

Faster Algorithms for $(2k - 1)$ -Stretch Distance Oracles

Avi Katria and Liam Roditty

Abstract

The seminal distance oracles of Thorup and Zwick [STOC 2001, JACM 2005] provide optimal stretch/space tradeoffs. However, their $O(mn^{1/k})$ construction time is not optimal, and they posed the question of whether a faster construction time is possible (especially for small k). In this paper, we present the first improvement upon their construction algorithm in graphs that are not super sparse, i.e., when $m = \Omega(n^{1+1/k+\varepsilon})$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Moreover, our construction improves upon the $O(n^2)$ -time construction of Baswana and Kavitha [FOCS 2006, SICOMP 2010], for every $k > 2$. By achieving the first subquadratic construction for $2 < k < 6$, we resolve the open problem posed by Wulff-Nilsen [SODA 2012] of whether such subquadratic-time constructions exist.

Wulff-Nilsen [SODA 2012] targeted nearly linear construction times and presented algorithms running in $\tilde{O}(m + n^{1+f(k)})$ time, which is near-linear whenever the graph density m exceeds the threshold $n^{1+f(k)}$. We obtain improved bounds on $f(k)$ for all $k > 3$, and thus expand the regime of graph densities for which nearly linear construction times are achievable.

In addition, for unweighted graphs, we present several new algorithms for constructing $(2k - 1, \beta)$ -oracles that improve upon the results of Baswana, Gaur, Sen, and Upadhyay [ICALP 2008].

Our results are achieved through the development of several new algorithmic tools, which may be of independent interest. One of our main technical contributions is a hierarchy of parameterized distance oracles, which plays a central role in our fast construction algorithms.

1 Introduction

In their seminal work¹ on distance oracles, Thorup and Zwick [TZ05] presented an algorithm that constructs in $O(kmn^{\frac{1}{k}})$ expected² time, for any integer $k \geq 1$, a $(2k - 1)$ -stretch distance oracle that uses $O(kn^{1+\frac{1}{k}})$ space and answers distance queries in $O(k)$ time. Many different aspects of distance oracles, including fast construction algorithms, have been studied since the introduction of distance oracles [MN06, ENW16, EP16, ES23, CZ24, Wul12, BK10, KKR24, Le23, ABKZ22, ABF23, GLNS02, CCFK17, Wul16].

Assuming the Erdős girth conjecture, the $(2k - 1)$ -stretch / $O(kn^{1+\frac{1}{k}})$ -space tradeoff established in [TZ05] is optimal. Chechik [Che14, Che15] improved the $O(k)$ query time to $O(1)$. Therefore, the main open problem regarding distance oracles that remains is improving its construction time. In the words of Thorup and Zwick [TZ05]:

“It remains an interesting open problem, however, to reduce the preprocessing times of small stretch oracles.”

Thorup and Zwick [TZ05]

The $O(mn^{\frac{1}{k}})$ construction algorithm of Thorup and Zwick [TZ05] is super-quadratic (in n) whenever $m = \omega(n^{2-\frac{1}{k}})$. Baswana and Kavitha [BK10] addressed this problem and presented an $O(n^2)$ construction-time algorithm, for every $k \geq 2$. In light of the quadratic time algorithm of Baswana and Kavitha [BK10], Wulff-Nilsen [Wul12] considered the following problem.

Problem 1. *For graphs with $m = \Theta(n^{2-\varepsilon})$, for $\varepsilon > 0$, for which values of $k \geq 2$, does there exist an $O(n^{2-\delta})$, for $\delta > 0$, time algorithm that constructs a $(2k - 1)$ -stretch distance oracle with $\tilde{O}(1)$ query time³ and $\tilde{O}(kn^{1+\frac{1}{k}})$ space?*

Wulff-Nilsen [Wul12] presented an $O(km + kn^{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{2}{k}+O(k^{-2})})$ time construction algorithm, which is truly subquadratic time for every $k \geq 6$. Therefore, this resolves Problem 1 for all $k \geq 6$. Similar to Thorup and Zwick, Wulff-Nilsen suggested focusing on small stretch oracles and asked whether subquadratic time algorithms exist for smaller values of k .

In this paper, we provide an almost complete answer to Problem 1 by presenting a truly subquadratic-time construction algorithm for every $k > 2$, leaving only the case that $k = 2$ open⁴. We achieve a running time of $O(\max(n^{1+2/k}, m^{1-\frac{1}{k-1}}n^{\frac{2}{k-1}}) \log \log n)$, which for graphs with $m = O(n^{2-\varepsilon})$, is truly subquadratic time of $O(n^{2-\varepsilon(1-\frac{1}{k-1})})$. Moreover, this is the first improvement over the $O(mn^{1/k})$ construction time of Thorup and Zwick [TZ05] in graphs with $n^{1+1/k+\varepsilon} < m < n^{2-\varepsilon}$ edges, for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

Theorem 1. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a weighted undirected graph and $k \geq 3$ be an integer. There is an $O(\max(n^{1+2/k}, m^{1-\frac{1}{k-1}}n^{\frac{2}{k-1}}) \log \log n)$ time algorithm that constructs a $(2k - 1)$ -stretch distance oracle that uses $O(n^{1+\frac{1}{k}})$ -space and answers distance queries in $O(k \log \log n)$ time.*

¹Winner of the 20-year Test-of-Time award of STOC 2021.

²Later, Roditty, Thorup, and Zwick [RTZ05] derandomized the construction.

³ \tilde{O} omits a polylogn factor

⁴We highlight that solving Problem 1 for $k = 2$ and achieving a 3-stretch distance oracle in truly subquadratic time—even without imposing space constraints—would be a significant breakthrough. Such a result would improve upon the long-standing $\tilde{O}(n^2)$ -time 3-stretch all-pairs shortest paths (APSP) algorithm of Cohen and Zwick [CZ01], and would be a foundational advancement in the development of approximation algorithms for APSP.

After breaking the quadratic time barrier for every $k > 2$, we shift our focus to achieving optimal⁵ linear construction time, as formalized in the following problem.

Problem 2. *For every $k \geq 2$, what is the smallest value $f(k)$ for which a $(2k - 1)$ -stretch distance oracle with $\tilde{O}(1)$ query time and space complexity $\tilde{O}(n^{1+1/k})$ can be constructed in $\tilde{O}(m + n^{1+f(k)})$ time?*

A running time of $\tilde{O}(m + n^{1+f(k)})$ is nearly linear for graphs where $m = \Omega(n^{1+f(k)})$, and is therefore *optimal* (up to logarithmic factors) for such graphs. In other words, Problem 2 asks: what is the sparsest graph for which it is possible to construct a $(2k - 1)$ -stretch distance oracle with $\tilde{O}(1)$ query time and $\tilde{O}(n^{1+\frac{1}{k}})$ space in almost linear time?

There are two approaches to attack Problem 2. One approach is to prove a lower bound on the value of $f(k)$. Jin and Xu [JX23] proved that $\Omega(m^{1+\frac{1}{2k-1}-o(1)})$ time is required for constructing a $(2k - 1)$ -stretch distance oracle, for graphs in which $m = \Theta(n)$, conditioned on the 3SUM conjecture. Independently, and also conditioned on the 3SUM conjecture, Abboud, Bringmann, and Fischer [ABF23] proved that $\Omega(m^{1+\frac{1}{2k-1}-o(1)})$ time is required. These two results rule out linear-time construction for graphs with $m = \Theta(n)$, which implies that $f(k) > 0$.⁶

In addition, Jin and Xu [JX23] proved that $\Omega(m^{1+\frac{1}{4k-3}})$ time is required for constructing a $(2k - 1)$ -stretch distance oracle, for graphs in which $m = \Theta(n^{1+\frac{1}{4k-4}})$, ruling out linear time construction for graphs with $m = \Theta(n^{1+\frac{1}{4k-4}})$, and therefore showing that $f(k) > \frac{1}{4k-4}$, for every $k > 1$.

Another approach to attack Problem 2 is to design a faster construction algorithm which improve the upper bound on $f(k)$. Wulff-Nilsen [Wul12] presented two construction algorithms. The first algorithm with $O(km + kn^{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{2}{k}+O(k^{-2})})$ running time was discussed above, and the second algorithm has $O(\sqrt{k}m + kn^{1+\frac{2\sqrt{6}}{\sqrt{k}}+O(k^{-1})})$ running time. Combining these two algorithms with the $\tilde{O}(n^2)$ -time algorithm of Baswana and Kavitha [BK10] we get:

$$f(k) \leq \begin{cases} 1 & 2 \leq k < 6 \\ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{k} + O(k^{-2}) & 6 \leq k < 96 \\ \frac{2\sqrt{6}}{\sqrt{k}} + O(k^{-1}) & 96 \leq k \end{cases}$$

In this paper, we improve the construction time of the Wulff-Nilsen [Wul12] algorithms and present two new construction algorithms, as presented in the following two theorems.

Theorem 2. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a weighted undirected graph and let $k \geq 3$ be an integer. There is an $O((km + kn^{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{3}{4k-6}}) \log \log n)$ time algorithm that constructs a $(2k - 1)$ -stretch distance oracle that uses $O(n^{1+\frac{1}{k}})$ -space and answers distance queries in $O(k \log \log n)$ time.*

Theorem 3. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a weighted undirected graph and $k \geq 3$ be an integer. There is an $O((\sqrt{k}m + kn^{1+\frac{\sqrt{8k^3-32k+25}-4k+5}}{k(k-1)}}) \log \log n) \leq O(\sqrt{k}m \log \log n + kn^{1+\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{k}}})$ time algorithm that constructs a $(2k - 1)$ -stretch distance oracle that uses $O(n^{1+\frac{1}{k}})$ -space and answers distance queries in $O(k \log \log n)$ time.*

⁵ $\Omega(m)$ construction time is required due to a simple reduction to (s, t) -connectivity.

⁶Abboud, Bringmann, Khoury, and Zamir [ABKZ22] previously proved that $\Omega(m^{1+\frac{c}{k}-o(1)})$ construction time is required, for a small constant c .

Theorem 2 improves the $O(km + kn^{\frac{3}{2} + \frac{2}{k} + O(k^{-2})})$ time of [Wul12] and Theorem 3 improves the $O(\sqrt{km} + kn^{1 + \frac{2\sqrt{6}}{\sqrt{k}} + O(k^{-1})})$ time of [Wul12].

Combining these two algorithms, we significantly improve upon the current state of the art and obtain the following upper bound on $f(k)$:

$$f(k) \leq \begin{cases} 1 & k = 2, 3 \\ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{4k-6} & 4 \leq k < 16 \\ \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{k}} & 16 \leq k \end{cases}$$

In Table 1, we summarize our new construction algorithms for weighted undirected graphs and compare them to the previously known results. In Table 2 and Figure 2, we compare our new results on the sparsest graphs for which a linear-time construction algorithm exists with the prior work of [BK10, Wul12].

Our pursuit of faster construction algorithms leads us to develop several novel tools. Among them are new *parameterized* distance oracles. Parameterized distance oracles were first introduced by Roditty, Thorup, and Zwick [RTZ05]. Given a set $S \subseteq V$ as a parameter, they presented an $O(m|S|^{\frac{1}{k}})$ -time construction algorithm that builds a $(2k-1)$ -stretch distance oracle with $O(n|S|^{\frac{1}{k}})$ space, supporting queries only for vertex pairs $\langle s, v \rangle \in S \times V$.

One of our new tools is a parameterized distance oracle, which is a natural generalization of the parameterized distance oracle of [RTZ05]. Our parameterized distance oracle is constructed in the same running time, uses the same amount of space. The oracle supports queries for every $\langle u, v \rangle$ in $V \times V$, rather than in $S \times V$ as [RTZ05], at the cost of adding $2 \min(h(u), h(v))$ to the estimate, where $h(x)$ is the distance from x to S . Notice that if $u \in S$, then $h(u) = 0$, and the stretch is $(2k-1)$ as in [RTZ05].

The main technical contribution of this paper is a new algorithmic tool called hierarchical distance oracles, which is composed of a hierarchy of parameterized distance oracles. This construction consists of $\log \log n$ such oracles, each built on a distinct subgraph of G and parameterized by a different set S .

Using our new hierarchical distance oracle we obtain our $O(\max(n^{1+2/k}, m^{1-\frac{1}{k-1}} n^{\frac{2}{k-1}}))$ -time algorithm for constructing a $(2k-1)$ -stretch distance oracle that uses $O(n^{1+\frac{1}{k}})$ space, mentioned above. We remark that this improves upon the $O(mn^{1/k})$ -construction time of Thorup and Zwick [TZ05], for graphs with $m = \Omega(n^{1+\frac{1}{k}+\epsilon})$ edges.

In addition, we consider unweighted graphs. An estimation $\hat{d}(u, v)$ of $d(u, v)$ is an (α, β) -approximation if $d(u, v) \leq \hat{d}(u, v) \leq \alpha d(u, v) + \beta$. (α, β) -approximations were extensively studied in the context of graph spanners, emulators, and distance oracles. (For more details see for example [EP04, TZ06, BKMP10, Che13, BW21, Par14, AB17, ABP18, AGH12, Aga14, CZ24, BCC⁺24, KKR24, AG13]).

Baswana, Gaur, Sen, and Upadhyay [BGSU08] considered estimations with an additive error, to obtain faster construction-time algorithms for distance oracles. They studied the following problem.

