framework and grammar for expressing the security
389 requirements and characteristics of entities in a XML web services based system. The following assertions
390 MAY be used in conjunction with WS-SecurityPolicy to express additional security requirements particular
391 to RM Sequences.

392 2.5.1 RM Assertion with Sequence STR Assertion

393 This version of the RM assertion includes the requirement that an RM Sequence MUST be bound to an
394 explicit token that is referenced from a `wsse:SecurityTokenReference` in the `CreateSequence`
395 message.

396 This assertion MUST apply to [Endpoint Policy Subject]. The normative outline for this form of the
397 Sequence STR Assertion is:

```
398 <wsrmp:RMAssertion [wsp:Optional="true"]? ...>
399 <wsp:Policy>
```

```
400     <wsrmp:SequenceSTR/>
401     <wsp:Policy>
402 </wsrmp:RMAssertion>
```

403 The following describes the content model of the `SequenceSTR` element.

404 `/wsrmp:SequenceSTR`

405 A policy assertion that specifies security requirements which MUST be used with an RM Sequence
406 that are particular to WS-RM and beyond what can be expressed in WS-SecurityPolicy.

407 2.5.2 RM Assertion with Sequence Transport Security Assertion

408 This version of the RM assertion includes the requirement that an RM Sequence MUST be bound to the
409 session(s) of the underlying transport-level security protocol (e.g. SSL/TLS) used to carry the
410 `CreateSequence` and `CreateSequenceResponse` messages.

411 This assertion MUST apply to [Endpoint Policy Subject]. This assertion MUST be used in conjunction with
412 the `sp:TransportBinding` assertion that requires the use of some transport-level security mechanism
413 (e.g. `sp:HttpsToken`).

414 The normative outline for this form of the RM Assertion with the Sequence Transport Security Assertion is:

```
415     <wsp:Policy>
416       <wsp:ExactlyOne>
417         <wsp:All>
418           <wsrm:RMAssertion [wsp:Optional="true"]> ...>
419             <wsp:Policy>
420               <wsrmp:SequenceTransportSecurity/>
421             </wsp:Policy>
422           </wsrm:RMAssertion>
423           <sp:TransportBinding ...>
424             ...
425           </sp:TransportBinding>
426         </wsp:All>
427       </wsp:ExactlyOne>
428     </wsp:Policy>
```

429 The following describes the content model of the `SequenceTransportSecurity` element.

430 `/wsrmp:SequenceTransportSecurity`

431 A policy assertion that specifies that any Sequences targeted to the indicated endpoint MUST be
432 bound to the underlying session(s) of the transport-level security used to carry messages related to the
433 Sequence.

434 This form of the RM Assertion says that an endpoint MAY have RM as an option but always requires
435 HTTPS to be used. All the `SequenceTransportSecurity` assertion indicates is that RM's rules for protecting
436 the Sequence over TLS are followed.

3 Security Considerations

438 It is strongly RECOMMENDED that policies and assertions be signed to prevent tampering.

439 It is RECOMMENDED that policies SHOULD NOT be accepted unless they are signed and have an
440 associated security token to specify the signer has proper claims for the given policy. That is, a relying
441 party shouldn't rely on a policy unless the policy is signed and presented with sufficient claims to pass the
442 relying parties acceptance criteria.

443 It should be noted that the mechanisms described in this document could be secured as part of a SOAP
444 message using WS-Security [[WS-Security](#)] or embedded within other objects using object-specific security
445 mechanisms.

Appendix A. Schema

A normative copy of the XML Schema [XML-Schema Part1, XML-Schema Part2] description for this specification may be retrieved from the following address:

<http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702/wsrmp-1.1-schema-200702.xsd>

The following copy is provided for reference.

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<!-- Copyright (C) OASIS (R) 1993-2007. All Rights Reserved.
      OASIS trademark, IPR and other policies apply. -->
<xs:schema xmlns:tns="http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702"
  xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema" targetNamespace="http://docs.oasis-
  open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702" elementFormDefault="qualified"
  attributeFormDefault="unqualified">
  <xs:element name="RMAssertion">
    <xs:complexType>
      <xs:sequence>
        <xs:any namespace="##other" processContents="lax" minOccurs="0"
maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
      </xs:sequence>
      <xs:anyAttribute namespace="##any" processContents="lax"/>
    </xs:complexType>
  </xs:element>
  <xs:element name="SequenceSTR">
    <xs:complexType>
      <xs:sequence/>
      <xs:anyAttribute namespace="##any" processContents="lax"/>
    </xs:complexType>
  </xs:element>
  <xs:element name="SequenceTransportSecurity">
    <xs:complexType>
      <xs:sequence/>
      <xs:anyAttribute namespace="##any" processContents="lax"/>
    </xs:complexType>
  </xs:element>
  <xs:element name="DeliveryAssurance">
    <xs:complexType>
      <xs:sequence>
        <xs:any namespace="##any" processContents="lax" minOccurs="0"
maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
      </xs:sequence>
    </xs:complexType>
  </xs:element>
  <xs:element name="ExactlyOnce">
    <xs:complexType>
      <xs:sequence/>
    </xs:complexType>
  </xs:element>
  <xs:element name="AtLeastOnce">
    <xs:complexType>
      <xs:sequence/>
    </xs:complexType>
  </xs:element>
  <xs:element name="AtMostOnce">
    <xs:complexType>
      <xs:sequence/>
    </xs:complexType>
  </xs:element>
</xs:schema>
```