Problem 3. *In unweighted undirected graphs, for every $k \geq 2$ and $\beta \geq 0$, what is the smallest value $f(k, \beta)$, for which a $(2k-1, \beta)$ -approximation distance oracle with $\tilde{O}(1)$ query time that uses $\tilde{O}(n^{1+\frac{1}{k}})$ space can be constructed in $\tilde{O}(m + n^{1+f(k, \beta)})$ time?*

Baswana, Gaur, Sen and Upadhyay [BGSU08] presented an algorithm for constructing $(2k-1, 2)$ -approximation distance oracle that uses $O(kn^{1+\frac{1}{k}})$ space, for $k \geq 3$. The algorithm runs in

$O(m + kn^{\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k(2k-2)}})$ time. For $k = 2$, they presented an algorithm that runs in $\tilde{O}(m + n^{23/12})$ time and constructs (3, 14)-approximation distance oracle that uses $O(n^{1.5})$ space.

Combining these algorithms with the construction of Wulff-Nilsen [Wul12] we get that the current state of the art for Problem 3 is:

$$f(k, \beta) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k(2k-2)} & 3 \leq k < 96, \beta = 2 \\ \frac{2\sqrt{6}}{\sqrt{k}} + O(k^{-1}) & 96 \leq k, \beta = 0 \end{cases}$$

In this paper, we improve the results of Baswana, Gaur, Sen, and Upadhyay [BGSU08] and present three new construction algorithms. The first construction algorithm runs in $\tilde{O}(km + kn^{\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{k-1} - \frac{1}{4k-6}})$ and constructs a $(2k-1, 2)$ -approximation distance oracle, improving the $\tilde{O}(m + kn^{\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k(2k-2)}})$ construction time of [BGSU08], for every $k > 2$. The second algorithm runs in $\tilde{O}(km + kn^{\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2k} + \frac{3.5k-4.5}{k(4k^2-8k+3)}})$ time and constructs a $(2k-1, 2k-2)$ -approximation distance oracle. The third algorithm runs in $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{k}m + kn^{1 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{k}}})$ time and constructs a $(2k-1, 2k-1)$ -approximation distance oracle. Combining these three algorithms, we significantly improve upon the current state of the art and obtain the following values for $f(k, \beta)$:

$$f(k, \beta) \leq \begin{cases} 11/12 & k = 2, \beta = 14 \\ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{k-1} - \frac{1}{4k-6} & 3 \leq k < 13, \beta = 2 \\ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2k} + \frac{3.5k-4.5}{k(4k^2-8k+3)} & 3 \leq k < 13, \beta = 2k-2 \\ \frac{2}{\sqrt{k}} & 13 \leq k, \beta = 2k-1 \end{cases}$$

In addition, we present an $O(mn^\varepsilon + n^{1+1/k+\varepsilon})$ time algorithm for constructing a $((2k-1)(1+\varepsilon), \beta)$ -distance oracle, improving the stretch of the $O(m + n^{1+1/k+\varepsilon})$ time algorithm of [Wul12] that constructs an $O(k)$ -distance oracle.

In Table 3, we summarize our new construction algorithms for unweighted undirected graphs and compare them to the previously known results. In Table 4 and Figure 3, we compare the previous results of [BGSU08] to our new results regarding the sparsest graphs for which there exists a linear time construction algorithm.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we present some necessary preliminaries. In Section 3, we overview our main results and techniques. In Section 4 we present our new tools, and specifically in Section 4.3 we present our new hierarchical distance oracle. In Section 5 we present our construction algorithms for weighted graphs, and in Section 6 we present our construction algorithms for unweighted graphs. For convenience, in Section A we include tables and graphs that summarize all the theorems.

2 Preliminaries

Let $G = (V, E)$ be an undirected graph with $n = |V|$ vertices and $m = |E|$ edges. Throughout the paper, we consider unweighted and weighted graphs with non-negative real edge weights. Let $u, v \in V$, we denote $d_G(u, v)$ as the distance between u and v in G . Let $P(u, v)$ be the shortest path between u and v . Let $N(u) \subseteq V$ be the neighbors of u and let $\deg(u) = |N(u)|$ be the degree of u .




Figure 1: Illustration of G_S , the distance is the Euclidean distance.

Let $S \subseteq V$. The distance $d(u, S)$ between u and S is the distance between u and the closest vertex to u from S , that is, $d(u, S) = \min_{s \in S} (d(u, s))$. We denote $d(u, S)$ with $h_S(u)$, and when S is clear from the context, we write $h(u)$. Let $p_S(u) = \arg \min_{s \in S} (d(u, s))$ (ties are broken in favor of the vertex with a smaller identifier). Let $B_S(u) = \{v \in V \mid d_G(u, v) < d_G(u, S)\}$. Let $E_S(v)$ be the set of edges incident to v whose weight is at most $h_S(v)$, that is $E_S(u) = \{(u, v) \in E \mid \ell(u, v) < h_S(u)\}$. Let $E_S = \bigcup_{v \in V} E_S(v)$ and $G_S = (V, E_S)$. See Figure 1 for an illustration.

The following lemmas are standard results and are included here for completeness.

Lemma 1. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a weighted undirected graph. Given a non-empty subset $S \subseteq V$, $p_S(u)$ and $h_S(u)$ can be computed in $O(m + n \log n)$ time for all vertices $u \in V$.*

Proof. Let $G' = (V \cup \{x\}, E \cup \{(x, s, 0) \mid s \in S\})$. Running Dijkstra from x in G' computes $d_{G'}(x, u) = d_G(S, u) = h_S(u)$, and identifies $p_S(u)$, the second vertex in $P_{G'}(x, u)$, for every $u \in V$. \square

Lemma 2. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a weighted undirected graph and let $S \subseteq V$ be a non-empty set, the graph G_S can be computed in $O(m + n \log n)$ time.*

Proof. Using Lemma 1, we obtain $h_S(u)$ for every $u \in V$ in $O(m + n \log n)$ time. In $O(m)$ time we iterate every edge (u, v) and remove it if $\ell(u, v) \geq \max(h_S(u), h_S(v))$. \square

Baswana and Kavitha [BK10] proved the following useful lemma on the graph $G_S = (V, E_S)$.

Lemma 3 ([BK10]). *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a weighted undirected graph and let $S \subseteq V$ be a non-empty set. For any two vertices $u, v \in V$, if $v \in B_S(u)$ then $d_{G_S}(u, v) = d_G(u, v)$. If S is obtained by picking each vertex independently with probability p , then E_S has expected size $O(n/p)$.*

When Throughout the paper, we occasionally distinguish between the case that $P(u, v) \not\subseteq G_S$ and the case that $P(u, v) \subseteq G_S$. Next, we prove a useful bound on $h_S(u)$ and $h_S(v)$ when $P(u, v) \not\subseteq G_S$.

Lemma 4. *Let G be a weighted undirected graph. If $P(u, v) \not\subseteq G_S$, then $\max(h(u), h(v)) \leq d_G(u, v)$*

Proof. Since $P(u, v) \not\subseteq G_S$ there exists an edge $(w, z) \in P(u, v)$ such that $(w, z) \notin G_S$. Wlog, we assume that $d(u, w) \leq d(u, z)$. By the definition of G_S , the fact that $(w, z) \notin G_S$ implies

that $h_S(w) \leq \ell(w, z)$. Since $(w, z) \in P(w, v)$, we have $\ell(w, z) \leq d(w, v)$. Moreover, by the triangle inequality for $h_S(u)$, we get that $h_S(u) \leq d(u, w) + h_S(w)$. By combining these inequalities, we get:

$$h_S(u) \leq d(u, w) + h_S(w) \leq d(u, w) + \ell(w, z) \leq d(u, w) + d(w, v) \leq d(u, v)$$

Where the equality follows from the fact that $w \in P(u, v)$, as required. Using symmetric arguments, we can also get that $h(v) \leq d(u, v)$. \square

For a real value α , an α -stretch spanner of G is a subgraph $H = (V, E_H)$ of G such that for every $u, v \in V$, $d_G(u, v) \leq d_H(u, v) \leq \alpha \cdot d_G(u, v)$. An (α, β) -approximation spanner of G is a subgraph such that for every $u, v \in V$, $d_G(u, v) \leq d_H(u, v) \leq \alpha \cdot d_G(u, v) + \beta$. In the next lemma, we describe the spanner construction that we use in our algorithms.

Lemma 5 ([BS07]). *For any integer k , for a weighted graph G , a $(2k - 1)$ -spanner with $O(n^{1+\frac{1}{k}})$ edges can be computed in $O(km)$ time.*

In the following lemma, we summarize the properties of the distance oracle construction algorithm as presented in [TZ05].

Lemma 6 ([TZ05]). *There is an $O(kn^{1+\frac{1}{k}})$ -space $(2k - 1)$ -stretch distance oracle with $O(k)$ query time. The distance oracle is constructed in $O(mn^{\frac{1}{k}})$ expected time.*

In our construction algorithms, we refer to the distance oracle of Thorup and Zwick [TZ05], presented in Lemma 6, as $\text{ADO}(G, k)$. We denote a query to $\text{ADO}(G, k)$ by $\text{ADO}(G, k).\text{Query}(u, v)$, where u and v are the queried vertices. We adopt this notation for queries to all other distance oracles developed in this paper.

3 Overview

This section provides an overview of our construction algorithms and the key new tools we developed. To put our contribution in context, we begin by describing the framework underlying both construction algorithms of Wulff-Nilsen [Wul12]. This framework consists of the following three steps:

(Step 1) Sample a set S and create an oracle that upon a query on $u, v \in V$ produces $\hat{d}_{G_S}(u, v)$.

(Step 2) Compute a spanner H .

(Step 3) Create an oracle that upon a query on $s_1, s_2 \in S$ produces $\hat{d}_H(s_1, s_2)$.

The distance oracle query of this framework returns $\min(\hat{d}_{G_S}(u, v), h_S(u) + h_S(v) + \hat{d}_H(p(u), p(v)))$.

Using this framework, Wulff-Nilsen obtained an $O(\sqrt{km} + kn^{1+\frac{2\sqrt{6}}{\sqrt{k}}+O(k^{-1})})$ construction-time algorithm as follows. In (Step 1) $\text{ADO}(G_S, k)$ is constructed. In (Step 2) a $(2k' - 1)$ -spanner H from Lemma 5 is computed. In (Step 3) $\text{ADO}(H, k'')$ is constructed.

Next, we discuss the new main techniques that allow us to improve (Step 1). Notice that there is a tradeoff between $|S|$ and $|E_S|$. When $|E_S|$ is small, (Step 1) becomes faster and $|S|$ increases, resulting in a slower (Step 3). Given this tradeoff, the key to obtaining faster construction algorithms lies in the following optimization problem:

Problem 4. For every $0 \leq x_0 \leq 1$, what is the densest graph for which a $(2k - 1)$ -stretch distance oracle with $\tilde{O}(1)$ query time can be constructed in $\tilde{O}(n^{1+x_0+\frac{1}{k}})$ time?

Thorup and Zwick’s [TZ05] construction algorithm, which is used by Wulff-Nilsen for (Step 1), solves Problem 4 for graphs with $O(n^{1+x_0})$ edges. We improve the construction algorithm of [TZ05], for every $x_0 > \frac{1}{k}$ and solve Problem 4 for graphs with $O(n^{1+\frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0-\frac{1}{k(k-2)}})$ edges.

To obtain this improvement, we develop a new hierarchy of parameterized distance oracles, which we denote with **hADO** (see Theorem 4). The hierarchical distance oracle uses our new parameterized distance oracle, which we denote with ADO_P (see Lemma 10).

To understand how **hADO** works, we first describe parameterized distance oracles. Let $S \subseteq V$. Roditty, Thorup, and Zwick [RTZ05] presented an $O(m|S|^{\frac{1}{k}})$ -time construction algorithm for constructing a $(2k - 1)$ -stretch distance oracle that uses $O(n|S|^{\frac{1}{k}})$ space and supports queries for vertex pairs $\langle s, v \rangle \in S \times V$. Our new parameterized distance oracle, ADO_P , is a generalization of the parameterized distance oracle of [RTZ05]. ADO_P is constructed at the same time, uses the same space and query time, and supports queries for vertex pairs $\langle u, v \rangle \in V \times V$ rather than $\langle s, v \rangle \in S \times V$, and returns an estimate satisfying:

$$\text{ADO}_P(G, k, S). \text{Query}(u, v) \leq 2 \min(h_S(u), h_S(v)) + (2k - 1)d(u, v)$$

Notice that if $u \in S$ then $h_S(u) = 0$ and $\text{ADO}_P(G, k, S). \text{Query}(u, v) \leq (2k - 1)d(u, v)$, as in [RTZ05]. (For more details, see Section 4.2.)

The goal of the **hADO** is to compute distance oracles on denser graphs. To this end, we use a hierarchy of graphs that starts with sparse graphs and ends with denser graphs. Let $u, v \in V$ and let G_{S_0} , for some set S_0 , be the first graph in the hierarchy. The main observation is that if we already know that $P(u, v) \not\subseteq G_{S_0}$ then, by Lemma 4, we have $h_{S_0}(u), h_{S_0}(v) \leq d(u, v)$.

This allows us to consider the denser graph G_{S_1} , while maintaining the same stretch and running time by computing $\text{ADO}_P(G_{S_1}, k - 1, S_0)$. This solves the case in which $P(u, v) \subseteq G_{S_1}$. If $P(u, v) \not\subseteq G_{S_1}$ by Lemma 4 we have that $h_{S_1}(u), h_{S_1}(v) \leq d(u, v)$ and we continue the process by computing $\text{ADO}_P(G_{S_2}, k - 1, S_1)$. We continue this process for $\log \log n$ levels, until two consecutive steps yield the same asymptotic density.