```
501     </xs:element>
502     <xs:element name="InOrder">
503         <xs:complexType>
504             <xs:sequence/>
505         </xs:complexType>
506     </xs:element>
507 </xs:schema>
```

Appendix B. Acknowledgments

This document is based on initial contribution to OASIS WS-RX Technical Committee by the following authors:

Stefan Batres-Editor, Microsoft	David Langworthy, Microsoft
Ruslan Bilorusets, BEA	Amelia Lewis, TIBCO Software
Don Box, Microsoft	Rodney Limprecht, Microsoft
Luis Felipe Cabrera, Microsoft	Steve Lucco, Microsoft
Derek Collison, TIBCO Software	Don Mullen, TIBCO Software
Donald Ferguson, IBM	Anthony Nadalin, IBM
Christopher Ferris, IBM	Mark Nottingham, BEA
Tom Freund, IBM	David Orchard, BEA
Mary Ann Hondo, IBM	Shivajee Samdarshi, TIBCO Software
John Ibbotson, IBM	John Shewchuk, Microsoft
Lei Jin, BEA	Tony Storey, IBM
Chris Kaler, Microsoft	

The following individuals have provided invaluable input into the initial contribution:

Keith Ballinger, Microsoft	Frank Leymann, IBM
Allen Brown, Microsoft	Martin Nally, IBM
Michael Conner, IBM	Peter Niblett, IBM
Francisco Curbera, IBM	Jeffrey Schlimmer, Microsoft
Steve Graham, IBM	Chris Sharp, IBM
Pat Helland, Microsoft	James Snell, IBM
Rick Hill, Microsoft	Keith Stobie, Microsoft
Scott Hinkelman, IBM	Satish Thatte, Microsoft
Tim Holloway, IBM	Stephen Todd, IBM
Efim Hudis, Microsoft	Sanjiva Weerawarana, IBM
Johannes Klein, Microsoft	Roger Wolter, Microsoft

The following individuals were members of the committee during the development of this specification:

Abbie Barbir, Nortel	Alastair Green, Choreology
Charlton Barreto, Adobe	Mike Grogan, Sun
Stefan Batres, Microsoft	Ondrej Hrebicek, Microsoft
Hamid Ben Malek, Fujitsu	Kazunori Iwasa, Fujitsu
Andreas Bjarlestam, Ericsson	Chamikara Jayalath, WSO2
Toufic Boubez, Layer 7	Lei Jin, BEA
Doug Bunting, Sun	Ian Jones, BTplc
Lloyd Burch, Novell	Anish Karmarkar, Oracle
Steve Carter, Novell	Paul Knight, Nortel
Martin Chapman, Oracle	Dan Leshchiner, Tibco
Dave Chappell, Sonic	Mark Little, JBoss
Paul Cotton, Microsoft	Lily Liu, webMethods
Glen Daniels, Sonic	Matt Lovett, IBM
Doug Davis, IBM	Ashok Malhotra, Oracle
Blake Dournaee, Intel	Jonathan Marsh, Microsoft
Jacques Durand, Fujitsu	Daniel Millwood, IBM
Colleen Evans, Microsoft	Jeff Mischkinsky, Oracle
Christopher Ferris, IBM	Nilo Mitra, Ericsson
Paul Fremantle, WSO2	Peter Niblett, IBM
Robert Freund, Hitachi	Duane Nickull, Adobe
Peter Furniss, Erebor	Eisaku Nishiyama, Hitachi
Marc Goodner, Microsoft	Dave Orchard, BEA

602 Chouthri Palanisamy, NEC
603 Sanjay Patil, SAP
604 Gilbert Pilz, BEA
605 Martin Raeppele, SAP
606 Eric Rajkovic, Oracle
607 Stefan Rossmann, SAP
608 Tom Rutt, Fujitsu
609 Rich Salz, IBM
617

610 Shivajee Samdarshi, Tibco
611 Vladimir Videlov, SAP
612 Claus von Riegen, SAP
613 Pete Wenzel, Sun
614 Steve Winkler, SAP
615 Ümit Yalçınalp, SAP
616 Nobuyuki Yamamoto, Hitachi