More formally, the hierarchical distance oracle **hADO** works as follows. Let S_0 be a set of size n^{1-x_0} , and construct $\text{ADO}(G_{S_0}, k)$. Let $t = \lceil \log \log n \rceil$. For every $1 \leq i \leq t$, construct $\text{ADO}_P(G_{S_i}, k - 1, S_{i-1})$, (roughly speaking, we use $k - 1$ since the first level is the “ S ” set, this is required to get the desired stretch guarantee), where S_i is a random set of size n^{1-x_i} and $x_i = x_0 - \frac{1}{k(k-1)} + \frac{x_{i-1}}{k-1}$.⁷ The query of **hADO** returns $\min(\text{ADO}(G_{S_0}, k). \text{Query}(u, v), \min_{1 \leq i \leq t}(\text{ADO}_P(G_{S_i}, k - 1, S_{i-1}). \text{Query}(u, v)))$.

The construction time of **hADO** is $\tilde{O}(n^{1+x_0+\frac{1}{k}})$. To see this notice that constructing $\text{ADO}_P(G_{S_i}, k - 1, S_{i-1})$ takes $O(|E_{S_i}| \times |S_{i-1}|^{\frac{1}{k-1}}) = O(kn^{1+x_i+\frac{1-x_{i-1}}{k-1}})$ time, and since $x_i = x_0 - \frac{1}{k(k-1)} + \frac{x_{i-1}}{k-1}$ we get $O(kn^{1+x_0-\frac{1}{k(k-1)}+\frac{x_{i-1}}{k-1}+\frac{1-x_{i-1}}{k-1}}) = O(kn^{1+x_0+\frac{1}{k}})$.

For every u, v , where $P(u, v) \subseteq G_{S_t}$, we have that $\text{hADO}. \text{Query}(u, v) \leq (2k - 1)d(u, v)$. To see that, let j be the first index for which $P(u, v) \subseteq G_{S_j}$. Since $P(u, v) \not\subseteq G_{S_{j-1}}$, it follows from Lemma 4 that $\max(h_{S_{j-1}}(u), h_{S_{j-1}}(v)) \leq d(u, v)$, thus, since ADO_P was constructed with parameter $k - 1$ we get $\text{ADO}_P(G_{S_j}, k - 1, S_{j-1}). \text{Query}(u, v) \leq 2 \min(h_{S_{j-1}}(u), h_{S_{j-1}}(v)) + (2(k - 1) - 1)d(u, v) \leq (2k - 1)d(u, v)$. (See Lemma 14 for a complete proof.)

⁷Notice that $x_{i+1} - x_i = \frac{x_i - x_{i-1}}{k-1} \Rightarrow x_{i+1} > x_i$. Therefore $|S_{i+1}| < |S_i|$ and $|E_{S_{i+1}}| > |E_{S_i}|$.

Our new hierarchical distance oracle, **hADO**, obtains a truly subquadratic construction algorithm for every $k \geq 3$, even without using the three-step framework presented above. Roughly speaking, the construction algorithm works as follows. Let x_0 be the solution for the equation $n^{1+\frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0-\frac{1}{k(k-2)}} = m$. One can verify that $x_0 = \frac{(\log_n m)(k^2-2k)-(k^2-2k-1)}{k(k-1)}$.

We construct **hADO**(G, k, x_0). In the hierarchy, we have that $|E_{S_t}| = n^{1+\frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0-\frac{1}{k(k-2)}} = m$. Since $|E_{S_t}| = m$ we can replace G_{S_t} with G without affecting the construction time. Since $P(u, v) \subseteq G$ for every $u, v \in V$, we obtain a $(2k-1)$ -stretch distance oracle. The construction time of this distance oracle is $\tilde{O}(n^{1+x_0+\frac{1}{k}}) = \tilde{O}(m^{1-\frac{1}{k-1}}n^{\frac{2}{k-1}})$ (See Lemma 16). We remark that this is truly subquadratic since for $m = n^{2-\varepsilon}$ we get a running time of $\tilde{O}(n^{(2-\varepsilon)(1-\frac{1}{k-1})}n^{\frac{2}{k-1}}) = \tilde{O}(n^{2-\varepsilon(1-\frac{1}{k-1})})$, which is truly subquadratic for every $k > 2$.

Next, we overview our improvement in the regime of linear construction-time algorithms. To improve the previous results, we use the framework described above and improve each step of the three-step framework as follows: In (Step 1) we use **hADO**(G, k, x_0) as opposed to **ADO**(G, k) as in [Wul12]. This enables us to estimate distances in G_{S_t} instead of G_S . In (Step 2) we compute a $(2k'-1)$ -spanner H as in [Wul12]. However, we augment H with edges between u and $p(u)$ of weight $h(u)$ for every $u \in V$. This enables us to bound $d_H(p(u), p(v))$ with $h(u) + h(v) + (2k'-1)d(u, v)$ instead of $(2k'-1)(h(u) + h(v) + d(u, v))$ as in [Wul12].

For (Step 3) we develop an additional parameterized distance oracle, **ADO** $_{P'}$ (see Lemma 11), that is constructed in $O(m|S|^{\frac{1}{k}})$ time, the same running time as **ADO** $_P$, but uses $O(|S|^{1+\frac{1}{k}})$ space which is significantly less space than the $O(n|S|^{\frac{1}{k}})$ space required by **ADO** $_P$. The space reduction comes at a cost of supporting queries only for vertex pairs in $S \times S$. In (Step 3) we use **ADO** $_{P'}(H, k-1, S_t)$ instead of **ADO**(H, k) as in [Wul12].

These improvements allows us to reduce the $O(\sqrt{km} + kn^{1+\frac{2\sqrt{6}}{k}+O(k^{-1})})$ construction time of [Wul12] to $O(\sqrt{km} + kn^{1+\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{k}})$ and the $\tilde{O}(km + kn^{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{2}{k}+O(k^{-2})})$ construction time of [Wul12] to $\tilde{O}(km + kn^{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{3}{4k-6}})$. (See Sections 5.2 and 5.3.)

By applying our new techniques to unweighted graphs and allowing a small additive error, we can further improve the construction time. In particular, among our results for unweighted graphs, we obtain a construction algorithm that in $O(km + kn^{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{0.75}{k}+O(k^{-2})})$ time constructs a $(2k-1, 2)$ -approximation distance oracle, improving the $O(km + kn^{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{1}{k}+O(k^{-2})})$ of Baswana, Gaur, Sen, and Upadhyay [BGSU08], for every $k > 2$.

We remark that all of our construction algorithms can be de-randomized using the methods presented by Roditty, Thorup, and Zwick [RTZ05] at a logarithmic cost.

4 Tools

In this section, we develop our new techniques that we later use in our fast construction algorithms presented in Sections 5 and 6. We begin by proving several useful properties of the classical oracle of Thorup and Zwick [TZ05] that are used by our parameterized distance oracles, presented right afterwards. The main new technical contribution, our new hierarchical distance oracle, is presented in the last part of this section, see Section 4.3.

Algorithm 1: `ADO.Query`(u, v) - The query of the Thorup-Zwick[TZ05] distance oracle

```

1 for  $i \in [k]$  do
2   if  $p_i(u) \in B_i(v)$  then
3     return  $h_i(u) + d(p_i(u), v)$ 
4    $(u, v) \leftarrow (v, u)$ 

```

4.1 Properties of the classical distance oracle of [TZ05]

In this section, we discuss the internals and some properties of the Thorup and Zwick distance oracle [TZ05], which are then applied to our parameterized distance oracles.

Given an integer parameter $k \geq 2$, we can compute in $O(n)$ time vertex sets A_1, \dots, A_{k-1} , such that $|A_i| = O(n^{1-i/k})$, for every $i \in [1, k-1]$. Let $A_0 = V$ and let $A_k = \emptyset$. Let $p_i(u)$ be the closest vertex to u from the set A_i , for every $i \in [0, k]$. For every $i \in [0, k-1]$, let the *bunch* $B_i(u)$ be the set $\{v \in A_i \mid d(u, v) < d(u, p_{i+1}(u))\}$. Let $B(u) = \bigcup_{i=0}^{k-1} B_i(u)$. Let $w \in A_i \setminus A_{i+1}$ and let $C(w) = \{u \mid w \in B_i(u)\}$.

Lemma 7 ([TZ05]). *The size of $B(u)$ is $O(kn^{\frac{1}{k}})$, whp (with high probability⁸) and the cost of computing $B(u)$, for every $u \in V$, is $O(mn^{\frac{1}{k}})$ whp.*

Next, for completeness, we describe the query of [TZ05] (`ADO.Query`). Later, we generalize its correctness proofs, and this generalization helps us to achieve the oracles of Section 4.2.

The procedure `ADO.Query` gets as an input $u, v \in V$, and using their bunches gives an estimation $\hat{d}(u, v)$. Let $h_i(u)$ be $h_{A_i}(u)$. For $i \in [k]$, if $p_i(u) \in B_i(v)$ return $h_i(u) + d(p_i(u), v)$, otherwise swap u and v and continue iterating the for-loop. A pseudo-code for `ADO.Query` is presented in Algorithm 1. Let $\hat{d}(u, v)$ be $\min(\text{ADO.Query}(u, v), \text{ADO.Query}(v, u))$. The following lemmas prove properties of $\hat{d}(u, v)$, which generalizes the proof of [TZ05].

Lemma 8. $\hat{d}(u, v) \leq 2 \min(h_{k-1}(u), h_{k-1}(v)) + d(u, v)$

Proof. Since $A_k = \emptyset$, we have that $p_{k-1}(u) \in B_{k-1}(v)$. Thus, $\hat{d}(u, v) \leq h_{k-1}(u) + d(p_{k-1}(u), v) \leq 2h_{k-1}(u) + d(u, v)$, where the last inequality follows from the triangle inequality. Using symmetrical arguments, we have that $\hat{d}(u, v) \leq 2h_{k-1}(v) + d(u, v)$, as required. \square

Lemma 9. *For every integer $1 \leq i \leq k$, one of the following holds.*

- $\min(h_i(u), h_i(v)) \leq \min(h_{i-1}(u), h_{i-1}(v)) + d(u, v)$
- $\hat{d}(u, v) \leq 2 \min(h_{i-1}(u), h_{i-1}(v)) + d(u, v)$

Proof. Wlog (without loss of generality), assume that $h_{i-1}(u) \leq h_{i-1}(v)$. We divide the proof into two cases. The case where $p_{i-1}(u) \in B_{i-1}(v)$, and the case where $p_{i-1}(u) \notin B_{i-1}(v)$. Consider the case where $p_{i-1}(u) \in B_{i-1}(v)$. In this case, the value of $d(p_{i-1}(u), v)$ is saved in the distance oracle. From the triangle inequality it follows that $d(p_{i-1}(u), v) \leq h_{i-1}(u) + d(u, v)$. Therefore, we have that $\hat{d}(u, v) \leq h_{i-1}(u) + d(p_{i-1}(u), v) \leq 2h_{i-1}(u) + d(u, v)$. Since $h_{i-1}(u) \leq h_{i-1}(v)$ we get that $\hat{d}(u, v) \leq 2 \min(h_{i-1}(u), h_{i-1}(v)) + d(u, v)$, as required.

⁸We say that an event occurs *with high probability* (whp) if it occurs with probability at least $1 - \frac{1}{n^c}$ for some constant $c > 0$, where n is the size of the input (e.g., the number of vertices or edges in the graph).

Consider now the case that $p_{i-1}(u) \notin B_{i-1}(v)$. From the definition of $B_{i-1}(v)$ it follows that $h_i(v) \leq d(v, p_{i-1}(u)) \leq d(u, v) + h_{i-1}(u)$. Since $h_{i-1}(u) \leq h_{i-1}(v)$, we get that $h_i(v) \leq \min(h_{i-1}(u), h_{i-1}(v)) + d(u, v)$, and thus $\min(h_i(u), h_i(v)) \leq \min(h_{i-1}(u), h_{i-1}(v)) + d(u, v)$, as required. \square

4.2 Parameterized distance oracles

A *parameterized distance oracle* is constructed with respect to a special input set $S \subseteq V$. Roditty et al. [RTZ05] presented an algorithm that constructs such a $(2k - 1)$ -distance oracle in $O(m|S|^{\frac{1}{k}})$ time. The distance oracle uses $O(n|S|^{\frac{1}{k}})$ space and answers distance queries only for vertex pairs $\langle s, v \rangle \in S \times V$ in $O(k)$ time.

In this section, we present two new parameterized distance oracles used in our fast constructions. In the first parameterized distance oracle, the query supports vertex pairs from $V \times V$. In the second parameterized distance oracle, the query supports vertex pairs only from $S \times S$.

First, we generalize the parameterized distance oracle of [RTZ05], and extend the query to support vertex pairs from $V \times V$, as follows. For every $u, v \in V$ the query returns an estimation $\hat{d}(u, v) \leq 2 \min(h_S(u), h_S(v)) + (2k - 1)d(u, v)$. Notice that when $u \in S$ we have $h_S(u) = 0$ thus $\min(h_S(u), h_S(v)) = 0$, and $\hat{d}(u, v) \leq (2k - 1)d(u, v)$, as in the distance oracle of [RTZ05]. Formally:

Lemma 10. *Let $k \geq 1$ and let $S \subseteq V$. There is an $O(n|S|^{\frac{1}{k}})$ -space distance oracle that given two vertices $u, v \in V$, returns in $O(k)$ time an estimation $\hat{d}(u, v)$ such that $d(u, v) \leq \hat{d}(u, v) \leq 2 \min(h_S(u), h_S(v)) + (2k - 1)d(u, v)$. The distance oracle is constructed in $O(m|S|^{\frac{1}{k}})$ time.*

Proof. The main idea behind our parameterized distance oracle is to construct the standard Thorup-Zwick hierarchy [TZ05], but to skip the computation related to the set $A_1 (= S)$, that is, we do not compute $B_0(u)$ for every $u \in V$. By omitting this step, we obtain a faster construction time, at the cost of increasing the stretch by an additional term of $2 \min(h_S(u), h_S(v))$. Formally, the construction proceeds as follows.

Let $A_0 = V$, $A_1 = S$, $A_{k+1} = \emptyset$. For every $2 \leq i \leq k$ the set $A_i \subseteq A_{i-1}$ is a random set such that $|A_i| = |A_{i-1}| \cdot |S|^{-i/k}$. We let $B_0(u) = \{u\}$ for every $u \in V$. As presented in [RTZ05], we compute $C(u)$ for every $u \in S$ in $O(m|S|^{\frac{1}{k}})$ time in order to save for every $u \in V$ and $1 \leq i \leq k$ the sets $B_i(u)$. From Lemma 7, we have that $\sum_{u \in V} |B(u)| \leq O(n|S|^{\frac{1}{k}})$, thus, the distance oracle uses $O(n|S|^{\frac{1}{k}})$ space.

The query of the distance oracle returns $\min(\text{ADO.Query}(u, v), \text{ADO.Query}(v, u))$. To prove its correctness, we first prove by induction for every $i \in [1, k+1]$ that either $\hat{d}(u, v) \leq 2 \min(h_S(u), h_S(v)) + (2i - 3)d(u, v)$ or $\min(h_i(u), h_i(v)) \leq \min(h_S(u), h_S(v)) + (i - 1) \cdot d(u, v)$.

For $i = 1$, since $h_1(\cdot) = h_S(\cdot)$ we get that $\min(h_1(u), h_1(v)) = \min(h_S(u), h_S(v))$ and the claim holds. Next, we assume the claim holds for $i - 1$ and prove the claim for i . From the fact that the claim holds for $i - 1$, we get that either

$$\hat{d}(u, v) \leq 2 \min(h_S(u), h_S(v)) + (2i - 5)d(u, v)$$

or

$$\min(h_{i-1}(u), h_{i-1}(v)) \leq \min(h_S(u), h_S(v)) + (i - 2) \cdot d(u, v)$$

If $\hat{d}(u, v) \leq 2 \min(h_S(u), h_S(v)) + (2i - 5)d(u, v)$ then $\hat{d}(u, v) \leq 2 \min(h_S(u), h_S(v)) + (2i - 3)d(u, v)$

and the claim holds. Otherwise, we have that

$$\min(h_{i-1}(u), h_{i-1}(v)) \leq \min(h_S(u), h_S(v)) + (i-2) \cdot d(u, v)$$

From Lemma 9 it follows that either

$$\hat{d}(u, v) \leq 2 \min(h_{i-1}(u), h_{i-1}(v)) + d(u, v) \text{ or } \min(h_i(u), h_i(v)) \leq d(u, v) + \min(h_{i-1}(u), h_{i-1}(v))$$

Since $\min(h_{i-1}(u), h_{i-1}(v)) \leq \min(h_S(u), h_S(v)) + (i-2) \cdot d(u, v)$ we get that either

$$\hat{d}(u, v) \leq 2 \min(h_S(u), h_S(v)) + (2i-3) \cdot d(u, v) \text{ or}$$

$$\min(h_i(u), h_i(v)) \leq \min(h_S(u), h_S(v)) + (i-2) \cdot d(u, v) + d(u, v) = \min(h_S(u), h_S(v)) + (i-1) \cdot d(u, v),$$

as required.

We complete the proof since for $i = k+1$ we have that $\hat{d}(u, v) \leq 2 \min(h_S(u), h_S(v)) + (2k-1) \cdot d(u, v)$ since $\min(h_{k+1}(u), h_{k+1}(v)) \leq \min(h_S(u), h_S(v)) + (i-1)d(u, v)$ does not hold since $A_{k+1} = \emptyset$ and therefore $h_{k+1}(v) = h_{k+1}(u) = \infty$. \square

Next, we present the second parameterized distance oracle that supports queries only between vertices from S . Notice that this oracle uses much less space than the first oracle.

Lemma 11. *Let $k \geq 1$ and let $S \subseteq V$. There is an $O(|S|^{1+\frac{1}{k}})$ -space distance oracle that given two vertices $u, v \in S$, returns in $O(k)$ time an estimation $\hat{d}(u, v)$ such that $d(u, v) \leq \hat{d}(u, v) \leq (2k-1)d(u, v)$. The distance oracle is constructed in $O(m|S|^{\frac{1}{k}})$ time.*

Proof. The construction and the query are the same as in the distance oracle from Lemma 10. However, instead of saving $B_i(u)$, for every $u \in V$ and $0 \leq i \leq k$, we save $B_i(u)$ only for every $s \in S$. Thus, the space is $O(|S|^{1+\frac{1}{k}})$. The correctness of the query follows from the fact that $\text{ADO.Query}(u, v)$ only uses the bunches of u and v . Thus, if $s_1, s_2 \in S$ then we have the information needed in $\text{ADO.Query}(s_1, s_2)$. \square

Since the distance oracle of Lemma 10 and the distance oracle of Lemma 11 differ only by the supported vertex pairs, we refer to the oracle of Lemma 10 as $\text{ADO}_P(G, k, S)$ and to the oracle of Lemma 11 as $\text{ADO}_{P'}(G, k, S)$.

4.3 A new hierarchy of distance oracles

In this section, we present a new distance oracle composed of a hierarchy of $t = \lceil \log \log n \rceil$ distance oracles. The distance oracle computes a set S_t . The query of the distance oracle guarantees a $(2k-1)$ -stretch for distances in G_{S_t} .

The distance oracle is constructed as follows. We get as an input a graph and two parameters k and x_0 , where $k \geq 3$ and $\frac{1}{k} \leq x_0 < 1$. First, we compute a random set $S_0 \subseteq V$ such that $|S_0| = n^{1-x_0}$ and construct $\text{ADO}(G_{S_0}, k)$.

Next, for every $1 \leq i \leq t$, we compute a random set $S_i \subseteq S_{i-1}$ such that $|S_i| = n^{1-x_i}$, where $x_i = x_0 - \frac{1}{k(k-1)} + \frac{x_{i-1}}{k-1}$, and construct $\text{ADO}_P(G_{S_i}, k-1, S_{i-1})$.

Our distance oracle stores the distance oracle $\text{ADO}(G_{S_0}, k)$ and the distance oracles $\text{ADO}_P(G_{S_i}, k-1, S_{i-1})$, for every $1 \leq i \leq t$. The construction algorithm is presented in Algorithm 2. The query

Algorithm 2: $\text{hADO.Construct}(G, k, x_0)$

```
1  $S_0 \leftarrow \text{Sample}(V, n^{1-x_0})$ 
2 Construct and store  $\text{ADO}(G_{S_0}, k)$ 
3  $t \leftarrow \lceil \log \log n \rceil$ 
4 for  $i \in [1, t]$  do
5    $x_i \leftarrow x_0 - \frac{1}{k(k-1)} + \frac{x_{i-1}}{k-1}$ 
6    $S_i \leftarrow \text{Sample}(S_{i-1}, n^{1-x_i})$ 
7   Construct and store  $\text{ADO}_P(G_{S_i}, k-1, S_{i-1})$ 
8 return  $S_t$ 
```

algorithm returns

$$\min(\text{ADO}(G_{S_0}, k). \text{Query}(u, v), \min_{i \in [t]}(\text{ADO}_P(G_{S_i}, k-1, S_{i-1}). \text{Query}(u, v)))$$

In the next lemma, we analyze the running time of the construction algorithm.

Lemma 12. *The distance oracle is constructed in $O((m + kn^{1+x_0+\frac{1}{k}}) \log \log n)$ time.*

Proof. Using Lemma 3 and the fact that $|S_0| = n^{1-x_0}$ we get that $|E_{S_0}| = O(n^{1+x_0})$. Thus, constructing $\text{ADO}(G_{S_0}, k)$ takes $O(kn^{1+x_0+\frac{1}{k}})$ time.

For every $i \in [1, t]$, since $|S_i| = n^{1-x_i}$, it follows from Lemma 3 that $|E_{S_i}| = O(n^{1+x_i})$ and constructing $\text{ADO}_P(G_{S_i}, k-1, S_{i-1})$ takes $O(k|E_{S_i}| \cdot |S_{i-1}|^{1/(k-1)}) = O(kn^{1+x_i+\frac{1-x_{i-1}}{k-1}})$ time. By definition $x_i = x_0 - \frac{1}{k(k-1)} + x_{i-1}/(k-1)$, thus, we get that the construction time is $O(kn^{1+x_0-\frac{1}{k(k-1)}+\frac{x_{i-1}}{k-1}+\frac{1-x_{i-1}}{k-1}}) = O(kn^{1+x_0+\frac{1}{k}})$ for every $i \in [t]$. In addition, computing G_{S_i} takes $O(m)$ time, for every $i \in [1, t]$. Since $t = \lceil \log \log n \rceil$ we get that the running time is $O((m + kn^{1+x_0+\frac{1}{k}}) \log \log n)$, as required. \square

Next, we analyze the space used by the distance oracle.

Lemma 13. *The distance oracle uses $O(n^{1+\frac{1}{k}})$ space.*

Proof. From Lemma 6 we have that $\text{ADO}(G_{S_0}, k)$ uses $O(n^{1+\frac{1}{k}})$ space. From Lemma 10 we have that for every $i \in [1, t]$, $\text{ADO}_P(G_{S_i}, k-1, S_{i-1})$ uses $O(\sum_{i \in [t]} n|S_{i-1}|^{\frac{1}{k-1}})$ space. Since $x_i > x_0 \geq \frac{1}{k}$, we have that $O(\sum_{i \in [t]} n|S_i|^{\frac{1}{k-1}}) = O(n^{1+\frac{1}{k}})$, as required. \square

We now turn to prove that the stretch of hADO for distances in G_{S_t} is $2k-1$.

Lemma 14. *If $P(u, v) \subseteq G_{S_t}$ then $\hat{d}(u, v) \leq (2k-1)d(u, v)$*

Proof. We divide the proof into two cases. The case that $P(u, v) \subseteq G_{S_0}$ and the case that $P(u, v) \not\subseteq G_{S_0}$. Consider the case that $P(u, v) \subseteq G_{S_0}$ from the correctness of the query of $\text{ADO}(G_{S_0}, k)$ we have that $\hat{d}(u, v) \leq (2k-1)d(u, v)$, as required. Consider now the case that $P(u, v) \not\subseteq G_{S_0}$. From Lemma 4 it follows that $\max(h_{S_0}(u), h_{S_0}(v)) \leq d(u, v)$.

Next, we show by induction that for every $i \in [1, t]$, either $\max(h_{S_i}(u), h_{S_i}(v)) \leq d(u, v)$ or that $\hat{d}(u, v) \leq (2k-1)d(u, v)$ as required. Assume that $\max(h_{S_{i-1}}(u), h_{S_{i-1}}(v)) \leq d(u, v)$, we show

that either $\max(h_{S_i}(u), h_{S_i}(v)) \leq d(u, v)$ or that $\hat{d}(u, v) \leq (2k-1)d(u, v)$. We divide the proof into two cases. The case that $P(u, v) \subseteq G_{S_i}$ and the case that $P(u, v) \not\subseteq G_{S_i}$. Consider the case that $P(u, v) \subseteq G_{S_i}$. From Lemma 10 we have that $\text{ADOP}(G_{S_i}, k-1, S_{i-1}) \cdot \text{Query}(u, v) \leq 2 \min(h_{S_{i-1}}(u), h_{S_{i-1}}(v)) + (2(k-1)-1)d(u, v)$. From the induction assumption we know that $\max(h_{S_{i-1}}(u), h_{S_{i-1}}(v)) \leq d(u, v)$, thus we get that $\hat{d}(u, v) \leq 2 \min(h_{S_{i-1}}(u), h_{S_{i-1}}(v)) + (2(k-1)-1)d(u, v) \leq (2k-1)d(u, v)$, as required. Consider now the case that $P(u, v) \not\subseteq G_{S_i}$. From Lemma 4 it follows that $\max(h_{S_i}(u), h_{S_i}(v)) \leq d(u, v)$, as required. \square

The size of S_t is n^{1-x_t} . Therefore, to bound $|S_t|$ we analyze the series $x_i = x_0 - \frac{1}{k(k-1)} + x_{i-1}$.

Claim 14.1. *If $x_i = x_0 - \frac{1}{k(k-1)} + \frac{x_{i-1}}{k-1}$ for $i \geq 1$, then $x_j = \frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0 - \frac{1}{k(k-2)} + \frac{1-x_0k}{k(k-2)} \cdot (\frac{1}{k-1})^j$ for every $j \geq 0$.*

Proof. We prove the claim by induction. For $j = 0$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0 - \frac{1}{k(k-2)} + \left(\frac{1}{k-1}\right)^0 \frac{1-x_0k}{k(k-2)} &= \frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0 - \frac{1}{k(k-2)} + \frac{1}{k(k-2)} - \frac{x_0k}{k(k-2)} \\ &= \frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0 - \frac{1}{k-2}x_0 = x_0, \end{aligned}$$

as required.

Next, we assume that the claim holds for $j-1$ and prove it for j . Thus, $x_{j-1} = \frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0 - \frac{1}{k(k-2)} + (\frac{1}{k-1})^{j-1} \frac{1-x_0k}{k(k-2)}$. From the recursive definition of x_j we have that $x_j = x_0 - \frac{1}{k(k-1)} + \frac{x_{j-1}}{k-1}$. Thus, we get that:

$$\begin{aligned} x_j &= x_0 - \frac{1}{k(k-1)} + \frac{x_{j-1}}{k-1} = x_0 - \frac{1}{k(k-1)} + \frac{\frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0 - \frac{1}{k(k-2)} + \frac{(1-x_0k)}{k(k-2)} \cdot (\frac{1}{k-1})^{j-1}}{k-1} \\ &= x_0 - \frac{1}{k(k-1)} + \frac{x_0}{k-2} - \frac{1}{k(k-1)(k-2)} + \frac{(1-x_0k)}{k(k-2)} \cdot (\frac{1}{k-1})^j \\ &= \frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0 - \frac{1+k-2}{k(k-1)(k-2)} + \frac{(1-x_0k)}{k(k-2)} \cdot (\frac{1}{k-1})^j = \frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0 - \frac{1}{k(k-2)} + \frac{(1-x_0k)}{k(k-2)} \cdot (\frac{1}{k-1})^j, \end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

Next, we move to bound x_t and $|S_t|$ in the following property, by applying $t = \lceil \log \log n \rceil$ in Claim 14.1.

Property 15. $x_t = \frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0 - \frac{1}{k(k-2)} - O(\frac{1}{\log n})$ and $|S_t| = O(n^{1-\frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0 + \frac{1}{k(k-2)}})$

Proof. By applying $t = \lceil \log \log n \rceil$ in Claim 14.1 we get that

$$\begin{aligned} x_t &= \frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0 - \frac{1}{k(k-2)} + \frac{1-x_0k}{k(k-2)} \cdot (\frac{1}{k-1})^t \geq \frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0 - \frac{1}{k(k-2)} + \frac{1-x_0k}{k(k-2)} \cdot (\frac{1}{k-1})^{\log \log n} \\ &\geq \frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0 - \frac{1}{k(k-2)} - O(1) \cdot O(1/2^{\log \log n}) = \frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0 - \frac{1}{k(k-2)} - O(1/\log n), \end{aligned}$$

as required. Since $|S_t| = O(n^{1-x_t})$, we get that $|S_t| = O(n^{\frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0 - \frac{1}{k(k-2)} + O(1/\log n)}) = O(n^{\frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0 - \frac{1}{k(k-2)}})$, as required. \square

In the next theorem, we summarize the properties of the hierarchical distance oracle presented in this section.

Theorem 4. *Let $\frac{1}{k} \leq x_0 < 1$ be a parameter, let $k > 2$ and let $t = \lceil \log \log n \rceil$. Let $S_t \subseteq V$ be a random set with $O(n^{\frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0 + \frac{1}{k(k-2)}})$ vertices. There is an algorithm that constructs in $O((m + kn^{1+x_0+\frac{1}{k}}) \log \log n)$ -time a distance oracle with $O(n^{1+\frac{1}{k}})$ space, such that for every $u, v \in V$ such that $P(u, v) \subseteq G_{S_t}$ it returns $\hat{d}(u, v) \leq (2k - 1)d(u, v)$ in $O(k \log \log n)$ -time.*

Theorem 4 follows from Lemma 12, Lemma 13, Lemma 14, and Lemma 15.

Throughout the paper, we refer to the hierarchical distance oracle of Theorem 4 as $\mathbf{hADO}(G, k, x_0)$.

5 Faster constructions for weighted graphs

In this section, we present our main results, faster constructions for the classic $(2k - 1)$ -stretch distance oracle with $O(n^{1+\frac{1}{k}})$ space. In Section 5.1 we present our simplest construction, a subquadratic time construction algorithm for graphs with $m = O(n^{2-\varepsilon})$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $k \geq 3$.

Next, in Section 5.2 and Section 5.3, we present two construction algorithms with a running time of the form $O(m + n^{1+f(k)})$. The first algorithm is faster for $4 \leq k \leq 15$ and the second for $k \geq 16$.

5.1 Truly subquadratic construction time for every $k > 2$

In this section, we present the first truly subquadratic construction time for every $2 < k < 6$. Specifically, we prove:

Reminder of Theorem 1. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a weighted undirected graph and $k \geq 3$ be an integer. There is an $O(\max(n^{1+2/k}, m^{1-\frac{1}{k-1}} n^{\frac{2}{k-1}}) \log \log n)$ time algorithm that constructs a $(2k - 1)$ -stretch distance oracle that uses $O(n^{1+\frac{1}{k}})$ -space and answers distance queries in $O(k \log \log n)$ time.*

The distance oracle is constructed as follows. Let x_0 be a parameter to be determined later, where $\frac{1}{k} \leq x_0 < 1$. We start by constructing $\mathbf{hADO}(G, k, x_0)$ and obtain the set S_t . We then construct $\mathbf{ADO}_P(G, k - 1, S_t)$. We return the two constructed distance oracles. The query algorithm returns $\min(\mathbf{hADO}(G, k, x_0).\mathbf{Query}(u, v), \mathbf{ADO}_P(G, k - 1, S_t).\mathbf{Query}(u, v))$.

Next, we analyze the construction time and the space usage of the distance oracle.

Lemma 16. *The distance oracle is constructed in $O(\max(n^{1+2/k}, m^{1-\frac{1}{k-1}} n^{\frac{2}{k-1}}) \log \log n)$ -time and uses $O(n^{1+\frac{1}{k}})$ -space.*

Proof. From Theorem 4 it follows that constructing $\mathbf{hADO}(G, k, x_0)$ takes $O((m + n^{1+x_0+\frac{1}{k}}) \log \log n)$ time. From Property Lemma 15 it follows that $|S_t| = O(n^{1-\frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0 + \frac{1}{k(k-2)}})$. From Lemma 10 it follows that constructing $\mathbf{ADO}_P(G, k - 1, S_t)$ takes $O(m|S_t|^{1/(k-1)}) = O(mn^{\frac{1-\frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0 + \frac{1}{k(k-2)}}{k-1}})$ time.

Thus, the total construction time is $O((m+n^{1+x_0+\frac{1}{k}}) \log \log n + mn^{\frac{1-\frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0+\frac{1}{k(k-2)}}{k-1}})$. To minimize the construction time, we choose x_0 such that $n^{1+x_0+\frac{1}{k}} = mn^{\frac{1-\frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0+\frac{1}{k(k-2)}}{k-1}}$. From ⁹ we get that for $x_0 = \frac{\log_n mk^2 - 2\log_n mk - k^2 + 2k + 1}{k(k-1)}$ the terms are equal. **hADO** requires that $x_0 \geq \frac{1}{k}$, thus we let $x_0 = \max(\frac{1}{k}, \frac{\log_n mk^2 - 2\log_n mk - k^2 + 2k + 1}{k(k-1)})$.

Increasing x_0 increases $n^{1+x_0+\frac{1}{k}}$ while decreasing $mn^{\frac{1-\frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0+\frac{1}{k(k-2)}}{k-1}}$, therefore we get that $O(n^{1+\max(\frac{1}{k}, \frac{\log_n mk^2 - 2\log_n mk - k^2 + 2k + 1}{k(k-1)})+\frac{1}{k}}) \geq O(mn^{\frac{1-\frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0+\frac{1}{k(k-2)}}{k-1}})$, and thus the construction time is:

$$\begin{aligned}
& O((m+n^{1+x_0+\frac{1}{k}}) \log \log n + mn^{\frac{1-\frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0+\frac{1}{k(k-2)}}{k-1}}) = O(n^{1+x_0+\frac{1}{k}} \log \log n) \\
& = O(n^{1+\max(\frac{1}{k}, \frac{\log_n mk^2 - 2\log_n mk - k^2 + 2k + 1}{k(k-1)})+\frac{1}{k}} \log \log n) \\
& = O(\max(n^{1+2/k}, n^{1+\frac{1}{k}+\frac{\log_n mk^2 - 2\log_n mk - k^2 + 2k + 1}{k(k-1)}}) \log \log n) \\
& = O(\max(n^{1+2/k}, n^{\log_n m^{\frac{k^2-2k}{k(k-1)}}+1+\frac{1}{k}+\frac{-k^2+2k+1}{k(k-1)}}) \log \log n) \\
& = O(\max(n^{1+2/k}, n^{\log_n m(1-\frac{1}{k-1})+\frac{(k^2-k)+(k-1)-k^2+2k+1}{k(k-1)}}) \log \log n) \\
& = O(\max(n^{1+2/k}, m^{1-\frac{1}{k-1}} n^{\frac{2}{k-1}}) \log \log n),
\end{aligned}$$

as required.

From Theorem 4 and the fact that $x_0 \geq \frac{1}{k}$ it follows that **hADO**(G, k, x_0) uses $O(n^{1+\frac{1}{k}})$ space. From Lemma 10 it follows that **ADO_P**($G, k-1, S_t$) uses $O(n|S_t|^{\frac{1}{k-1}}) = O(n^{1+\frac{1-x_t}{k-1}})$ space. Since $x_t > x_0 \geq \frac{1}{k}$, we have that $O(n^{1+\frac{1-x_t}{k-1}}) = O(n^{1+\frac{1}{k}})$, as required. \square

Next, we prove that the stretch is $2k-1$.

Lemma 17. $\hat{d}(u, v) \leq (2k-1)d(u, v)$

Proof. We divide the proof into two cases. The case that $P(u, v) \subseteq G_{S_t}$ and the case that $P(u, v) \not\subseteq G_{S_t}$. Consider the case that $P(u, v) \subseteq G_{S_t}$. From Theorem 4 we have that $\hat{d}(u, v) \leq \mathbf{hADO}(G_{S_t}, k, x_0) \cdot \mathbf{Query}(u, v) \leq (2k-1)d(u, v)$, as required.

Consider now the case that $P(u, v) \not\subseteq G_{S_t}$. From Lemma 4 it follows that $\max(h_{S_t}(u), h_{S_t}(v)) \leq d(u, v)$. From Lemma 10 it follows that **ADO_P**($G, k-1, S_t$) $\cdot \mathbf{Query}(u, v) \leq 2\min(h_{S_t}(u), h_{S_t}(v)) + (2(k-1)-1)d(u, v)$. Since $\max(h_{S_t}(u), h_{S_t}(v)) \leq d(u, v)$ we have that

$$\hat{d}(u, v) \leq \mathbf{ADO}_P(G, k-1, S_t) \cdot \mathbf{Query}(u, v) \leq 2d(u, v) + (2(k-1)-1)d(u, v) \leq (2k-1)d(u, v),$$

as required. \square

Theorem 1 follows from Lemma 16 and Lemma 17.

⁹<https://www.wolframalpha.com/input?i=1%2Bx%2B1%2Fk+%3D+a%2B%281-%28k-1%29x%2F%28k-2%29%2B1%2F%28k%28k-2%29%29%2F%28k-1%29>, $x := x_0$, $a := \log_n(m)$, $k := k$

Algorithm 3: ConstructADO(G, k)

- 1 Construct $\mathbf{hADO}(G, k, x_0)$
 - 2 $H \leftarrow \mathbf{Spanner}(G, k')$ [Lemma 5]
 - 3 $H \leftarrow H \cup \{(u, p_{S_t}(u), h_{S_t}(u)) \mid u \in V\}$
 - 4 $d_H \leftarrow \{s_1, s_2 : d_H(u, v) \mid s_1, s_2 \in S_t\}$
-

5.2 $km + kn^{\frac{3}{2} + \frac{3}{4k-6}}$ construction time

In this section, we present a faster construction algorithm for $k \geq 4$, which improves upon the results of [Wul12]. We prove:

Reminder of Theorem 2. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a weighted undirected graph and let $k \geq 3$ be an integer. There is an $O((km + kn^{\frac{3}{2} + \frac{3}{4k-6}}) \log \log n)$ time algorithm that constructs a $(2k-1)$ -stretch distance oracle that uses $O(n^{1+\frac{1}{k}})$ -space and answers distance queries in $O(k \log \log n)$ time.*

First, we describe the construction of the distance oracle. Similarly to the construction of Section 5.1, let $\frac{1}{k} \leq x_0 < 1$ be a parameter to be determined later. We start by constructing $\mathbf{hADO}(G, k, x_0)$, and save the returned set S_t .

Let $k' = k - 2$. Using Lemma 5 we create a $(2k' - 1)$ -stretch spanner H . For every $u \in V$, we add the edge $(u, p_{S_t}(u))$ to H with weight $h_{S_t}(u)$. For every $s_1, s_2 \in S_t$ we compute and store the value of $d_H(s_1, s_2)$. The construction algorithm is presented in Algorithm 3.

Given $u, v \in V$, the query algorithm returns $\min(\mathbf{hADO}(G, k, x_0) \cdot \mathbf{Query}(u, v), h_{S_t}(u) + d_H(p_{S_t}(u), p_{S_t}(v)) + h_{S_t}(v))$. Next, in the following two lemmas, we analyze the construction time and the space usage of the distance oracle.

Lemma 18. *The distance oracle is constructed in $O(k'm + (m + kn^{1+x_0+\frac{1}{k}}) \log \log n + n^{2+\frac{1}{k'} - \frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0 + \frac{1}{k(k-2)}})$ -time.*

Proof. From Theorem 4, constructing $\mathbf{hADO}(G, k, x_0)$ takes $O((m + n^{1+x_0+\frac{1}{k}}) \log \log n)$ time. From Lemma 5 constructing H takes $O(k'm)$ time. Computing $d_H(s_1, s_2)$ for every $s_1, s_2 \in S_t$ takes $O(|E(H)| \cdot |S_t|)$. From Property 15 we have that $|S_t| = O(n^{1 - \frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0 + \frac{1}{k(k-2)}})$ and since $|E(H)| = O(n^{1+\frac{1}{k'}})$ we get that $O(|E(H)| \cdot |S_t|) = O(n^{1+\frac{1}{k'} + 1 - \frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0 + \frac{1}{k(k-2)}}) = O(n^{2+\frac{1}{k'} - \frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0 + \frac{1}{k(k-2)}})$ time. Thus, the total runtime is $O(k'm + (m + kn^{1+x_0+\frac{1}{k}}) \log \log n + n^{2+\frac{1}{k'} - \frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0 + \frac{1}{k(k-2)}})$, as required. \square

Lemma 19. *If $x_0 \geq 1/2 - \frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k(k-1)}$ then the distance oracle uses $O(kn^{1+\frac{1}{k}})$ -space.*

Proof. From Theorem 4 saving $\mathbf{hADO}(G, k, x_0)$ takes $O(kn^{1+\frac{1}{k}})$ space. Saving $d(s_1, s_2)$ for every $s_1, s_2 \in S_t$ takes $O(|S_t|^2)$. From Property 15 we know that $|S_t| = O(n^{1 - \frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0 + \frac{1}{k(k-2)}})$. Since $x_0 \geq 1/2 - \frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k(k-1)}$ it follows that $|S_t| \leq O(n^{1-1/2+\frac{1}{2k}})$. Since $|S_t| \leq O(n^{1-1/2+\frac{1}{2k}})$ we have that $O(|S_t|^2) \leq O(n^{2(1-1/2+\frac{1}{2k})}) = O(n^{1+\frac{1}{k}})$, as required. \square

Next, we show that the stretch of the distance oracle is $2k - 1$.

Lemma 20. $\hat{d}(u, v) \leq (2k - 1)d(u, v)$

Algorithm 4: ConstructADO(G, k)

- 1 Construct $\mathbf{hADO}(G, k, x_0)$
 - 2 $H \leftarrow \mathbf{Spanner}(G, k')$ [Lemma 5]
 - 3 $H \leftarrow H \cup \{(u, p_{S_t}(u), h_{S_t}(u)) \mid u \in V\}$
 - 4 Construct $\mathbf{ADO}_{P'}(H, k'', S_t)$
-

Next, in the following two lemmas, we analyze the construction time and the space usage of the distance oracle.

Lemma 21. *The distance oracle is constructed in $\tilde{O}(k'm + k''n^{1+\frac{1}{k'}} + \frac{1-\frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0 + \frac{1}{k(k-2)}}{k''} + kn^{1+x_0+\frac{1}{k}})$ -time.*

Proof. From Theorem 4, we know that constructing $\mathbf{hADO}(G, k, x_0)$ takes $\tilde{O}(m + n^{1+x_0+\frac{1}{k}})$ time. From Lemma 5, constructing H takes $O(k'm)$ time. From Lemma 11, constructing $\mathbf{ADO}_{P'}(H, k'', S_t)$ takes $O(k''|E(H)| \cdot |S_t|^{\frac{1}{k''}})$. From Property 15 we have that $|S_t| = O(n^{1-\frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0 + \frac{1}{k(k-2)}})$. Thus, constructing $\mathbf{ADO}_{P'}$ takes $O(k''|E(H)| \cdot |S_t|^{\frac{1}{k''}}) = O(k''n^{1+\frac{1}{k'}} \cdot n^{(\frac{1}{k''})(1-\frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0 + \frac{1}{k(k-2)})} = O(k''n^{1+\frac{1}{k'}} + \frac{1-\frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0 + \frac{1}{k(k-2)}}{k''})$ time. \square

Lemma 22. *If $(1 - \frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0 + \frac{1}{k(k-2)})(1 + \frac{1}{k''}) \leq 1 + \frac{1}{k}$ then the distance oracle uses $O(kn^{1+\frac{1}{k}})$ space.*

Proof. From Theorem 4 it follows that $\mathbf{hADO}(G, k, x_0)$ uses $O(kn^{1+\frac{1}{k}})$ space and from Lemma 11 it follows that $\mathbf{ADO}_{P'}(H, k'', S_t)$ uses $O(|S_t|^{1+\frac{1}{k''}})$ space. From Property 15 we know that $|S_t| = O(n^{1-\frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0 + \frac{1}{k(k-2)}})$. Thus, $\mathbf{ADO}_{P'}(H, k'', S_t)$ uses $O(n^{(1-\frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0 + \frac{1}{k(k-2)})(1+\frac{1}{k''})})$ space. Since $(1 - \frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0 + \frac{1}{k(k-2)})(1 + \frac{1}{k''}) \leq 1 + \frac{1}{k}$ we get that saving $\mathbf{ADO}_{P'}(H, k'', S_t)$ takes $O(n^{1+\frac{1}{k}})$, as required. \square

Next, we show that the stretch of the distance oracle is $2k - 1$.

Lemma 23. *If $2 + (2k'' - 1)(2k' + 1) \leq 2k - 1$ then $\hat{d}(u, v) \leq (2k - 1)d(u, v)$*

Proof. Let $P(u, v)$ be the shortest path between u and v . We divide the proof into two cases. Consider the case that $P(u, v) \subseteq G_{S_t}$. From Theorem 4 we know that $\mathbf{hADO}.\mathbf{Query}(u, v) \leq (2k - 1)d(u, v)$, as required.

Consider now the case that $P(u, v) \not\subseteq G_{S_t}$. From Lemma 4 it follows that $\max(h_{S_t}(u), h_{S_t}(v)) \leq d(u, v)$. From Lemma 11 we know that $\mathbf{ADO}_{P'}(H, k'', S_t).\mathbf{Query}(p(u), p(v)) \leq (2k'' - 1)d_H(p(u), p(v))$. From the triangle inequality, and since H is a $(2k' - 1)$ -spanner it follows that $d_H(p(u), p(v)) \leq h(u) + (2k' - 1)d(u, v) + h(v) \leq (2k' + 1)d(u, v)$. Where the last inequality holds since $\max(h(u), h(v)) \leq d(u, v)$.

Since $\hat{d}(u, v) \leq h(u) + \mathbf{ADO}_{P'}(H, k'', S_t).\mathbf{Query}(p(u), p(v)) + h(v)$ and $\max(h(u), h(v)) \leq d(u, v)$ we get that $\hat{d}(u, v) \leq (2 + (2k'' - 1)(2k' + 1))d(u, v)$. Since $2 + (2k'' - 1)(2k' + 1) \leq 2k - 1$ we get that $\hat{d}(u, v) \leq (2k - 1)d(u, v)$, as required. \square

Next, we move to choose the value of the parameters x_0, k', k'' that minimizes the running time of our algorithm while maintaining the correctness of the query.

From Lemma 21 we have that the construction time is $O(k'm + k''n^{1+\frac{1}{k'}} + \frac{1-\frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0+\frac{1}{k(k-2)}}{k''} + kn^{1+x_0+\frac{1}{k}})$. In addition, for the correctness, Lemma 23 requires that $2 + (2k'' - 1)(2k' + 1) \leq 2k - 1$. To minimize the term $n^{1+\frac{1}{k'}} + \frac{1-\frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0+\frac{1}{k(k-2)}}{k''}$ regarding k' and k'' we want to have that $\frac{1}{k'} = \frac{1-\frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0+\frac{1}{k(k-2)}}{k''}$. Thus, to find the optimal values for x_0, k', k'' we need to solve the following equations:

- $1 + \frac{1}{k'} + \frac{1-\frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0+\frac{1}{k(k-2)}}{k''} = 1 + x_0 + \frac{1}{k}$
- $2 + (2k'' - 1)(2k' + 1) = 2k - 1$
- $\frac{1}{k'} = \frac{1-\frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0+\frac{1}{k(k-2)}}{k''}$

From ¹¹, we get that for $k' = \frac{\sqrt{8k^3-32k+25}+4k-5}{4(k-1)}$, $k'' = \frac{\sqrt{8k^3-32k+25}-4k+3}{4(k-2)}$ and $x_0 = \frac{\sqrt{8k^3-32k+25}-5k+6}{k(k-1)}$ the equations are satisfied.¹² Thus, we get a construction time of:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{O}(k'm + k''n^{1+\frac{1}{k'}} + \frac{1-x_0}{k''} + kn^{1+x_0+\frac{1}{k}}) &= \tilde{O}(\sqrt{km} + kn^{1+\frac{\sqrt{8k^3-32k+25}-5k+6}{k(k-1)}+\frac{1}{k}}) \\ &= \tilde{O}(\sqrt{km} + kn^{1+\frac{\sqrt{8k^3-32k+25}-4k+5}{k(k-1)}}) \\ &= \tilde{O}(\sqrt{km} + kn^{1+\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{k}}-\frac{5}{k}+\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{k^{1.5}}+\frac{1}{k^2}-\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{k^{2.5}}+\frac{9}{4\sqrt{2}k^{3.5}}+\frac{1}{k^4}} + O(k^{-4.5})) \\ &= \tilde{O}(\sqrt{km} + kn^{1+\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{k}}}), \end{aligned}$$

Where the last inequality follows from the fact that $-\frac{5}{k} + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{k^{1.5}} + \frac{1}{k^2} - \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{k^{2.5}} + \frac{9}{4\sqrt{2}k^{3.5}} + \frac{1}{k^4} + O(k^{-4.5}) < 0$ for every $k \geq 1$. Thus, we get a construction time of $O(\sqrt{km} + kn^{1+\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{k}}})$, as required. Theorem 3 follows from Lemma 21, Lemma 22 and Lemma 23.

6 Faster constructions for unweighted graphs

In this section, we consider unweighted undirected graphs. In the following two lemmas, we present some preliminaries needed for our new algorithm. Later, in each subsection, we present a new fast construction for distance oracle for unweighted graphs.

We start by improving Lemma 4 for the case of unweighted graphs.

Lemma 24. *If $P(u, v) \not\subseteq G_S$, then $h(u) + h(v) \leq d_G(u, v) + 1$*

Proof. Since $P(u, v) \not\subseteq G_S$, there is an edge $(x, y) \subseteq P(u, v)$ such that $(x, y) \notin G_S$. Since $(x, y) \notin G_S$, it follows that $h(x) \leq 1$ and $h(y) \leq 1$. From the triangle inequality, we have that $h(u) \leq d(u, x) + h(x) \leq d(u, x) + 1$, and that $h(v) \leq d(y, v) + 1$. Summing these inequalities, we get that

¹¹<https://www.wolframalpha.com/input?i=y%3D%5Cfrac%7Bk-1%7D%7Bk-2%7Dx-%5Cfrac%7B1%7D%7Bk%28k-2%29%7D%2C+1%2Bx%2B1%2Fk%3D1%2B1%2Fz%2B%281-y%29%2Fw%2C+2%2B%282w-1%29%282z%2B1%29+%3D+2k-1%2C+1%2Fz%3D%281-y%29%2Fw>, where $y = x_i, x = x_0, z = k', w = k'', k = k$

¹²Since k' and k'' are integers, we need to consider the rounding up of these values; this does not change the asymptotical runtime guarantee.

$h(u) + h(v) \leq d(u, x) + 1 + d(v, y) + 1$. From the definition of $P(u, v)$ it follows that $d(u, v) = d(u, x) + 1 + d(y, v)$. Thus, $h(u) + h(v) \leq d(u, x) + 1 + d(v, y) + 1 = d(u, v) + 1$, as required. \square

The following spanner for unweighted graphs replaces the spanner from Lemma 5 in most of our constructions for unweighted graphs.

Lemma 25 ([BKMP10]). *For any integer k , for an unweighted graph G , a $(k, k-1)$ -spanner with $O(n^{1+\frac{1}{k}})$ edges can be computed in $O(m)$ time.*

6.1 $(2k-1, 2)$ -approximation in $km + kn^{\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{k-1} - \frac{1}{4k-6}}$ construction time

In this section, we improve the result of Section 5.2 at the price of allowing an additive error of 2, we prove:

Theorem 5. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be an unweighted undirected graph and $k \geq 3$ be an integer. There is an $O(km + kn^{\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{k-1} - \frac{1}{4k-6}})$ time algorithm that constructs a $(2k-1, 2)$ -approximation distance oracle that uses $\tilde{O}(n^{1+\frac{1}{k}})$ -space and answers distance queries in $\tilde{O}(k)$ time.*

First, we describe the construction of the distance oracle. The construction is identical to the construction of Section 5.2. Except we use a different value of k' ($k-1$ instead of $k-2$). Let $\frac{1}{k} \leq x_0 < 1$ be a parameter to be determined later. We start by constructing $\mathbf{hADO}(G, k, x_0)$, and save the returned set S_t .

Let $k' = k-1$. Using Lemma 5 we create a $(2k'-1)$ -stretch spanner H . For every $u \in V$, we add the edge $(u, p_{S_t}(u))$ to H with weight $h_{S_t}(u)$. For every $s_1, s_2 \in S_t$, we compute and store the value of $d_H(s_1, s_2)$. The construction algorithm is presented in Algorithm 3.

Given $u, v \in V$, the query algorithm returns $\min(\mathbf{hADO}(G, k, x_0). \mathbf{Query}(u, v), h_{S_t}(u) + d_H(p_{S_t}(u), p_{S_t}(v)) + h_{S_t}(v))$. Since the construction is identical to Section 5.2, Lemma 18 and Lemma 19 proves the space and running time of the distance oracle. In the following lemma, we prove the approximation of the distance oracle.

Lemma 26. $\hat{d}(u, v) \leq (2k-1)d(u, v) + 2$

Proof. We divide the proof into two cases. Consider the case that $P(u, v) \subseteq G_{S_t}$. From Theorem 4 we have that $\mathbf{hADO}. \mathbf{Query}(u, v) \leq (2k-1)d(u, v)$, as required.

Consider now the case that $P(u, v) \not\subseteq G_{S_t}$, from Lemma 24 we know that $h(u) + h(v) \leq d(u, v) + 1$. From the triangle inequality we get that $d_H(p(u), p(v)) \leq h(u) + d_H(u, v) + h(v)$. Since H is a $(2k'-1)$ -stretch spanner we know that $d_H(u, v) \leq (2k'-1)d(u, v)$, and thus $d_H(p(u), p(v)) \leq h(u) + (2k'-1)d(u, v) + h(v) \leq (2k'-1)d(u, v) + d(u, v) + 1$. Where the last inequality holds since $h(u) + h(v) \leq d(u, v) + 1$. In the query algorithm, we have that $\hat{d}(u, v) \leq h(u) + h(v) + d_H(p(u), p(v)) \leq d(u, v) + 1 + (2k'-1)d(u, v) + d(u, v) + 1 \leq (2k'+1)d(u, v) + 2$. Since $k' = k-1$, we get that $\hat{d}(u, v) \leq (2k-1)d(u, v) + 2$, as required. \square

Next, we move to choose the value of x_0 that minimizes the construction time of our distance oracle. From Lemma 18 we know that the construction time is $O(k'm + kn^{1+x_0+\frac{1}{k}} + n^{2+\frac{1}{k'} - \frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0 + \frac{1}{k(k-2)}})$. To minimize the running time we want to have that $1 + x_0 + \frac{1}{k} = 2 + \frac{1}{k'} - \frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0 + \frac{1}{k(k-2)}$. From

¹³ we get that for $x_0 = \frac{k^3-3k^2+4k-3}{k(2k^2-5k+3)}$ the terms are equal. We get a construction time of

$$\begin{aligned}
O(k'm + kn^{1+x_0+\frac{1}{k}} + n^{2+\frac{1}{k'}-\frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0+\frac{1}{k(k-2)}}) &= O(km + kn^{1+\frac{k^3-3k^2+4k-3}{k(2k^2-5k+3)}+\frac{1}{k}}) \\
&= O(km + kn^{1+\frac{k^3-3k^2+4k-3+(2k^2-5k+3)}{k(2k^2-5k+3)}}) \\
&= O(km + kn^{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{3k-5}{2(k-1)(2k-3)}}) \\
&= O(km + kn^{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{1}{k-1}-\frac{1}{4k-6}}),
\end{aligned}$$

as required.

Theorem 5 follows from Lemma 18, Lemma 19 and Lemma 26.

6.2 $(2k-1, 2k-2)$ -approximation in $\approx km + kn^{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{1}{2k}}$ construction time

In this section, we further improve the construction time for small values of k at the cost of increasing the additive error from 2 to $2k-2$, we prove:

Theorem 6. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be an unweighted undirected graph and $k \geq 3$ be an integer. There is an $O((km + kn^{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{1}{2k-3}-\frac{1}{2(2k-3)^2}}) \log \log n)$ time algorithm that constructs a $(2k-1, 2k-2)$ -approximation distance oracle that uses $\tilde{O}(n^{1+\frac{1}{k}})$ -space and answers distance queries in $\tilde{O}(k)$ time.*

The construction is the same as the one of Section 6.1, but instead of using the $(2k-1)$ -stretch spanner of Lemma 5 we use the $(k, k-1)$ -approximation spanner of Lemma 25. This substitution allows us to have $k' = 2k-3$ (instead of $k'-1$ as in the previous section), Let $\frac{1}{k} \leq x_0 < 1$ be a parameter to be determined later. We start by constructing $\mathbf{hADO}(G, k, x_0)$, and save the returned set S_t .

Let $k' = 2k-3$. Using Lemma 25 we create a $(k', k'-1)$ -stretch spanner H . For every $u \in V$, we add the edge $(u, p_{S_t}(u))$ to H with weight $h_{S_t}(u)$. For every $s_1, s_2 \in S_t$ we compute and store the value of $d_H(s_1, s_2)$. The construction algorithm is presented in Algorithm 3.

Given $u, v \in V$, the query algorithm returns $\min(\mathbf{hADO}(G, k, x_0). \mathbf{Query}(u, v), h_{S_t}(u) + d_H(p_{S_t}(u), p_{S_t}(v)) + h_{S_t}(v))$.

Since the construction is identical to Section 5.2 (and the spanner of Lemma 25 is computed in $O(m)$ time), Lemma 18 and Lemma 19 proves the space and running time of the distance oracle. Next, in the following lemma we prove the approximation of the distance oracle.

Lemma 27. $\hat{d}(u, v) \leq (2k-1)d(u, v) + 2k-2$

Proof. We divide the proof into two cases. Consider the case that $P(u, v) \subseteq G_{S_t}$. From Theorem 4 we have that $\mathbf{hADO}. \mathbf{Query}(u, v) \leq (2k-1)d(u, v)$, as required.

Consider now the case that $P(u, v) \not\subseteq G_{S_t}$, from Lemma 24 we know that $h(u) + h(v) \leq d(u, v) + 1$. From the triangle inequality we get that $d_H(p(u), p(v)) \leq h(u) + d_H(u, v) + h(v)$. Since H is a $(k', k'-1)$ -approximation spanner we know that $d_H(u, v) \leq k'd(u, v) + k' - 1$, and thus $d_H(p(u), p(v)) \leq h(u) + k'd(u, v) + k' - 1 + h(v) \leq k'd(u, v) + k' - 1 + d(u, v) + 1$. Where the last inequality holds since $h(u) + h(v) \leq d(u, v) + 1$.

¹³https://www.wolframalpha.com/input?i=y%3D%5Cfrac%7Bk-1%7D%7Bk-2%7Dx-%5Cfrac%7B1%7D%7Bk%28k-2%29%7D%2C+1%2Bx%2B1%2Fk%3D%2B1%2F%28k-1%29-y+where+x+=x_0+and+y=x_t

Lemma 28. *If $1 + (2k'' - 1)(k' + 1) \leq 2k - 1$ then $\hat{d}(u, v) \leq (2k - 1)d(u, v) + 2k - 1$*

Proof. From the query algorithm we know that $\hat{d}(u, v) \leq h(u) + \text{ADO}_H(p(u), p(v)) + h(v)$. From Lemma 24 it follows that $h(u) + h(v) \leq d(u, v) + 1$. From the triangle inequality, and the fact that H is a $(k', k' - 1)$ -approximation spanner it follows that $d_H(p(u), p(v)) \leq h(u) + (k')d(u, v) + k' - 1 + h(v) \leq (k' + 1)d(u, v) + k'$. Since $\text{ADO}_{P'}$ is a $(2k'' - 1)$ -approximation distance oracle, it follows that $\text{ADO}_{P'}(p(u), p(v)) \leq (2k'' - 1)d_H(p(u), p(v)) \leq (2k'' - 1)((k' + 1)d(u, v) + k')$. Thus, $\hat{d}(u, v) \leq h(u) + h(v) + (2k'' - 1)((k' + 1)d(u, v) + k') \leq d(u, v) + 1 + (2k'' - 1)((k' + 1)d(u, v) + k')$. Thus if $1 + (2k'' - 1)(k' + 1) \leq 2k - 1$ then $\hat{d}(u, v) \leq (2k - 1)d(u, v) + 2k - 1$, as required. \square

Next, we move to choose the value of the parameters x_0, k', k'' that minimizes the running time of our algorithm while maintaining the correctness of the query.

From Lemma 21 we have that the construction time is $O(k'm + k''n^{1+\frac{1}{k'}} + \frac{1-\frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0+\frac{1}{k(k-2)}}{k''}) + kn^{1+x_0+\frac{1}{k}}$. In addition, for the correctness, Lemma 28 requires that $1 + (2k'' - 1)(k' + 1) \leq 2k - 1$. To minimize the term $n^{1+\frac{1}{k'}} + \frac{1-\frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0+\frac{1}{k(k-2)}}{k''}$ regarding k' and k'' we want to have that $\frac{1}{k'} = \frac{1-\frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0+\frac{1}{k(k-2)}}{k''}$. Thus, to find the optimal values for x_0, k', k'' we need to solve the following equations:

- $1 + \frac{1}{k'} + \frac{1-\frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0+\frac{1}{k(k-2)}}{k''} = 1 + x_0 + \frac{1}{k}$
- $1 + (2k'' - 1)(k' + 1) = 2k - 1$
- $\frac{1}{k'} = \frac{1-\frac{k-1}{k-2}x_0+\frac{1}{k(k-2)}}{k''}$

From ¹⁵, we get that for $k'' = \frac{\sqrt{16k^3-15k^2-32k+32}-5k+4}{4(k-2)}$, $k' = \frac{\sqrt{16k^3-15k^2-32k+32+3k-4}}{4(k-1)}$ and $x_0 = \frac{k\sqrt{16k^3-15k^2-32k+32}-5k^2+5k+2}{k(2k^2-k-2)}$ the equations are satisfied.¹⁶ Thus, we get a construction time of:

$$\begin{aligned}
O(k'm + k''n^{1+\frac{1}{k'}} + \frac{1-x_0}{k''} + kn^{1+x_0+\frac{1}{k}}) &= O(\sqrt{k}m + kn^{1+\frac{k\sqrt{16k^3-15k^2-32k+32}-5k^2+5k+2}{k(2k^2-k-2)}+\frac{1}{k}}) \\
&= O(\sqrt{k}m + kn^{1+\frac{k\sqrt{16k^3-15k^2-32k+32}-3k^2+4k}{k(2k^2-k-2)}}) \\
&= O(\sqrt{k}m + kn^{1+\frac{\sqrt{16k^3-15k^2-32k+32}-3k+4}{2k^2-k-2}}) \\
&\stackrel{17}{=} O(\sqrt{k}m + kn^{1+\frac{2}{\sqrt{k}}-\frac{3}{2k}+\frac{1}{16k\sqrt{k}}+\frac{5}{k^2}-\frac{193}{1024k^{2.5}}-\frac{7}{8k^3}+O(k^{-3.5})}) \\
&= O(\sqrt{k}m + kn^{1+\frac{2}{\sqrt{k}}})
\end{aligned}$$

¹⁵<https://www.wolframalpha.com/input?i=y%3D%5Cfrac%7Bk-1%7D%7Bk-2%7Dx-%5Cfrac%7B1%7D%7Bk%28k-2%29%7D%2C+1%2Bx%2B1%2Fk%3D1%2B1%2Fz%2B%281-y%29%2Fw%2C+1%2B%282w-1%29%28z%2B1%29+%3D+2k-1%2C+1%2Fz%3D%281-y%29%2Fw,> where $y = x_0, x = x_0, z = k', w = k'', k = k$

¹⁶Since k' and k'' are integers, we need to consider the rounding up of these values; this does not change the asymptotical runtime guarantee.

Where the last inequality follows from the fact that $-\frac{3}{2k} + \frac{1}{16k\sqrt{k}} + \frac{5}{k^2} - \frac{193}{1024k^{2.5}} - \frac{7}{8k^3} + O(k^{-3.5}) < 0$ for every $k \geq 4$. Thus, we get a construction time of $O(\sqrt{km} + kn^{1+\frac{2}{\sqrt{k}}})$, as required. Theorem 7 follows from Lemma 21, Lemma 22 and Lemma 28.

6.4 $((2k-1)(1+\varepsilon), (2k-1)\beta)$ -approximation in $mn^\varepsilon + n^{1+\frac{1}{k}+\varepsilon}$ construction time

In this section, we present a construction of a simple $((2k-1)(1+\varepsilon), (2k-1)\beta)$ distance oracle using $O(kn^{1+\frac{1}{k}})$ space. We prove:

Theorem 8. *There is an $O(kn^{1+\frac{1}{k}})$ -space $((2k-1)(1+\varepsilon), (2k-1)\beta)$ -approximation distance oracle with $O(k)$ query time. The distance oracle is constructed in $O(mn^\varepsilon + n^{1+\varepsilon+1/k})$ time.*

Proof. First, we construct H , a $(1+\varepsilon, \beta)$ -approximation spanner of [TZ06] in $O(mn^\varepsilon)$ time. Next, we construct the $\text{AD0}(H, k)$, which uses $O(n^{1+\frac{1}{k}})$ space, in $O(|E(H)| \cdot n^{\frac{1}{k}}) = O(n^{1+\frac{1}{k}+\varepsilon})$ time. Overall we get a construction time of $O(mn^\varepsilon + n^{1+1/k+\varepsilon})$ and $O(n^{1+\frac{1}{k}})$ space, as required. The query algorithm returns $\text{AD0}(H, k) \cdot \text{Query}(u, v)$, and since H is a $(1+\varepsilon, \beta)$ -approximation spanner we get that $\text{AD0}(H, k) \cdot \text{Query}(u, v) \leq (2k-1)d_H(u, v) \leq (2k-1)(1+\varepsilon) + (2k-1)\beta$, as required. \square

References

- [AB17] Amir Abboud and Greg Bodwin. The 4/3 additive spanner exponent is tight. *J. ACM*, 64(4):28:1–28:20, 2017.
- [ABF23] Amir Abboud, Karl Bringmann, and Nick Fischer. Stronger 3-sum lower bounds for approximate distance oracles via additive combinatorics. In Barna Saha and Rocco A. Servedio, editors, *Proceedings of the 55th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, STOC 2023, Orlando, FL, USA, June 20-23, 2023*, pages 391–404. ACM, 2023.
- [ABKZ22] Amir Abboud, Karl Bringmann, Seri Khoury, and Or Zamir. Hardness of approximation in p via short cycle removal: cycle detection, distance oracles, and beyond. In Stefano Leonardi and Anupam Gupta, editors, *STOC '22: 54th Annual ACM SIGACT Symposium on Theory of Computing, Rome, Italy, June 20 - 24, 2022*, pages 1487–1500. ACM, 2022.
- [ABP18] Amir Abboud, Greg Bodwin, and Seth Pettie. A hierarchy of lower bounds for sublinear additive spanners. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 47(6):2203–2236, 2018.
- [AG13] Rachit Agarwal and Philip Brighten Godfrey. Distance oracles for stretch less than 2. In Sanjeev Khanna, editor, *Proceedings of the Twenty-Fourth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, SODA 2013, New Orleans, Louisiana, USA, January 6-8, 2013*, pages 526–538. SIAM, 2013.
- [Aga14] Rachit Agarwal. The space-stretch-time tradeoff in distance oracles. In Andreas S. Schulz and Dorothea Wagner, editors, *Algorithms - ESA 2014 - 22th Annual European Symposium, Wroclaw, Poland, September 8-10, 2014. Proceedings*, volume 8737 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 49–60. Springer, 2014.

¹⁷<https://www.wolframalpha.com/input?i=%5Cfrac%7B%5Csqrt%7B16k%5E3-15k%5E2-32k%2B32%7D-3k%2B4%7D%7B%282k%5E2-k-2%29%7D+limit+for+k%3Dinfty>

- [AGH12] Rachit Agarwal, Brighten Godfrey, and Sariel Har-Peled. Faster approximate distance queries and compact routing in sparse graphs. *CoRR*, abs/1201.2703, 2012.
- [BCC⁺24] Davide Bilò, Shiri Chechik, Keerti Choudhary, Sarel Cohen, Tobias Friedrich, Simon Krogmann, and Martin Schirneck. Approximate distance sensitivity oracles in sub-quadratic space. *TheoretCS*, 3, 2024.
- [BGSU08] Surender Baswana, Akshay Gaur, Sandeep Sen, and Jayant Upadhyay. Distance oracles for unweighted graphs: Breaking the quadratic barrier with constant additive error. In Luca Aceto, Ivan Damgård, Leslie Ann Goldberg, Magnús M. Halldórsson, Anna Ingólfssdóttir, and Igor Walukiewicz, editors, *Automata, Languages and Programming, 35th International Colloquium, ICALP 2008, Reykjavik, Iceland, July 7-11, 2008, Proceedings, Part I: Track A: Algorithms, Automata, Complexity, and Games*, volume 5125 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 609–621. Springer, 2008.
- [BK10] Surender Baswana and Telikepalli Kavitha. Faster algorithms for all-pairs approximate shortest paths in undirected graphs. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 39(7):2865–2896, 2010.
- [BKMP10] Surender Baswana, Telikepalli Kavitha, Kurt Mehlhorn, and Seth Pettie. Additive spanners and (α , β)-spanners. *ACM Trans. Algorithms*, 7(1):5:1–5:26, 2010.
- [BS07] Surender Baswana and Sandeep Sen. A simple and linear time randomized algorithm for computing sparse spanners in weighted graphs. *Random Struct. Algorithms*, 30(4):532–563, 2007.
- [BW21] Greg Bodwin and Virginia Vassilevska Williams. Better distance preservers and additive spanners. *ACM Trans. Algorithms*, 17(4), oct 2021.
- [CCFK17] Shiri Chechik, Sarel Cohen, Amos Fiat, and Haim Kaplan. $(1 + \epsilon)$ -approximate f -sensitive distance oracles. In Philip N. Klein, editor, *Proceedings of the Twenty-Eighth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, SODA 2017, Barcelona, Spain, Hotel Porta Fira, January 16-19*, pages 1479–1496. SIAM, 2017.
- [Che13] Shiri Chechik. New additive spanners. In Sanjeev Khanna, editor, *Proceedings of the Twenty-Fourth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, SODA 2013, New Orleans, Louisiana, USA, January 6-8, 2013*, pages 498–512. SIAM, 2013.
- [Che14] Shiri Chechik. Approximate distance oracles with constant query time. In David B. Shmoys, editor, *Symposium on Theory of Computing, STOC 2014, New York, NY, USA, May 31 - June 03, 2014*, pages 654–663. ACM, 2014.
- [Che15] Shiri Chechik. Approximate distance oracles with improved bounds. In Rocco A. Servedio and Ronitt Rubinfeld, editors, *Proceedings of the Forty-Seventh Annual ACM on Symposium on Theory of Computing, STOC 2015, Portland, OR, USA, June 14-17, 2015*, pages 1–10. ACM, 2015.
- [CZ01] Edith Cohen and Uri Zwick. All-pairs small-stretch paths. *J. Algorithms*, 38(2):335–353, 2001.

- [CZ24] Shiri Chechik and Tianyi Zhang. Path-reporting distance oracles with logarithmic stretch and linear size. In Karl Bringmann, Martin Grohe, Gabriele Puppis, and Ola Svensson, editors, *51st International Colloquium on Automata, Languages, and Programming, ICALP 2024, July 8-12, 2024, Tallinn, Estonia*, volume 297 of *LIPICs*, pages 42:1–42:18. Schloss Dagstuhl - Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, 2024.
- [ENW16] Michael Elkin, Ofer Neiman, and Christian Wulff-Nilsen. Space-efficient path-reporting approximate distance oracles. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 651:1–10, 2016.
- [EP04] Michael Elkin and David Peleg. $(1+\epsilon, \beta)$ -spanner constructions for general graphs. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 33(3):608–631, 2004.
- [EP16] Michael Elkin and Seth Pettie. A linear-size logarithmic stretch path-reporting distance oracle for general graphs. *ACM Trans. Algorithms*, 12(4):50:1–50:31, 2016.
- [ES23] Michael Elkin and Idan Shabat. Path-reporting distance oracles with logarithmic stretch and size $o(n \log \log n)$. In *64th IEEE Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science, FOCS 2023, Santa Cruz, CA, USA, November 6-9, 2023*, pages 2278–2311. IEEE, 2023.
- [GLNS02] Joachim Gudmundsson, Christos Levcopoulos, Giri Narasimhan, and Michiel H. M. Smid. Approximate distance oracles for geometric graphs. In David Eppstein, editor, *Proceedings of the Thirteenth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, January 6-8, 2002, San Francisco, CA, USA*, pages 828–837. ACM/SIAM, 2002.
- [JX23] Ce Jin and Yinzhan Xu. Removing additive structure in 3sum-based reductions. In *Proceedings of the 55th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 405–418, 2023.
- [KKR24] Tsvi Kopelowitz, Ariel Korin, and Liam Roditty. On the space usage of approximate distance oracles with sub-2 stretch. In Karl Bringmann, Martin Grohe, Gabriele Puppis, and Ola Svensson, editors, *51st International Colloquium on Automata, Languages, and Programming, ICALP 2024, July 8-12, 2024, Tallinn, Estonia*, volume 297 of *LIPICs*, pages 101:1–101:18. Schloss Dagstuhl - Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, 2024.
- [Le23] Hung Le. Approximate distance oracles for planar graphs with subpolynomial error dependency. In Nikhil Bansal and Viswanath Nagarajan, editors, *Proceedings of the 2023 ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, SODA 2023, Florence, Italy, January 22-25, 2023*, pages 1877–1904. SIAM, 2023.
- [MN06] Manor Mendel and Assaf Naor. Ramsey partitions and proximity data structures. In *47th Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS 2006), 21-24 October 2006, Berkeley, California, USA, Proceedings*, pages 109–118. IEEE Computer Society, 2006.
- [Par14] Merav Parter. Bypassing erdős’ girth conjecture: Hybrid stretch and sourcewise spanners. In Javier Esparza, Pierre Fraigniaud, Thore Husfeldt, and Elias Koutsoupias, editors, *Automata, Languages, and Programming - 41st International Colloquium, ICALP 2014, Copenhagen, Denmark, July 8-11, 2014, Proceedings, Part II*, volume 8573 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 608–619. Springer, 2014.

- [RTZ05] Liam Roditty, Mikkel Thorup, and Uri Zwick. Deterministic constructions of approximate distance oracles and spanners. In Luís Caires, Giuseppe F. Italiano, Luís Monteiro, Catuscia Palamidessi, and Moti Yung, editors, *Automata, Languages and Programming, 32nd International Colloquium, ICALP 2005, Lisbon, Portugal, July 11-15, 2005, Proceedings*, volume 3580 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 261–272. Springer, 2005.
- [TZ05] Mikkel Thorup and Uri Zwick. Approximate distance oracles. *J. ACM*, 52(1):1–24, 2005.
- [TZ06] Mikkel Thorup and Uri Zwick. Spanners and emulators with sublinear distance errors. In *Proceedings of the Seventeenth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, SODA 2006, Miami, Florida, USA, January 22-26, 2006*, pages 802–809. ACM Press, 2006.
- [Wul12] Christian Wulff-Nilsen. Approximate distance oracles with improved preprocessing time. In Yuval Rabani, editor, *Proceedings of the Twenty-Third Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, SODA 2012, Kyoto, Japan, January 17-19, 2012*, pages 202–208. SIAM, 2012.
- [Wul16] Christian Wulff-Nilsen. Approximate distance oracles for planar graphs with improved query time-space tradeoff. In Robert Krauthgamer, editor, *Proceedings of the Twenty-Seventh Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, SODA 2016, Arlington, VA, USA, January 10-12, 2016*, pages 351–362. SIAM, 2016.

A Comparison with previous results

A.1 Comparison for weighted graphs

Construction time	Reference	Comments
$O(kmn^{1/k})$	[TZ05]	
$O(\max(n^{1+2/k}, m^{1-\frac{1}{k-1}} n^{\frac{2}{k-1}}) \log \log n)$	Theorem 1	$n^{1+1/k} < m < n^2$
$\tilde{O}(n^2)$	[BK10]	
$\tilde{O}(km + kn^{\frac{3}{2} + \frac{2}{k} + O(k^{-2})})$	[Wul12]	$k \geq 6$
$\tilde{O}(km + kn^{\frac{3}{2} + \frac{3}{4k-6}})$	Theorem 2	$k \geq 4$
$\tilde{O}(\sqrt{k}m + kn^{1 + \frac{2\sqrt{6}}{\sqrt{k}} + O(k^{-1})})$	[Wul12]	$k \geq 96$
$\tilde{O}(\sqrt{k}m + kn^{1 + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{k}}})$	Theorem 3	$k \geq 16$

Table 1: $(2k - 1)$ -stretch distance oracle constructions for weighted graphs with $O(kn^{1+1/k})$ space.




Figure 2: Comparison between Theorem 2: $f(k) = 1/2 + \frac{3}{4k-6}$ and [Wul12]: $f(k) = 1/2 + \frac{2}{k} + O(1/k^2)$

	k	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
[BK10, Wul12]	$n^{1+f(k)}$	n^2	n^2	n^2	n^2	$n^{1.833}$	$n^{1.821}$	$n^{1.8125}$	$n^{1.722}$	$n^{1.717}$
Theorem 2		—	—	$n^{1.8}$	$n^{1.714}$	$n^{1.667}$	$n^{1.636}$	$n^{1.615}$	$n^{1.6}$	$n^{1.588}$

Table 2: The sparsest graphs for which a linear time construction algorithm exists for $(2k - 1)$ -stretch distance oracles with $O(n^{1+1/k})$ -space in weighted graphs.

A.2 Comparison for unweighted graphs

Construction time	Approximation	Reference	Comments
$\tilde{O}(km + kn^{\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k(2k-2)}})$	$(2k-1)\delta + 2$	[BGSU08]	
$\tilde{O}(km + kn^{\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{k-1} - \frac{1}{4k-6}})$	$(2k-1)\delta + 2$	Theorem 5	$k \geq 3$
$\tilde{O}(km + kn^{\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2k} + \frac{3.5k-4.5}{k(4k^2-8k+3)}})$	$(2k-1)\delta + 2k - 2$	Theorem 6	$k \geq 3$
$\tilde{O}(\sqrt{k}m + kn^{1 + \frac{2\sqrt{6}}{\sqrt{k}} + O(k^{-1})})$	$(2k-1)\delta$	[Wul12]	$k \geq 96$
$\tilde{O}(\sqrt{k}m + kn^{1 + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{k}}})$	$(2k-1)\delta$	Theorem 3	$k \geq 16$
$\tilde{O}(\sqrt{k}m + kn^{1 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{k}}})$	$(2k-1)\delta + 2k - 1$	Theorem 7	$k \geq 13$
$\tilde{O}(km + n^{1+1/k+\varepsilon})$	$O(k) \cdot \delta$	[Wul12]	
$\tilde{O}(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}mn^\varepsilon + kn^{1+1/k+\varepsilon})$	$(2k-1)(1+\varepsilon)\delta + (2k-1)\beta$	Theorem 8	$\beta = f(\varepsilon)$

Table 3: Distance oracle constructions for dense unweighted graphs with $O(kn^{1+1/k})$ space. $\delta = d(u, v)$.




Figure 3: Comparison between Theorem 5: $f(k) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{4k} + O(\frac{1}{k^2})$, Theorem 6: $f(k) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2k} + O(\frac{1}{k^2})$ and [BGSU08]: $f(k) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{k} + O(\frac{1}{k^2})$

	k	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
[BGSU08]	$n^{1+f(k)}$	$n^{1.917}$	$n^{1.792}$	$n^{1.725}$	$n^{1.683}$	$n^{1.655}$	$n^{1.634}$	$n^{1.618}$	$n^{1.606}$
Theorem 5		$n^{1.833}$	$n^{1.733}$	$n^{1.679}$	$n^{1.644}$	$n^{1.621}$	$n^{1.604}$	$n^{1.592}$	$n^{1.582}$

Table 4: The sparsest graphs for which a linear time construction algorithm exists for $(2k-1, 2)$ -stretch distance oracles with $O(n^{1+1/k})$ -space in unweighted graphs.